Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics (JJMS) 7(1), 2014, pp.1 - 13

BAER GAMMA RINGS WITH INVOLUTIONS

A.C. PAUL $^{(1)}$ AND MD. SABUR UDDIN $^{(2)}$

ABSTRACT. The concept of involution in Γ -rings is introduced and with the help of involutions, we obtain some characterizations of Baer Γ -rings.

1. Introduction

As a generalization of rings, the concept of Γ -rings was first introduced by N. Nobusawa [6]. After words Barnes [1] generalized the notion of Nobusawa's Γ -rings and gave a new definition of a Γ -ring. Now a days, Γ -rings means the Γ -rings in the sense of Barnes [1] where other Γ -rings are known as N -rings i.e., gamma rings in the sense of Nobusawa. Many Mathematicians worked on Γ -rings and obtained some fruitful results that are a generalization of many classical ring theories. In the Book Rings with operators "Kaplansky [3] worked on Baer rings and obtained various results relating to involution and Baer rings. Paul and Sabur [9] worked on Lie and Jordan structures in simple Γ -rings and generalized some results of classical rings into Γ -rings. Paul and Sabur [10] also worked on Baer Gamma rings and obtained some characterizations of this Γ -ring.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of an involution in Γ -rings and generalize

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 16N60, Secondary 16W25, 16U80.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ Baer Γ -ring, Involution, Projection, Self adjoint, I-equivalent.

Copyright © Deanship of Research and Graduate Studies, Yarmouk University, Irbid, Jordan.

some results of classical Baer rings into gamma Baer rings with the help of the new concept of an involution. In [10], an example of a Baer gamma ring is given

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. Gamma Ring: Let M and Γ be two additive abelian groups. Suppose that there is a mapping from $M \times \Gamma \times M \to M$ (sending (x, α, y) into $x\alpha y$) such that

 $(i)(x+y)\alpha z = x\alpha z + y\alpha z, x(\alpha+\beta)z = x\alpha z + x\beta z, x\alpha(y+z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z$ $(ii)(x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z), \text{ where } x,y,z\in M \text{ and } \alpha,\beta\in\Gamma. \text{ Then } M \text{ is called a Γ-ring in the sense of Barnes [1].}$

Definition 2.2. Sub Γ-ring: Let M be a Γ-ring. A non-empty subset S of a Γ-ring M is a sub Γ-ring of M if $a, b \in S$, then $a - b \in S$ and $a\gamma b \in S$, $\forall \gamma \in \Gamma$.

Definition 2.3. Ideal of Γ-rings: A subset A of the Γ-ring M is a left (right) ideal of M if A is an additive subgroup of M and $M\Gamma A = \{c\alpha a : c \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma, a \in A\}(A\Gamma M)$ is contained in A. If A is both a left and a right ideal of M, then we say that A is an ideal or two-sided ideal of M. If A and B are both left (respectively right or two-sided) ideals of M, then $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ is clearly a left (respectively right or two-sided) ideal, called the sum of A and B. We can say every finite sum of left (respectively right or two-sided) ideal of a Γ-ring is also a left (respectively right or two-sided) ideal.

It is clear that the intersection of any number of left (respectively right or two-sided) ideal of M is also a left (respectively right or two-sided) ideal of M. If A is a left ideal of M, B is a right ideal of M and S is any non-empty subset of M, then the set, $A\Gamma S = \{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \gamma s_i : a_i \in A, \gamma \in \Gamma, s_i \in S, n \text{ is a positive integer}\}$ is a left ideal of M and $S\Gamma B$ is a right ideal of M. $A\Gamma B$ is a two-sided ideal of M. If $a \in M$, then the principal ideal generated by a denoted by $a \in M$ is the intersection

of all ideals containing a and is the set of all finite sum of elements of the form $na + x\alpha a + a\beta y + u\gamma a\mu v$, where n is an integer, x, y, u, v are elements of M and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu$ are elements of Γ . This is the smallest ideal generated by a. Let $a \in M$. The smallest left (right) ideal generated by a is called the principal left (right) ideal $\langle a \mid (\mid a \rangle)$.

Definition 2.4. Unity element of a Γ-ring: Let M be a Γ-ring. M is called a Γ-ring with unity if there exists an element $e \in M$ such that $a\gamma e = e\gamma a = a$ for all $a \in M$ and some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We shall frequently denote e by 1 and when M is a Γ-ring with unity, we shall often write $1 \in M$. Note that not all Γ-rings have an unity. When a Γ-ring has an unity, then the unity is unique.

Definition 2.5. Nilpotent element: Let M be a Γ-ring. An element x of M is called nilpotent if for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, there exists a positive integer $n = n(\gamma)$ such that $(x\gamma)^n x = (x\gamma x\gamma ... \gamma x\gamma)x = 0$.

Definition 2.6. Nil ideal: An ideal A of a Γ -ring M is a nil ideal if every element of A is nilpotent that is, for all $x \in A$ and some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $(x\gamma)^n x = (x\gamma x\gamma ... \gamma x\gamma)x = 0$, where n depends on the particular element x of A.

Definition 2.7. Nilpotent ideal: An ideal A of a Γ-ring M is called nilpotent if $(A\gamma)^n A = (A\gamma A\gamma...\gamma A\gamma)A = 0$, where n is the least positive integer.

Definition 2.8. Annihilator of a subset of a Γ **-ring:** Let M be a Γ -ring. Let S be a subset of M. Then the left annihilator I(S) of S is defined by $L(S) = \{m \in M : m\gamma S = 0 \text{ for every } \gamma \in \Gamma\}$, whereas the right annihilator I(S) is defined by $I(S) = \{m \in M : S\gamma m = 0 \text{ for every } \gamma \in \Gamma\}$.

Definition 2.9. Idempotent element: Let M be a Γ-ring. An element e of M is called idempotent if $e\gamma e = e \neq 0$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Definition 2.10. Centre of a Γ-ring: Let M be Γ-ring. The centre of M, written as Z, is the set of those elements in M that commute with every element in M, that is, $Z = \{m \in M : m\gamma x = x\gamma m \text{ for all } x \in M \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma\}.$

Definition 2.11. ΓM -homomorphism: Let M be a Γ -ring. Let A and B be the left ideals of M. A ΓM -homomorphism is a function $\phi: A \to B$ such that

$$(i)\phi(x+y) = \phi(x) + \phi(y)$$
 for all $x, y \in A$

$$(ii)(m\gamma x) = m\gamma(x)$$
 for all $x \in A, m \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

In case, A and B are right ideals, then (i) and (ii) become

(i)
$$\phi(x+y) = \phi(x) + \phi(y)$$
 for all $x, y \in A$

(ii)
$$\phi(x\gamma m) = \phi(x)\gamma m$$
 for all $x \in A, m \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Definition 2.12. ΓM -isomorphism: Let M be a Γ -ring. Let A and B be two left ideals of M. Let $\phi: A \to B$ be a ΓM -homomorphism from A into B. We call ϕ , a ΓM -isomorphism, if ϕ is one-one and onto. We say that A and B are ΓM -isomorphic and we write $A \cong B$.

Definition 2.13. Baer Γ -ring: A Γ -ring M is called a Baer Γ -ring if the right annihilator of every non-empty subset of M is generated by an idempotent element of M.

3. Baer Gamma Rings with Involutions

Definition 3.1. Let M be a Γ -ring. A mapping $I: M \to M$ is called an **involution** if $(i)I(a+b) = I(a) + I(b), (ii)I(ab) = I(b)\alpha I(a)$ and $(iii)I^2(a) = a$, for all $a, b \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$.

Example 3.1. Let R be an associative ring with 1 having an involution *. Let M = M1.2(R) and $\Gamma = \{ \begin{pmatrix} n_1.1 \\ n_2.1 \end{pmatrix} : n_1, n_2 \in \mathbf{Z} \}$. Then M is a Γ -ring. Define $I: M \to M$ by I((a,b)) = (a*,b*). Then it is clear that I is an involution on M.

We know that if e is the idempotent elements of a Γ -ring M, then $M\Gamma e$ and $e\Gamma M$ are respectively left ideal and right ideal of M, which is shown in [7].

Theorem 3.1. Let e and f be idempotents in a Γ -ring M. The following are equivalent (1) $e\Gamma M$, $f\Gamma M$ are ΓM -isomorphism

- (2) $M\Gamma e, M\Gamma f$ are ΓM -isomorphism
- (3) There exist elements $x \in e\Gamma M\Gamma f, y \in f\Gamma M\Gamma e$ with $x\alpha y = e, y\alpha x = f, \alpha \in \Gamma$.

Proof. Since condition (3) is left-right symmetric it will suffice to identify (2) and (3). (3) implies (2). Map $M\Gamma e$ to $M\Gamma f$ by right multiplication by x, $M\Gamma f$ to $M\Gamma e$ by right multiplication by y. The product both ways to clearly the identity. (2) implies (3). Let ϕ be the map from $M\Gamma e$ to $M\Gamma f$ and set EMAFK.BIB.Zel $\phi(e) = x$. Then since ϕ is a ΓM -homomorphism map we have $\phi(a) = \phi(a\alpha e) = a\alpha\phi(e) = a\alpha x$ for any in $a \in M\Gamma e$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ i.e., ϕ is a right multiplication by x. In particular $x = \phi(e) = e\alpha x$ and $x \in e\Gamma M\Gamma f$. Similarly the map from $M\Gamma f\Gamma$ to $M\Gamma e$ is right multiplication by an element $y \in f\Gamma M\Gamma e$. Evidently $x\alpha y = e, y\alpha x = f, \alpha \in \Gamma$.

Definition 3.2. Idempotents e, f in a Γ -ring M are equivalent, written $e \sim f$ if they satisfy (and hence all) of the conditions in theorem 3.3. Note that a Baer Γ -ring is finite if and only whenever $e \sim 1$, then e = 1.

Definition 3.3. An element of a Γ -ring M with involution I is called self-adjoint if I(x) = I. A projection is a self-adjoint idempotent. A subset S is self-adjoint if $x \in S$ implies $I(x) \in S$. A Baer Γ -ring with involution I is a Γ -ring with involution I such that for any subset S, $R(S) = e\Gamma M$ with E a projection.

By applying the involution we get that in a Baer Γ -ring with involution I the left annihilator of any subset is like wise generated by a projection. In particular, a Baer Γ -ring with involution I is a Baer Γ -ring. The projection of e generating $e\Gamma M$ is unique. For if $e\Gamma M=f\Gamma M$ with e and f projections, we find $e=f\alpha e, \alpha\in$

 Γ , $f = e\alpha f = I(e\alpha f) = I(f)\alpha I(e) = f\alpha e = I(f\alpha e) = I(e) = e$. Because of this uniqueness, we can call e the right-annihilating projection of the subset S of M. Even more useful is g = 1 - e which we shall call the right projection of S. Then $s\alpha g = s\alpha(1 - e) = s\alpha 1 - s\alpha e = s$ for all $s \in S$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ is the smallest such projection. If f is an idempotent in a Baer Γ -ring with involution I and e is its right projection, we readily see that $e \sim f$.

Theorem 3.2. Let e and f be idempotents in a Baer Γ -ring with involution $I, f \in e\Gamma M\Gamma e$. Let g and h be the right projections of e and f. Then $e - f \sim g - h$.

Proof. Noting that $g \geq h, e\gamma g = e, g\gamma e = g, f\gamma h = f, h\gamma f = f, \gamma \in \Gamma$, we verify directly that $e - e\gamma h$ and $g - g\gamma f$ implement an equivalence of e - g and f - h. \square

Definition 3.4. For projection e, f in a Γ -ring with involution I write $e \leq f$ in case $e = e\gamma f, \gamma \in \Gamma$ (which is equivalent to $e = f\gamma e$). One readily sees that this relation makes the projections into a partially ordered set.

Theorem 3.3. The projections in a Baer Γ -ring with involution I form a complete lattice.

Proof. Given a family $\{e_i\}$ of projections, let e be their right projection. One readily sees that e is the least upper bound (LUB) of e_i 's. Dually, there is a greatest lower bound (GLB). Hence the theorem is proved.

Definition 3.5. Let M be a Baer Γ -ring with involution I. B is a sub Γ -ring of M. We say that B is a Baer sub Γ -ring with involution I of M if

(1) B is a self adjoint sub Γ -ring (2) If $S \subset B$ and e is the right annihilating projection of S(inM), then $e \in B$.

If B is a Baer sub Γ -ring with involution I, then B is itself obviously a Baer Γ -ring with involution I. Its unity element is the same as that of M (take the annihilator of

0). The lattice of projections in B is a complete sub lattice of that of M. If M is a Baer Γ -ring with involution I and e is a projection in M, the projections of $e\Gamma M\Gamma e$ are the projections of $f \in M$ with $f \leq e$. It follows easily that $e\Gamma M\Gamma e$ is a Baer Γ -ring with involution I and that a family of projections in $e\Gamma M\Gamma e$ has the same LUB whatever computed in $e\Gamma M\Gamma e$ or in M.

Theorem 3.4. Let M be a Baer Γ -ring with involution I and S be a self-adjoint subset of M. Let T be the commuting Γ -ring of S. Then T is a Baer sub Γ -ring with involution I of M.

Proof. Since S is self-adjoint, the sub Γ -ring T is also self-adjoint. Given $V \subset T$, write $R(V) = e\Gamma M$ (this is the annihilator in M of course). We must show that e lies in T. Thus given , we have to prove $e\gamma s = s\gamma e, \gamma \in \Gamma$. Given $s \in S$, we have $s\gamma v = v\gamma s$ and $v\gamma e = 0$, then $v\gamma(1-e)\gamma s\gamma e = v\gamma s\gamma e - v\gamma e\gamma s\gamma e = v\gamma s\gamma e - 0 = v\gamma s\gamma e = s\gamma v\gamma e = s\gamma 0 = 0$. Since v is arbitrary in $V, (1-e)\gamma s\gamma e \in e\Gamma M$. Hence $(1-e)\gamma s\gamma e = 0$. Thus $s\gamma e = e\gamma s\gamma e$. Apply involution I, we have $I(sve) = I(e\gamma s\gamma e)$. This implies that $I(e)\gamma I(s) = I(e)\gamma I(s)\gamma I(e)$. So $e\gamma s = e\gamma s\gamma e$. Hence $s\gamma e = e\gamma s$.

Corollary 3.1. The center of a Baer Γ -ring with involution I is a Baer sub Γ -ring with involution I.

Theorem 3.5. In a Baer Γ -ring M with involution $I.x\alpha I(x)=0$ implies $x=0,x\in M,\alpha\in\Gamma$.

Proof. Let e be the right annihilating projection of x. Then $x\alpha e = 0$. Now $I(x\alpha e) = I(0) = 0$. This implies that $I(e)\alpha I(x) = 0$. So $e\alpha I(x) = 0$. Since $x\alpha I(x) = 0$, we have $I(x) \in e\Gamma M$, $I(x) = e\alpha I(x) = 0$. Now $x = I^2(x) = I(I(x)) = I(0) = 0$ It follows that a Baer Γ -ring with involution I has no nil left or right ideals. For let A be a nil right ideal in a Baer Γ -ring with involution I. If $x \in A$, then $y = x\alpha I(x) \in A$. If

 $(y\alpha)^n y$ is the smallest power of y that is 0, let $z=(y\alpha)^{n-1}y$. Then $z\alpha I(z)=z\alpha z=0$ whence z=0 by theorem 3.12. Hence x=0. The argument for a nil left ideal is analogous.

A fortiori, a Baer Γ -ring with involution I has no nilpotent ideals. We turn now to the consideration of equivalence of projection in a Baer Γ -ring with involution I.

Theorem 3.6. Let M be a Baer Γ -ring with involution I, an element of M such that $x\alpha I(x)$ is a projection of e for $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Then $I(x)\alpha x$ is also a projection of f. We have $x \in e\Gamma M\Gamma f$, $I(x) \in f\Gamma M\Gamma e$ and thus $e \sim f$.

Proof. Set $y = e\alpha x - x$. Then

$$y\alpha I(y) = (e\alpha x - x)\alpha I(e\alpha x - x)$$

$$= ((e - 1)\alpha x)\alpha I(e\alpha x - x)$$

$$= ((e - 1)\alpha x)\alpha (I(x)\alpha I(e) - I(x))$$

$$= ((e - 1)\alpha x)\alpha (I(x)\alpha e - I(x))$$

$$= (e - 1)\alpha x\alpha I(x)\alpha (e - 1)$$

$$= (e - 1)\alpha e\alpha (e - 1)$$

$$= (e\alpha e - 1\alpha e)\alpha (e - 1)$$

$$= (e - e)\alpha (e - 1)$$

$$= 0\alpha (e - 1)$$

$$= 0$$

By Theorem 3.12, y = 0. So $e\alpha x - x = 0$. Thus $e\alpha x = x$. If $f = I(x)\alpha x$ then $I(f) = I(I(x)\alpha x) = I(x)\alpha I^2(x) = I(x)\alpha x = f$ and $f\alpha f = I(x)\alpha x\alpha I(x)\alpha x = f$

$$I(x)\alpha e\alpha x=I(x)\alpha x=f.$$
 Now $f\alpha I(x)=I(x)\alpha x\alpha I(x)=I(x)\alpha e=I(x).$ Thus $x\alpha I(x)\alpha x=e\alpha x=x, I(x)\in f\Gamma M\Gamma e.$ Hence $e\sim f.$

Definition 3.6. An element x in a Γ -ring M with involution I is called a partial isometry if $x\alpha I(x)$ and $I(x)\alpha x, \alpha \in \Gamma$ are projections.

Definition 3.7. In a Γ -ring M with involution I, projections e, f are called I-equivalent, written $e \sim^I f$, if there exists a partial isometry $x \in e\Gamma M\Gamma f$ with $x\alpha I(x) = e, I(x)\alpha x = f$.

It is easy verified that \sim^I is an equivalence relation and that $e \sim^I f$ implies $e \sim f$. Note that if M is a Baer Γ -ring with involution I the condition $x \in e\Gamma M\Gamma f$ in the definition of I-equivalence is redundant (Theorem 3.13). We now wish to make some comparisons between Baer Γ -rings and Baer Γ -rings with involution I. We begin by exhibiting a condition that can convert a Baer Γ -ring into a Baer Γ -ring with involution I.

Theorem 3.7. Let M be a Γ -ring with involution I and suppose that for every x in $M, 1 + I(x)\alpha x, \alpha \in \Gamma$ is invertible in M. Then for any idempotent f in M there exists a projection e such that $f\Gamma M = e\Gamma M$.

Proof. Let x = I(f) - f. Then I(x) = I(I(f) - f) = f - I(f). Therefore $I(x)\alpha x = (f - I(f))\alpha(I(f) - f)$. So $1 + I(x)\alpha x = 1 + (f - I(f))\alpha(I(f) - f)$. Since $1 + I(x)\alpha x$ is invertible in $M, 1 + (f - f - I(f)\alpha(I(f) - f)$ is invertible in M. Take $z = 1 + (f - I(f))\alpha(I(f) - f)$. Then, z is invertible, say $t = z^{-1}$. Also we have, z = I(z),

then t = I(t). Therefore

$$f\alpha z = f\alpha(1 - f - I(f) + f\alpha I(f) + I(f)\alpha f)$$

$$= f\alpha 1 - f\alpha f - f\alpha I(f) + f\alpha f\alpha I(f) + f\alpha I(f)\alpha f$$

$$= f - f - f\alpha I(f) + f\alpha I(f) + f\alpha I(f)\alpha f$$

$$= f\alpha I(f)\alpha f$$

Similarly $z\alpha f=f\alpha I(f)\alpha f$. It follows that t commutes with f. We have also seen that t commutes with I(f). Now we choose $e=f\alpha I(f)\alpha t$. Then $I(e)=I(f\alpha I(f)\alpha t)=I(t)\alpha I^2(f)\alpha I(f)=t\alpha f\alpha I(f)=f\alpha I(f)=f\alpha I(f)\alpha t=e$. Also

$$e\alpha e = f\alpha I(f)\alpha t\alpha f\alpha I(f)\alpha t$$

$$= t\alpha f\alpha I(f)\alpha f\alpha I(f)\alpha t$$

$$= t\alpha (f\alpha I(f)\alpha f)\alpha I(f)\alpha t$$

$$= t\alpha z\alpha f\alpha I(f)\alpha t$$

$$= (t\alpha z)\alpha (f\alpha I(f)\alpha t)$$

$$= 1\alpha e = e$$

Thus e is a projection. Evidently $f\alpha e = e$ whence $e\Gamma M \subset f\Gamma M$. Again

$$e\alpha f = f\alpha I(f)\alpha f\alpha t$$
$$= f\alpha z\alpha t$$
$$= f\alpha (z\alpha t)$$
$$= f\alpha 1 = f$$

Therefore $f\Gamma M \subset e\Gamma M$. Hence $f\Gamma M = e\Gamma M$.

Corollary 3.2. Let M be a Baer Γ -ring with an involution I and suppose that $1 + I(x)\alpha x, \alpha \in \Gamma$ is invertible for every x in M. Then M is a Baer Γ -ring with involution I.

Next we give a condition which identifies the two versions of equivalence.

Theorem 3.8. Let M be a Γ -ring with involution I. Assume that for any $y \in M$ there exists a self-adjoint $z \in M$ which commutes with everything that commutes with $I(y)\alpha y$ and satisfies $z\alpha z = I(y)\alpha y, \alpha \in \Gamma$. Then equivalent projections in M are I-equivalent.

Proof. Let the projections e, f be equivalent via $x, y, x \in e\Gamma M\Gamma f, y \in f\Gamma M\Gamma f, x\alpha y = e, y\alpha x = f$, Choose z (relative to y) are permitted by the hypothesis. We have $x\alpha I(x)\alpha I(y)\alpha y = x\alpha I(y\alpha x)\alpha y = x\alpha I(f)\alpha y = x\alpha y = e$ Since e is self-adjoint, e = I(e). Then $e = I(e) = I(x\alpha I(x)\alpha I(y)\alpha y) = I(y)\alpha I^2(y)\alpha I^2(x)\alpha I(x) = I(y)\alpha y\alpha x\alpha I(x)$ Therefore $x\alpha I(x)\alpha I(y)\alpha y = I(y)\alpha y\alpha x\alpha I(x)$. Thus $x\alpha I(x)$ commutes with $y\alpha I(y)$ and hence also with z. Now we have $I(y)\alpha y\alpha e = I(y)\alpha y$. Then $I(I(y)\alpha y\alpha e) = I(I(y)\alpha y)$. So, $I(e)\alpha I(y)\alpha I^2(y) = I(y)\alpha I^2(y)$. Thus $e\alpha I(y)\alpha y = I(y)\alpha(y)$. Hence $e\alpha I(y)\alpha y = I(y)\alpha(y)\alpha e$. Thus $e\alpha z = z\alpha e$. The element $w = e\alpha z\alpha x \in e\Gamma M\Gamma f$ implements the desired I-equivalence of e and f. Now

$$I(w)\alpha w = I(e\alpha z\alpha x)\alpha(e\alpha z\alpha x)$$

$$= I(x)\alpha I(z)\alpha I(e)\alpha e\alpha z\alpha x$$

$$= I(x)\alpha z\alpha e\alpha e\alpha z\alpha x$$

$$= I(x)\alpha z\alpha e\alpha z\alpha x$$

$$= I(x)\alpha z\alpha z\alpha e\alpha x$$

$$= I(x)\alpha z\alpha z\alpha x$$

$$= I(x)\alpha I(y)\alpha y\alpha x$$

$$= I(y\alpha x)\alpha(y\alpha x)$$

$$= I(f)\alpha f = f.$$

Again we have

$$w\alpha I(w) = e\alpha z\alpha x\alpha I(e\alpha z\alpha x)$$

$$= e\alpha z\alpha x\alpha I(x)\alpha I(z)\alpha I(e)$$

$$= e\alpha z\alpha x\alpha I(x)\alpha z\alpha e$$

$$= e\alpha (x\alpha I(x))\alpha Z\alpha z\alpha e$$

$$= e\alpha x\alpha I(x)\alpha Z\alpha z\alpha e$$

$$= x\alpha I(x)\alpha I(y)\alpha y\alpha e$$

$$= x\alpha I(y\alpha x)\alpha y\alpha e$$

$$= x\alpha I(f)\alpha y$$

$$= x\alpha f\alpha y$$

$$= x\alpha y = e.$$

Hence e and f are I-equivalent.

References

- [1] W. E. Barnes, On the gamma rings of Nobusawa, Pacific J. Math 18 (1966) 411-422.
- [2] I. N. Herstein, Rings with involutions, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1976.
- [3] Irving Kaplansky, Rings of Operators, W. A. Benjamin. Inc. New york, (1968).
- [4] Irving Kaplansky, Algebras of type I, Ann. of Math. 56(1952), 460-472.
- [5] Irving Kaplansky, Modules over operator algebras, Amer. J. of Math. 75(1953), 839-858.
- [6] N. Nobusawa, On a generalization of the ring theory, Osaka J. Math. 1(1964), 81-89.
- [7] A.C.Paul and Md. Sabur Uddin, Semi- Simple Gamma Rings with Minimum Condition, Ganit Journal of Bangladesh Mathematical Society, Vol. 26 (2006), 85-105.
- [8] A.C.Paul and Md. Sabur Uddin, Decomposition in Neotherian Gamma Rings, International Archive of Applied Sciences and Technology, Vol. 2[2] (2011), 38-42.
- [9] A.C. Paul and Md. Sabur Uddin, Lie and Jordan Structure in Simple Gamma Rings, Journal of Physical Sciences, Vol.14, (2010), 77-86.

- [10] A.C.Paul and Md. Sabur Uddin, Baer Gamma Rings, International J. of Math. Sci. and Engg. Appls. (I J MSEA), ISSN 0973 - 9424, (Pune), Vol.6, No. IV, (July 2012). Pp, 151 - 154.
 - $(1) \ {\tt DEPARTMENT} \ {\tt OF} \ {\tt Mathematics}, \ {\tt University} \ {\tt OF} \ {\tt Rajshahi}, \ {\tt Rajshahi-6205} \ {\tt Bangladesh}.$ $E\text{-}\textit{mail} \ \textit{address} \colon \texttt{acpaulrubd_math@yahoo.com}$
 - (2) Department of Mathematics, Carmichael College, Rangpur, Bangladesh.