Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics (JJMS) 4(3), 2011, pp.201 - 218

BOUNDEDNESS OF CONVOLUTION OPERATORS ON TRIEBEL-LIZORKIN SPACES VIA FOURIER TRANSFORM ESTIMATES

XIA XIA (1), SHANZHEN LU (2)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the boundedness of some convolution operators defined by $Tf = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma_k * f$ on the homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin spaces by Fourier transform estimates. As applications, we improve some known results, by proving the boundedness for singular integral operators with rough kernels on homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin spaces.

1. Introduction

Let

(1.1)
$$T_{\Omega,h}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Omega(y)}{|y|^n} h(|y|) f(x-y) dy ,$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42B20, 42B15.

Key words and phrases. convolution operator, Fourier transform estimates, singular integral operator, Triebel-Lizorkin space.

This project is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.10871024,10931001, and 10971141), the Beijing Natural Science Foundation (No.1092004), and the Key Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex System (Beijing Normal University), Ministry of Education, China.

Copyright © Deanship of Research and Graduate Studies, Yarmouk University, Irbid, Jordan.

Received: Jan 24, 2011 Accepted: Oct. 27, 2011.

where h is a measurable function on \mathbb{R}_+ and Ω is a homogeneous function of degree zero such that $\Omega \in L^1(S^{n-1})$ and

(1.2)
$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(x') d\sigma(x') = 0.$$

If h = 1, then we denote $T_{\Omega,h}$ by T_{Ω} . This operator was first studied by Calderon and Zygmund in [2] and [3]. In [8], Fefferman generalized this Calderon-Zygmund singular integral by replacing the kernel $\Omega(x)|x|^{-n}$ by $h(|x|)\Omega(x)|x|^{-n}$, where h is a function in L^{∞} . This allows the kernel to be rough not only on the sphere, but also in the radial direction. Using a method which is different from Calderon and Zygmund's, Fefferman showed in [8] that if Ω satisfies a Lipschitz condition then $T_{\Omega,h}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 . Afterwards, in [6], using Littlewood-Paley theory and Fourier transform method, Duoandikoetxea, Rubio de Francia extended the result of Fefferman[8], and obtained the <math>L^p$ boundedness of $T_{\Omega,h}$ for 1 when <math>h satisfies

$$\sup_{R>0} \frac{1}{R} \int_0^R |h(t)|^2 dt < \infty$$

and $\Omega \in L^q(S^{n-1})$ for some q > 1.

On the other hand, the Triebel-Lizorkin space $\dot{F}_p^{s,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is denoted by the following, is a unified setting of many well-known function spaces including Lebesgue spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, Hardy spaces $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Sobolev spaces $L^\alpha_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is natural interest to extend the above mentioned results to the more general Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. Recently, Chen, Jia and Jiang[5] obtained that a convolution operator T is bounded on $\dot{F}_p^{s,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if T is bounded on $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n,\omega(x)dx)$ for all $\omega\in A_1$. However, many convolution operators are not weighted bounded on $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. As a result, we give a method which is different from the one given in [5], to prove $\dot{F}_p^{s,q}$ -boundedness for convolution operator. This paper is motivated by the method in [6]. Indeed, we study the mapping properties on the homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin spaces for general

convolution operator T by using the Fourier transform estimates. The operator T can be decomposed by $Tf = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma_k * f$, where $\{\sigma_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a sequence of measures on \mathbb{R}^n . As applications, in section 3, we obtain the boundedness for singular integral operators with rough kernels on homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. Our results extend some known results([6],[7], [8],[10]) on the singular integral operator.

Let us recall the definition of the Triebel-Lizorkin spaces.

Fix a radial function $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying that supp $\phi \subset \{x: 1/2 \le |x| \le 2\}$, $0 \le \phi(x) \le 1$, and $\phi(x) > c > 0$ if $3/5 \le |x| \le 5/3$. Let $\phi_j(x) = \phi(2^j x)$. Define $\widehat{S_j f}(\xi) = \phi_j(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)$. For $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin space $\dot{F}_p^{s,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of all distributions f satisfying

(1.3)
$$||f||_{\dot{F}_{p}^{s,q}} = \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-jsq} |S_{j}f|^{q} \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^{p}} < \infty .$$

It is also known space (see[12]) that the choice of ϕ in the definition of $\dot{F}_p^{s,q}$ is quite flexible. For instance, for the above ϕ and any fixed number $\rho \in [1/2, 1]$, let $\phi_{j,\rho} = \phi(2^j \rho x)$ and $\widehat{S_{j,\rho}f}(\xi) = \phi_{j,\rho}(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi)$. Then using $S_{j,\rho}$ instead of S_j in (1.3), we obtain a Triebel-Lizorkin norm equivalent to the norm in (1.3). Also the ratio of these two norms is between two positive constants C_1 and C_2 that are independent of $\rho \in [1/2, 1]$. Furthermore, Let us recall the following Lemma.

Lemma 1.1 ([4]). For any $\rho > 0$, we have

(1.4)
$$C_1 \|f\|_{\dot{F}_p^{s,q}} \le \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^j \rho)^{-sq} |S_{j,\rho} f|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} \le C_2 \|f\|_{\dot{F}_p^{s,q}}$$

where $C_2 \geq C_1$ are independent of ρ .

2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. Let α , ρ be positive and $\{\sigma_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of measures on \mathbb{R}^n such that

- (i) $\|\sigma_k\| \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$;
- (ii) $\|\sigma^*(f)\|_{L^r} = \|\sup_k ||\sigma_k| * f|\|_{L^r} \le C\|f\|_{L^r}$, for some $1 < r < \infty$;
- (iii) $|\widehat{\sigma}_k(\xi)| \leq C \min\{2^{k+1}\rho|\xi|, (2^k\rho|\xi|)^{-\alpha}\}, \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$

Suppose that $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < p, q < \infty$ satisfy

(a)
$$q \le p$$
, $1/q - 1/2 < r(1/q - 1/p) < 1/q$; or

(b)
$$p \le q$$
, $1/2 - 1/p < r(1/p - 1/q) < 1 - 1/q$; or

(c)
$$p = q = 2$$
.

Then, the operator

(2.1)
$$Tf(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_k * f(x)$$

is bounded on $\dot{F}_p^{s,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $||Tf||_{\dot{F}_p^{s,q}} \leq C||f||_{\dot{F}_p^{s,q}}$, where C is independent of ρ .

Proof. Let ϕ be a C^{∞} function and $\phi_{j,\rho}(x) = \phi(2^{j}\rho x)$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and assume that

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} [\phi_{j,\rho}(x)]^2 = 1 \quad \forall x \neq 0.$$

Then for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, define the multiplier operators $S_{j,\rho}$ by

$$\widehat{S_{j,\rho}f}(\xi) = \phi_{j,\rho}(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi) , \quad j \in \mathbb{Z} .$$

Decompose T as follows

(2.2)
$$Tf = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma_k * \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{j+k,\rho} S_{j+k,\rho} f \right)$$
$$= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{j+k,\rho} (\sigma_k * S_{j+k,\rho} f) \right) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} T_j f.$$

Let $\Gamma_j = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{-j-1} \rho^{-1} \le |\xi| \le 2^{-j+1} \rho^{-1} \}$. By Plancherel's theorem,

$$||T_j f||_{L^2}^2 \le \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 |\widehat{\sigma}_k(\xi)|^2 \chi_{\Gamma_{j+k}}(\xi) d\xi.$$

For $j \geq 2$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$|\widehat{\sigma}_k(\xi)|^2 \chi_{\Gamma_{j+k}}(\xi) \le C \left(2^{k+1} \rho |\xi|\right)^2 \chi_{\Gamma_{j+k}}(\xi) \le C \left(2^{k+1} / 2^{j+k-1}\right)^2 \le C 2^{-2(j-2)}$$

Then, we have

$$||T_j f||_{L^2} \le C2^{-(j-2)} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\Gamma_{j+k}} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \le C2^{-(j-2)} ||f||_{L^2}.$$

For $j \leq -1$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$|\widehat{\sigma}_k(\xi)|^2 \chi_{\Gamma_{j+k}}(\xi) \le C \left(2^k \rho |\xi| \right)^{-2\alpha} \chi_{\Gamma_{j+k}}(\xi) \le C \left(2^{j+k+1}/2^k \right)^{2\alpha} \le C 2^{2\alpha(j+1)}.$$

Then, $||T_j f||_{L^2} \leq C 2^{\alpha(j+1)} ||f||_{L^2}$. It follows from $|\widehat{\sigma}_k(\xi)| \leq ||\sigma_k|| \leq 1$ that $||T_j f||_{L^2} \leq C ||f||_{L^2}$ for j = 0, 1. Consequently, we have $||T_j f||_{L^2} \leq C 2^{-\alpha|j|} ||f||_{L^2}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, there is a constant C independent of ρ such that

$$||T_j f||_{\dot{F}_2^{0,2}} \le C 2^{-\alpha|j|} ||f||_{\dot{F}_2^{0,2}}.$$

Setting 1/r + 1/r' = 1. If $1 < q \le p < \infty$ and 1/q - 1/2 < r(1/q - 1/p) < 1/q, then 0 < r'/p - 1/q < (r' - 1)/2. We choose $1 < q_0 \le p_0 < \infty$ such that

$$0 < \theta = \frac{2(\frac{r'}{p} - \frac{1}{q})}{r' - 1} < 1 \; , \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{1 - \theta}{p_0} \; , \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{1 - \theta}{q_0} \; .$$

Then

$$\frac{p_0}{q_0} = \frac{1/q - \theta/2}{1/p - \theta/2} = r' > 1 .$$

For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, taking $s = (1 - \theta)s_0$. For any $g \in \dot{F}_{p'_0}^{-s_0, q'_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it follows from (1.4) that

$$|\langle T_{j}f, g \rangle| = \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \sigma_{k} * S_{j+k,\rho}f, S_{j+k,\rho}^{*}g \rangle \right|$$

$$\leq \|g\|_{\dot{F}_{p'_{0}}^{-s_{0}, q'_{0}}} \left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{k+j}\rho)^{-s_{0}q_{0}} |\sigma_{k} * S_{j+k,\rho}f|^{q_{0}} \right)^{1/q_{0}} \right\|_{L^{p_{0}}}.$$

Therefore,

$$||T_j f||_{\dot{F}_{p_0}^{s_0, q_0}} \le \left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{k+j} \rho)^{-s_0 q_0} |\sigma_k * S_{j+k, \rho} f|^{q_0} \right)^{1/q_0} \right\|_{L^{p_0}}.$$

As $\|\sigma_k\| \le 1$ we get at the end of the line, for all $1 < q < \infty$

$$|\sigma_{k} * S_{j+k,\rho} f(x)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |S_{j+k,\rho} f(x-y)| \ d|\sigma_{k}(y)|$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |S_{j+k,\rho} f(x-y)|^{q} d|\sigma_{k}(y)| \right)^{1/q} \|\sigma_{k}\|^{1/q'}$$

$$\leq [|\sigma_{k}| * |S_{j+k,\rho} f|^{q}(x)]^{1/q}.$$

Hence, we choose $u(x) \in L^{(\frac{p_0}{q_0})'}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $||u||_{L^r} = 1$ such that

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{k+j}\rho)^{-s_0 q_0} | \sigma_k * S_{j+k,\rho} f |^{q_0} \right)^{1/q_0} \right\|_{L^{p_0}}^{q_0}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{k+j}\rho)^{-s_0 q_0} | \sigma_k * S_{j+k,\rho} f (x) |^{q_0} u(x) dx$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{k+j}\rho)^{-s_0 q_0} | \sigma_k | * |S_{j+k,\rho} f |^{q_0}(x) u(x) dx$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{k+j}\rho)^{-s_0 q_0} | S_{j+k,\rho} f(x) |^{q_0} \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} ||\sigma_i| * u(x)| dx$$

$$\leq \| \sigma^*(u) \|_{L^{(\frac{p_0}{q_0})'}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{k+j}\rho)^{-s_0 q_0} |S_{j+k,\rho} f(x)|^{q_0} \right]^{p_0/q_0} dx \right\}^{q_0/p_0}$$

$$= \| \sigma^*(u) \|_{L^r} \left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{k+j}\rho)^{-s_0 q_0} |S_{j+k,\rho} f|^{q_0} \right)^{1/q_0} \right\|^{q_0} \leq C \| u \|_{L^r} \| f \|_{\dot{F}^{s_0,q_0}}^{q_0,q_0} dx$$

Therefore,

$$(2.4) ||T_j f||_{\dot{F}_{p_0}^{s_0, q_0}} \le C ||f||_{\dot{F}_{p_0}^{s_0, q_0}},$$

where C is a constant independent of j and ρ . By interpolation between (2.3) and (2.4)(see [9]), noting (2.2), we get

$$||Tf||_{\dot{F}_{p}^{s,q}} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} ||T_{j}f||_{\dot{F}_{p}^{s,q}} \le C \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-\theta\alpha|j|} ||f||_{\dot{F}_{p}^{s,q}} = C||f||_{\dot{F}_{p}^{s,q}},$$

where $1 < q \le p < \infty$, 1/q - 1/2 < r(1/q - 1/p) < 1/q.

Setting 1/p' + 1/p = 1 for each real number p > 1. If 1 , <math>1/2 - 1/p < r(1/p - 1/q) < 1 - 1/q, then $1 < q' \le p' < \infty$ and 0 < 2(r'/p' - 1/q') < r' - 1. By duality, we can obtain $||Tf||_{\dot{F}_{p}^{s,q}} \le C||f||_{\dot{F}_{p}^{s,q}}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

If p=q=2 and $s\in\mathbb{R}$, taking $p_0=q_0=4, s_0=s/2$, then p_0,q_0 satisfy the condition (a). Similarly, taking $p_1=q_1=4/3, s_1=s/2$, then p_1,q_1 satisfy the condition (b). Thus

$$||Tf||_{\dot{F}_{p_0}^{s_0,q_0}} \le C||f||_{\dot{F}_{p_0}^{s_0,q_0}}, \quad ||Tf||_{\dot{F}_{p_1}^{s_1,q_1}} \le C||f||_{\dot{F}_{p_1}^{s_1,q_1}}.$$

By interpolation between the two inequalities above, we have that T is a bounded operator on $\dot{F}_p^{s,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This completes the proof.

Remark 2.1. When q=2 and s=0, T is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where |1/p-1/2| < 1/(2r). This is Theorem B in [6] when $a_k=2^k\rho$.

Remark 2.2. If (ii) holds for all $1 < r < \infty$, then T is bounded on $\dot{F}_p^{s,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $1 < p, q < \infty, s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\alpha, \rho > 0$ and $\{\sigma_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of measures on \mathbb{R}^n satisfying (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.1 and

(iii) $|\widehat{\sigma}_k(\xi)| \leq C \min\{2^{k+1}\rho|\xi|, [\log^+(2^k\rho|\xi|)]^{-(1+\alpha)}\}\$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < p, q < \infty$ satisfy

(a)
$$q < p$$
, $1/q - 1/2 < r(1/q - 1/p) < 1/q - 1/(2 + 2\alpha)$: or

$$(b) \ p \leq q, \quad 1/2 - 1/q < r(1/p - 1/q) < (1 + 2\alpha)/(2 + 2\alpha) - 1/q; \ or$$

(c)
$$p = q = 2$$
.

Then operator T, defined by (2.1) is bounded on $\dot{F}_p^{s,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $||Tf||_{\dot{F}_p^{s,q}} \leq C||f||_{\dot{F}_p^{s,q}}$, where C is independent of ρ .

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, decompose T as follows

$$Tf = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma_k * \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{j+k,\rho} S_{j+k,\rho} f \right) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{j+k,\rho} (\sigma_k * S_{j+k,\rho} f) \right) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} T_j f.$$

Let $\Gamma_j = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{-j-1} \rho^{-1} \le |\xi| \le 2^{-j+1} \rho^{-1} \}$. By Plancherel's theorem,

$$||T_j f||_{L^2}^2 \le \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 |\widehat{\sigma}_k(\xi)|^2 \chi_{\Gamma_{j+k}}(\xi) d\xi$$
.

For $j \geq 2$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get

$$|\widehat{\sigma}_k(\xi)|^2 \chi_{\Gamma_{i+k}}(\xi) \le C \left(2^{k+1} \rho |\xi|\right)^2 \chi_{\Gamma_{i+k}}(\xi) \le C \left(2^{k+1} / 2^{j+k-1}\right)^2 \le C 2^{-2(j-2)}.$$

Then, $||T_j f||_{L^2} \leq C 2^{-(j-2)} ||f||_{L^2}$. For $j \leq -1$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get

$$|\widehat{\sigma}_k(\xi)|^2 \chi_{\Gamma_{j+k}}(\xi) \le C \left[\log^+(2^k \rho |\xi|) \right]^{-2(1+\alpha)} \chi_{\Gamma_{j+k}}(\xi)$$

 $\le C \left[\log^+(2^{-j-1}) \right]^{-2(1+\alpha)} \le C|j|^{-2(1+\alpha)}.$

Then, $||T_j f||_{L^2} \leq C|j|^{-(1+\alpha)}||f||_{L^2}$. As the inequality $|\widehat{\sigma}_k(\xi)| \leq ||\sigma_k|| \leq 1$, for j = 0, 1, we have $||T_j f||_{L^2} \leq C||f||_{L^2}$. Consequently, $||T_j f||_{L^2} \leq C(1+|j|)^{-(1+\alpha)}||f||_{L^2}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, there is a constant C independent of ρ such that

$$(2.5) ||T_j f||_{\dot{F}_2^{0,2}} \le C(1+|j|)^{-(1+\alpha)} ||f||_{\dot{F}_2^{0,2}}.$$

If $1 < q \le p < \infty$ and $1/q - 1/2 < r(1/q - 1/p) < 1/q - 1/(2 + 2\alpha)$, then

$$(r'-1)/(1+\alpha) < 2(r'/p-1/q) < r'-1$$
.

We choose $1 < q_0 \le p_0 < \infty$ such that

$$\frac{1}{1+\alpha} < \theta = \frac{2(\frac{r'}{p} - \frac{1}{q})}{r' - 1} < 1 , \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} , \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{1-\theta}{q_0} .$$

Hence,

$$\frac{p_0}{q_0} = \frac{1/q - \theta/2}{1/p - \theta/2} = r' > 1 .$$

Using similar arguments as in proof of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$(2.6) ||T_j f||_{\dot{F}_{p_0}^{s_0, q_0}} \le C ||f||_{\dot{F}_{p_0}^{s_0, q_0}},$$

where C is a constant independent of j and ρ . By interpolation between (2.5) and (2.6)(see [9]), noting $\theta(1+\alpha) > 1$, we get

$$||Tf||_{\dot{F}_{p}^{s,q}} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} ||T_{j}f||_{\dot{F}_{p}^{s,q}} \le C \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (1+|j|)^{-\theta(1+\alpha)} ||f||_{\dot{F}_{p}^{s,q}} = C ||f||_{\dot{F}_{p}^{s,q}}.$$

If
$$p < q$$
, $1/2 - 1/q < r(1/p - 1/q) < (1 + 2\alpha)/(2 + 2\alpha) - 1/q$, then

$$1 < q' < p' < \infty$$
, $1/q - 1/2 < r(1/q - 1/p) < 1/q - 1/(2 + 2\alpha)$

which satisfying (a). By duality, we obtain the same result.

If p = q = 2 and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, taking $p_0 = q_0 = 2 + \alpha$, $s_0 = s/2$, then p_0, q_0 satisfy the condition (a). Similarly, taking $p_1 = q_1 = (2+\alpha)/(1+\alpha)$, $s_1 = s/2$, then p_1, q_1 satisfy the condition (b). Thus

$$||Tf||_{\dot{F}_{p_0}^{s_0,q_0}} \le C||f||_{\dot{F}_{p_0}^{s_0,q_0}}, \quad ||Tf||_{\dot{F}_{p_1}^{s_1,q_1}} \le C||f||_{\dot{F}_{p_1}^{s_1,q_1}}.$$

By interpolation between the two inequalities above, we have T is bounded on $\dot{F}_p^{s,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This completes the proof.

Remark 2.3. Let q = 2 and s = 0. If (ii) holds for all $1 < r < \infty$, then T is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $(2+2\alpha)/(1+2\alpha) . This is the corresponding result in [1] when <math>a_k = 2^k \rho$.

3. Applications to Singular Integral Operators with Rough Kernels

In this section, we apply the main theorems obtained in section 2 to prove the boundedness for singular integral operators with rough kernels on homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. Let $T_{\Omega,h}$ be the singular integral operator defined by (1.1) and

 $h \in \Delta_{\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for some $\gamma > 1$, where $\Delta_{\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $\gamma > 1$ is defined by

$$\Delta_{\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{+}) = \left\{ h : \sup_{R>0} \frac{1}{R} \int_{0}^{R} |h(t)|^{\gamma} dt < \infty \right\} .$$

It is easy to see that $L^{\infty} \subset \Delta_{\beta}(\mathbb{R}_{+}) \subset \Delta_{\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{+})$ for any $1 < \gamma < \beta$ and the inclusions are proper. We will use Theorem 2.1 to show $T_{\Omega,h}$ is bounded on $\dot{F}_{p}^{s,q}$, which improves the corresponding results in [6] and [8].

Now in order to prove Theorem 4.1, Let us recall the following Lemmas, which are proved by Duoandikoetxea and Van der Corput, respectively.

Lemma 3.1 ([6]). Let $\alpha > 0$ and $\{\mu_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of measures on \mathbb{R}^n such that for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mu_k \geq 0$ and

$$|\widehat{\mu}_k(\xi) - 1| \le C|2^k \xi|$$
, $|\widehat{\mu}_k(\xi)| \le C|2^k \xi|^{-\alpha}$.

Then the maximal operator $\mu^*(f)(x) = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mu_k * f(x)|$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all 1 .

Lemma 3.2 ([11]). Let u(t) be a real-valued function, which satisfies u'(t) is monotonic on (a,b) and $u'(t) \geq 1$ for all $t \in (a,b)$. If $\psi(t)$ is a function on (a,b) with integrable derivative, then

$$\left| \int_a^b e^{i\lambda u(t)} \psi(t) dt \right| \le C\lambda^{-1} \left[|\psi(b)| + \int_a^b |\psi'(s)| ds \right] .$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $h \in \Delta_{\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{+})$ for some $\gamma > 1$. Let $\Omega \in L^{q_0}(S^{n-1})$ be a homogeneous function of degree zero and satisfy (1.2), where $\max\{0, 1 - 2/\gamma'\} < 1/q_0 < 1$. Then for all $1 < p, q < \infty$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$||T_{\Omega,h}f||_{\dot{F}_{p}^{s,q}} \le C||\Omega||_{L^{q_0}(S^{n-1})}||f||_{\dot{F}_{p}^{s,q}}.$$

Proof. As $\Delta_{\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{+}) \subset \Delta_{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+})$, where $\gamma > 2$. Define a measure $1 < \gamma \leq 2$ and $1 - 2/\gamma' < 1/q_{0} < 1$. Define measure σ_{k} on \mathbb{R}^{n} by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\sigma_k = \frac{1}{\|\Omega\|_{L^{q_0}}} \int_{2^k \le |y| < 2^{k+1}} f(y) h(|y|) \frac{\Omega(y)}{|y|^n} dy.$$

Then

$$T_{\Omega,h}f = \|\Omega\|_{L^{q_0}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma_k * f.$$

It follows from Hölder inequality that

$$\|\sigma_{k}\| \leq \frac{1}{\|\Omega\|_{L^{q_{0}}}} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(y)| \left(\int_{2^{k}}^{2^{k+1}} \frac{|h(t)|}{t} dt \right) d\sigma(y)$$

$$\leq C \left(\frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \int_{0}^{2^{k+1}} |h(t)|^{\gamma} dt \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq C.$$

Write

$$|\widehat{\sigma}_{k}(\xi)| = \frac{1}{\|\Omega\|_{L^{q_{0}}}} \left| \int_{2^{k}}^{2^{k+1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} e^{-2\pi i t \xi \cdot y} \Omega(y) d\sigma(y) \frac{h(t)}{t} dt \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\|\Omega\|_{L^{q_{0}}}} \left(\int_{2^{k}}^{2^{k+1}} \left| \int_{S^{n-1}} e^{-2\pi i t \xi \cdot y} \Omega(y) d\sigma(y) \right|^{\gamma'} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/\gamma'}$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\|\Omega\|_{L^{q_{0}}}} \left(\int_{1}^{2} \left| \int_{S^{n-1}} e^{-2\pi i 2^{k} t \xi \cdot y} \Omega(y) d\sigma(y) \right|^{\gamma'} dt \right)^{1/\gamma'}.$$

$$(3.1)$$

Setting $H_{\xi}(\Omega)(t) = \chi_{[1,2]}(t) \int_{S^{n-1}} e^{-2\pi i 2^k t \xi \cdot y} \Omega(y) d\sigma(y)$, we have

(3.2)
$$||H_{\xi}(g)||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \le C||g||_{L^{1}(S^{n-1})} .$$

Since

(3.3)
$$|H_{\xi}(g)|^2 = \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} e^{-2\pi i 2^k t \xi \cdot (y-z)} g(y) \overline{g(z)} d\sigma(y) d\sigma(z) ,$$

it follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$\left| \int_{1}^{2} e^{-2\pi i 2^{k} t \xi \cdot (y-z)} \frac{dt}{t} \right| \le C 2^{-k} \left| \xi \cdot (y-z) \right|^{-1} = C (2^{k} |\xi|)^{-1} \left| \xi' \cdot (y-z) \right|^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, $\left| \int_1^2 e^{-2\pi i 2^k t \xi \cdot (y-z)} \frac{dt}{t} \right| \le 1$. Since $0 < 1 - 1/q_0 < 2/\gamma'$, we choose $1 < q_1 < \infty$ such that

$$\frac{1}{q_1} = 1 - \frac{\gamma'}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_0} \right)$$

Thus, we have for all $0 < \alpha < 1/q_1'$,

(3.4)
$$\left| \int_{1}^{2} e^{-2\pi i 2^{k} t \xi \cdot (y-z)} \frac{dt}{t} \right| \leq C(2^{k} |\xi|)^{-\alpha} |\xi' \cdot (y-z)|^{-\alpha}.$$

Therefore, it follows from (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$||H_{\xi}(g)||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \left(\int_{1}^{2} |H_{\xi}(g)(t)|^{2} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2}$$

$$= C \left(\int_{1}^{2} \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} e^{-2\pi i 2^{k} t \xi \cdot (y-z)} g(y) \overline{g(z)} d\sigma(y) d\sigma(z) \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2}$$

$$= C \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left| \int_{1}^{2} e^{-2\pi i 2^{k} t \xi \cdot (y-z)} \frac{dt}{t} \right| g(y) \overline{g(z)} d\sigma(y) d\sigma(z) \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq C \left(2^{k} |\xi| \right)^{-\alpha/2} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{g(y) \overline{g(z)}}{|\xi' \cdot (y-z)|^{\alpha}} d\sigma(y) d\sigma(z) \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq C \left(2^{k} |\xi| \right)^{-\alpha/2} ||g||_{L^{q_{1}}(S^{n-1})} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{1}{|\xi' \cdot (y-z)|^{\alpha q'_{1}}} d\sigma(y) d\sigma(z) \right)^{1/2 q'_{1}}$$

Hence

(3.5)
$$||H_{\xi}(g)||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \left(2^{k}|\xi|\right)^{-\alpha/2} ||g||_{L^{q_{1}}(S^{n-1})} .$$

Taking $\theta = 2/\gamma'$, then $1/q_0 = \theta/q_1 + 1 - \theta$. By interpolation between (3.2) and (3.5), we have

$$||H_{\xi}(g)||_{L^{\gamma'}(\mathbb{R})} \le C \left(2^k |\xi|\right)^{-\alpha/\gamma'} ||g||_{L^{q_0}(S^{n-1})}.$$

It follows from (3.1) that $|\widehat{\sigma}_k(\xi)| \leq C (2^k |\xi|)^{-\alpha/\gamma'}$. Moreover, since $\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(y) d\sigma(y) = 0$, we have

$$|\widehat{\sigma}_{k}(\xi)| = \frac{1}{\|\Omega\|_{L^{q_{0}}}} \int_{1}^{2} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left| e^{-2\pi i 2^{k} t y \cdot \xi} - 1 \right| |\Omega(y)| d\sigma(y) \frac{|h(t)|}{t} dt$$

$$\leq C2^{k} |\xi| \frac{1}{\|\Omega\|_{L^{q_{0}}}} \int_{S^{n-1}} |y \cdot \xi'| |\Omega(y)| d\sigma(y) \left(\sup_{R} \frac{1}{R} \int_{0}^{R} |h(t)| dt \right)^{1/\gamma}$$

$$\leq C2^{k} |\xi|.$$

Let $\mu_k = |\sigma_k|$, note that $\widehat{\mu}_k(0) = ||\sigma_k|| \le C < \infty$, then the similar arguments as above yield:

$$|\widehat{\mu}_k(\xi)| \le C(2^k|\xi|)^{-\alpha/\gamma'}, \qquad |\widehat{\mu}_k(\xi) - \widehat{\mu}_k(0)| \le C2^k|\xi|.$$

By Lemma 3.1, $\sigma^*(f)(x) = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} ||\sigma_k| * f(x)| = \mu^*(f)(x)$ is a bounded operator on $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $1 < r < \infty$. It follows from Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.2 that for all $1 < p, q < \infty, s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$||T_{\Omega,h}f||_{\dot{F}_{p}^{s,q}} = ||\Omega||_{L^{q_0}} \left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma_k * f \right\|_{\dot{F}_{p}^{s,q}} \le C ||\Omega||_{L^{q_0}} ||f||_{\dot{F}_{p}^{s,q}}.$$

This completes the proof.

Let $h \equiv 1$. Grafakos and Stefanov in [10] introduced the following condition

(3.6)
$$\sup_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(y)| \left(\log \frac{1}{|\xi \cdot y|} \right)^{1+\alpha} d\sigma(y) < \infty ,$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is a fixed constant. They showed that it implies the L^p -boundedness of $T_{\Omega} = T_{\Omega,1}$ which defined in (1.1), where $(2+\alpha)/(1+\alpha) . The range for <math>p$ was improved later to $(2+2\alpha)/(1+2\alpha) in [7]. Let <math>F_{\alpha}(S^{n-1})$ denote the space of all integrable functions Ω on S^{n-1} satisfying (3.6). It should be noted that

Grafakos and Stefanov showed that

$$\bigcap_{\alpha>0} F_{\alpha}(S^{n-1}) \not\subset H^1(S^{n-1}) \not\subset \bigcup_{\alpha>0} F_{\alpha}(S^{n-1}) ,$$

where H^1 is the Hardy space. We will use Theorem 2.2 to improve the results stated above.

Now, let us recall the following Lemma, which will be used in order to prove Theorem 3.2.

Lemma 3.3 ([11]). Let $y \in S^{n-1}$ and

$$(\mathcal{M}_y f)(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r} \left| \int_{|t| < r} f(x - ty) dt \right|.$$

For every $1 , there exists a positive constant <math>C_p = C(p, d)$ which is independent of y such that

$$\|\mathcal{M}_u f\|_{L^p} \le C_p \|f\|_{L^p} .$$

Theorem 3.2. Let Ω be a homogeneous function of degree zero satisfied (1.2) and (3.6). Then T_{Ω} is bounded on $\dot{F}_{p}^{s,q}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ where $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and p,q satisfy

- $(a) \ (2+2\alpha)/(1+2\alpha)$
- (b) $1 < q < p < 2 + 2\alpha$;
- (c) 1 .

Proof. Using similar arguments as in [1]. Define a measure σ_k on \mathbb{R}^n by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\sigma_k = \int_{2^k \le |y| < 2^{k+1}} f(y) \frac{\Omega(y)}{|y|^n} dy.$$

Then $T_{\Omega}f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma_k * f$. There exist finite numbers: $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m\}$ in S^{n-1} and $A_i \subset S^{n-1}, 1 \leq i \leq m$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i = S^{n-1}$ and $|y \cdot \eta_i| < 1/10$ for all $y \in A_i$. It

follows from (3.6) that

$$\|\sigma_k\| = \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(y)| d\sigma(y) \le \bigcup_{i=1}^m \int_{A_i} |\Omega(y)| \left(\log \frac{1}{|\eta_i \cdot y|}\right)^{1+\alpha} d\sigma(y)$$

$$\le C \sup_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(y)| \left(\log \frac{1}{|\xi \cdot y|}\right)^{1+\alpha} d\sigma(y) \le C.$$

Since

$$|\sigma^{*}(f)(x)| = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(y)| \int_{2^{k}}^{2^{k+1}} |f(x-ty)| t^{-1} dt d\sigma(y)$$

$$\leq C \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(y)| \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \int_{|t| < 2^{k+1}} |f(x-ty)| dt d\sigma(y)$$

$$\leq C \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(\xi)| [\mathcal{M}_{y}|f|(x)] d\sigma(y) ,$$

by Lemma 3.3, we have for all $1 < r < \infty$

$$\|\sigma^*(f)\|_{L^r} \le C \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\mathcal{M}_y(|f|)\|_{L^r} |\Omega(y)| d\sigma(y) \le C \|f\|_{L^r}.$$

Moreover, since $\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(y) d\sigma(y) = 0$, we have

$$|\widehat{\sigma}_{k}(\xi)| = \int_{1}^{2} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left| e^{-2\pi i 2^{k} t y \cdot \xi} - 1 \right| |\Omega(y)| d\sigma(y) \frac{dt}{t}$$

$$\leq C2^{k} |\xi| \int_{S^{n-1}} |y \cdot \xi'| |\Omega(y)| d\sigma(y) \leq C2^{k} |\xi|.$$

Write

$$\widehat{\sigma}_k(\xi) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \left(\int_1^2 e^{-2\pi i 2^k t y \cdot \xi} \frac{dt}{t} \right) \Omega(y) d\sigma(y) .$$

It follows from Van der Corput's Lemma 3.2 that

$$|I_k(\xi,y)| = \left| \int_1^2 e^{-i2^k t y \cdot \xi} \frac{dt}{t} \right| \le C \left| 2^k (\xi \cdot y) \right|^{-1} = C \left(2^k |\xi| |\xi' \cdot y| \right)^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, $|I_k(\xi, y)| \le 1$. If $(2^k |\xi| |\xi' \cdot y|)^{-1} \ge 1$, then $\log \frac{1}{|\xi' \cdot y|} \ge \log^+ (2^k |\xi|)$. If $(2^k |\xi| |\xi' \cdot y|)^{-1} < 1$, then $1 \le \frac{1}{|\xi' \cdot y|} < 2^k |\xi|$. Since $t^{-1/(1+\alpha)} \log t$ is a decreasing

function when $\log t \ge 1 + \alpha$ and is a increasing function when $0 < \log t < 1 + \alpha$, we have for $\log \frac{1}{|\xi' \cdot y|} \ge 1 + \alpha$,

$$\frac{\log^+(2^k|\xi|)}{(2^k|\xi|)^{1/(1+\alpha)}} - \frac{\log(1/|\xi' \cdot y|)}{(1/|\xi' \cdot y|)^{1/(1+\alpha)}} \le 0 < \frac{1+\alpha}{(1/|\xi' \cdot y|)^{1/(1+\alpha)}} ,$$

and for $0 < \log \frac{1}{|\xi' \cdot y|} < 1 + \alpha$,

$$\frac{\log^+(2^k|\xi|)}{(2^k|\xi|)^{1/(1+\alpha)}} \le \max_{t>1} \{t^{-1/(1+\alpha)} \log t\} = \frac{1+\alpha}{10} \le \frac{1+\alpha}{(1/|\xi' \cdot y|)^{1/(1+\alpha)}}.$$

Therefore,

$$(2^k |\xi| |\xi' \cdot y|)^{-1/(1+\alpha)} \le \left[\log^+ (2^k |\xi|)\right]^{-1} \left(1 + \alpha + \log \frac{1}{|\xi' \cdot y|}\right).$$

Thus

$$|I_k(\xi,y)| \le C \min\left\{1, \ \left(2^k |\xi| \ |\xi' \cdot y|\right)^{-1}\right\} \le \left[\log^+ \left(2^k |\xi|\right)\right]^{-(1+\alpha)} \left(1 + \alpha + \log \frac{1}{|\xi' \cdot y|}\right)^{1+\alpha}.$$

Therefore,

$$|\widehat{\sigma}_{k}(\xi)| \leq C \left[\log^{+} (2^{k}|\xi|) \right]^{-(1+\alpha)} \sup_{x \in S^{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(y)| \left(\log \frac{1}{|x \cdot y|} \right)^{1+\alpha} d\sigma(y)$$

$$\leq C \left[\log^{+} (2^{k}|\xi|) \right]^{-(1+\alpha)}.$$

Moreover, T_{Ω} is a bounded operator on $\dot{F}_{p}^{s,q}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$, follows from Theorem 2.2.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express their deep gratitude to the referees for some useful comments.

References

- A. A. Salman, Y. B. Pan, Singular integrals with rough kernels, Canad. Math. Bull. 47(1)(2004), 3–11.
- [2] A. P. Calderón, A. Zygmund, On existence of certain singular integrals, Acta. Math. 88(1952), 85–139.
- [3] A. P. CalderÓn, A. Zygmund, on sigular integrals, Amer. J. Math. 78(1956), 289–309.
- [4] J. C. Chen, D. S. Fan, Y. M. Ying, Certain operators with rough singgular kernels, Canad. J. Math. 55(3)(2003), 504–532.
- [5] J. C. Chen, H. Y. Jia, L. Y. Jiang, Boundedness of rough oscillatory singular integral on Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 306(2005), 385–397.
- [6] J. Duoandikoetxea, J. L. Rubio de francia, Maximal and singular integral operators via Fourier transform estimates, Invent. Math. 84(1986), 541–561.
- [7] D. Fan, K. Guo, Y. Pan, A note on a rough singular integral operator. Math. Inequal. Appl, 2(1999), 73–81.
- [8] R. Fefferman, A note on singular integrals, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 74(1979),266–270.
- [9] M. Frazier, B. Jawerth, A discrete transform and decompositions of distribution spaces, J. Funct.
 Anal. 93(1990), 34–170.
- [10] L. Grafakos, A. Stefanov, L^p bounds for singular integrals and maximal singular integrals with rough kernel, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 47(1998), 455–469.
- [11] E. M. Stein, Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality and Oscillatory Integrals, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [12] H. Triebel, Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces and Differential Operators, 2nd edition. Johann Ambrosius Barth Verlag, Heidlberg, Leipzig, 1995.
 - (1) College of Science, Minzu University of China, Beijin, P. R. China, 100081 $E\text{-}mail\ address:}$ xiaxiashuxue@sina.com
- (2) School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, P. R. China, 100875

E-mail address: lusz@bnu.edu.cn