

UNIQUENESS OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS CONCERNING PRODUCT OF DIFFERENCE POLYNOMIALS

HARINA P. WAGHAMORE ⁽¹⁾, HUSNA VALLIJAN ⁽²⁾ AND CHAO MENG ⁽³⁾

ABSTRACT. In this paper, using the concept of weakly weighted sharing and relaxed weighted sharing we investigate the uniqueness of product of difference polynomials that share a small function. The results of the paper improve and extend the recent results due to Chao Meng [9].

1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

A meromorphic function f means meromorphic in the complex plane. If no poles occur, then f is called an entire function. The fundamental results and the standard basics of the Nevanlinna value distribution theory of entire functions are used (see [4],[11],[14]). For a meromorphic function f , $S(r, f)$ denotes any quantity satisfying $S(r, f) = o(T(r, f))$ for all r outside a possible exceptional set of the finite logarithmic measure.

Let a be a finite complex number, and l be a positive integer. We denote by $N_l(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$ the counting function for the zeros of $f(z) - a$ with multiplicity $\leq l$, and by $\overline{N}_l(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$ the corresponding one for which multiplicity is not counted.

Let $N_l(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$ be the counting function for the zeros of $f(z) - a$ with multiplicity $\geq l$ and $\overline{N}_l(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$ be the corresponding one for which multiplicity is not counted. Moreover, we set $N_l(r, \frac{1}{f-a}) = \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{f-a}) + \overline{N}_2(r, \frac{1}{f-a}) + \dots + \overline{N}_l(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$. In the same

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30D35.

Key words and phrases. Entire function, Difference polynomials, Uniqueness.

Copyright © Deanship of Research and Graduate Studies, Yarmouk University, Irbid, Jordan.

Received: Sept. 19, 2020

Accepted: Jun. 13, 2021 .

way, we can define $N_l(r, f)$.

Recently, A.Banerjee and S. Mukherjee [1] introduced another sharing notion which is also a scaling between IM and CM but weaker than weakly weighted sharing.

Definition 1.[1] Let $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. We denote by $N_E(r, a; f, g)(\overline{N}_E(r, a; f, g))$ the counting function(reduced counting function) of all common zeros of $f - a$ and $g - a$ with same multiplicities and by $N_0(r, a; f, g)(\overline{N}_0(r, a; f, g))$ the counting function(reduced counting function) of all common zeros of $f - a$ and $g - a$ ignoring multiplicities. If

$$\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-a}\right) - 2\overline{N}_E(r, a; f, g) = S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

then we say that f and g share the value a “CM”. If

$$\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-a}\right) - 2\overline{N}_0(r, a; f, g) = S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

then we say that f and g share the value a “IM”.

Definition 2.[7] Let f and g share the value a “IM” and k be a positive integer or infinity. Then $\overline{N}_k^E(r, a; f, g)$ denotes the reduced counting function of those a -points of f whose multiplicities are equal to the corresponding a -points of g , and both of their multiplicities are not greater than k . $\overline{N}_{(k)}^0(r, a; f, g)$ denotes the reduced counting function of those a -points of f which are a -points of g and both of their multiplicities are not less than k .

Definition 3.[7] For $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, if k is a positive integer or ∞ and

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}_k\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) - \overline{N}_k^E(r, a; f, g) &= S(r, f), \\ \overline{N}_k\left(r, \frac{1}{g-a}\right) - \overline{N}_k^E(r, a; f, g) &= S(r, g), \\ \overline{N}_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) - \overline{N}_{(k+1)}^0(r, a; f, g) &= S(r, f), \\ \overline{N}_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-a}\right) - \overline{N}_{(k+1)}^0(r, a; f, g) &= S(r, g), \end{aligned}$$

or if $k = 0$ and

$$\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) - \overline{N}_0(r, a; f, g) = S(r, f),$$

$$\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-a}\right) - \overline{N}_0(r, a; f, g) = S(r, g),$$

then we say f and g weakly share a with weight k . Here we write f, g share “ (a, k) ” to mean that f, g weakly share a with weight k .

Definition 4.[1] We denote by $\overline{N}(r, a; f \mid= p; g \mid= q)$ the reduced counting function of common a -points of f and g with multiplicities p and q , respectively.

Definition 5.[1] Let f, g share a “IM.” Also let k be a positive integer or ∞ and $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. If $\sum_{p,q \leq k} \overline{N}(r, a; f \mid= p; g \mid= q) = S(r)$, then we say f and g share a with weight k in a relaxed manner. Here we write f and g share $(a, k)^*$ to mean that f and g share a with weight k in a relaxed manner.

In 1997, Yang and Hua [12], studied the unicity of differential monomials and obtained the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1.[12] Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two non-constant entire functions, $n \geq 6$ a positive integer. If $f^n f'$ and $g^n g'$ share 1 CM, then either $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$, $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1, c_2, c are three constants satisfying $(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^2 = -1$ or $f(z) \equiv t g(z)$ for a constant t such that $t^{n+1} = 1$.

In 2001, Fang and Hong studied the unicity of differential polynomials of the form $f^n(f-1)f'$ and proved the following uniqueness theorem.

Theorem 1.2.[3] Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions, $n \geq 11$ an integer. If $f^n(f-1)f'$ and $g^n(g-1)g'$ share the value 1 CM, then $f \equiv g$.

In 2004, Lin and Yi extended the above theorem as to the fixed point. They proved the following result.

Theorem 1.3.[6] Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions, $n \geq 7$ an integer. If $f^n(f-1)f'$ and $g^n(g-1)g'$ share z CM, then $f \equiv g$.

Theorem 1.4.[15] Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions of finite order, and $\alpha(z)$ be a small function with respect to both $f(z)$ and $g(z)$. Suppose that c is a nonzero complex constant and $n \geq 7$ is an integer. If $f^n(z)(f(z)-1)f(z+c)$ and $g^n(z)(g(z)-1)g(z+c)$ share $\alpha(z)$ CM, then $f(z) \equiv g(z)$.

In 2014, Chao Meng [9] proved the following results.

Theorem 1.5.[9] Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions of finite order, and $\alpha(z)$ be a small function with respect to both $f(z)$ and $g(z)$. Suppose that c is a non-zero complex constant and $n \geq 7$ is an integer. If $f^n(z)(f(z)-1)f(z+c)$ and $g^n(z)(g(z)-1)g(z+c)$ share “ $(\alpha(z), 2)$ ”, then $f(z) \equiv g(z)$.

Theorem 1.6.[9] Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions of finite order, and $\alpha(z)$ be a small function with respect to both $f(z)$ and $g(z)$. Suppose that c is non-zero complex constant and $n \geq 10$ is an integer. If $f^n(z)(f(z)-1)f(z+c)$ and $g^n(z)(g(z)-1)g(z+c)$ share $(\alpha(z), 2)^*$ then $f(z) \equiv g(z)$.

Theorem 1.7.[9] Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions of finite order, and $\alpha(z)$ be a small function with respect to both $f(z)$ and $g(z)$. Suppose that c is a non-zero complex constant and $n \geq 16$ is an integer. If $\overline{E}_2(\alpha(z), f^n(z)(f(z)-1)f(z+c)) = \overline{E}_2(\alpha(z), g^n(z)(g(z)-1)g(z+c))$ then $f(z) \equiv g(z)$.

Question 1. What can be said about the relationship between two entire functions f and g if we consider the difference polynomials of the form $f^n(z)(f(z)-$

$1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{s_j}$ where $n(\geq 1), m(\geq 1)$ and $d \geq 1$ are integers?

In this paper, our main aim is to find the possible answer to above question. We assume, $c_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} (j = 1, 2, \dots, d)$ are distinct constants, $n, m, s_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, d)$ are positive integers and $\sigma = \sum_{j=1}^d s_j = s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_d$.

We prove the following results which improve and extend Theorem 1.5-1.7. The following theorems are the main results of the paper.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions of finite order and $\alpha(z)$ be a small function with respect to both $f(z)$ and $g(z)$. Let $c_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, d)$ be complex constants and $s_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, d)$ be non-negative integers. Suppose $n(\geq 1)$ and $m(\geq 1)$ are integers satisfying $n \geq \sigma + m + 5$. If $f^n(z)(f(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{s_j}$ and $g^n(z)(g(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d g(z + c_j)^{s_j}$ share “ $(\alpha(z), 2)$ ”, then $f(z) \equiv g(z)$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions of finite order and $\alpha(z)$ be a small function with respect to both $f(z)$ and $g(z)$. Let $c_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, d)$ be complex constants and $s_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, d)$ be non-negative integers. Suppose $n(\geq 1)$ and $m(\geq 1)$ are integers satisfying $n \geq 2\sigma + 2m + 6$. If $f^n(z)(f(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{s_j}$ and $g^n(z)(g(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d g(z + c_j)^{s_j}$ share $(\alpha(z), 2)^*$, then $f(z) \equiv g(z)$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions of finite order and $\alpha(z)$ be a small function with respect to both $f(z)$ and $g(z)$. Let $c_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, d)$ be complex constants and $s_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, d)$ be non-negative integers. Suppose $n(\geq 1)$ and $m(\geq 1)$ are integers satisfying $n \geq 4\sigma + 4m + 8$. If $\overline{E}_2(\alpha(z), f^n(f(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{s_j}) = \overline{E}_2(\alpha(z), g^n(g(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d g(z + c_j)^{s_j})$, then $f(z) \equiv g(z)$.

Remark 2.1. Since Theorems 1.5-1.7 can be obtained from Theorems 2.1-2.3 respectively by putting $m = 1$ and $\sigma = 1$, Theorems 2.1-2.3 improve and extend Theorems 1.5-1.7 respectively.

3. LEMMAS

Let F and G be two non-constant meromorphic functions defined in \mathbb{C} . We denote by H the function as follows.

$$H = \left(\frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{2F'}{F-1} \right) - \left(\frac{G''}{G'} - \frac{2G'}{G-1} \right)$$

Lemma 3.1.[1] Let H be defined as above. If F and G share “(1, 2)” and $H \not\equiv 0$, then

$$T(r, F) \leq N_2(r, \frac{1}{F}) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{G}) + N_2(r, F) + N_2(r, G) - \sum_{p=3}^{\infty} \overline{N}_p(r, \frac{G}{G'}) + S(r, F) + S(r, G),$$

and the same inequality holds for $T(r, G)$.

Lemma 3.2.[1] Let H be defined as above. If F and G share $(1, 2)^*$ and $H \not\equiv 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) \leq & N_2(r, \frac{1}{F}) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{G}) + N_2(r, F) + N_2(r, G) + \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F}) + \overline{N}(r, F) - m(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) \\ & + S(r, F) + S(r, G), \end{aligned}$$

and the same inequality holds for $T(r, G)$.

Lemma 3.3.[14] Let H be defined as above. If $H \equiv 0$ and

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F}) + \overline{N}(r, F) + \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G}) + \overline{N}(r, G)}{T(r)} < 1, r \in I$$

where $T(r) = \max\{T(r, F), T(r, G)\}$ and I is a set with infinite linear measure, then $F \equiv G$ or $FG \equiv 1$.

Lemma 3.4.[8] Let F and G be two non-constant entire functions, and $p \geq 2$ an integer. If $\overline{E}_p(1, F) = \overline{E}_p(1, G)$ and $H \not\equiv 0$, then

$$T(r, F) \leq N_2(r, \frac{1}{F}) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{G}) + 2\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F}) + \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G}) + S(r, F) + S(r, G).$$

Lemma 3.5.[2] Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function in the complex plane of finite order $\rho(f)$, and let η be a fixed non-zero complex number. Then for each $\epsilon > 0$ one has

$$T(r, f(z + \eta)) = T(r, f(z)) + O(r^{\rho(f)-1+\epsilon}) + O(\log r).$$

Lemma 3.6.[10] Let $f(z)$ be a entire function of finite order $\rho(f)$, c a fixed non-zero complex number, and $P(z) = a_n f^n(z) + a_{n-1} f^{n-1}(z) + \dots + a_1 f(z) + a_0$ where $a_j (j = 0, 1, \dots, n)$ are constants. If $F(z) = P(z)f(z + c)$, then $T(r, F) = (n + 1)T(r, f) + O(r^{\rho(f)-1+\epsilon}) + O(\log r)$.

Lemma 3.7. Let f be meromorphic function of finite order and c be a non-zero complex constant. Then,

$$m\left(r, \frac{f(z+c)}{f(z)}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f(z)}{f(z+c)}\right) = O\{r^{\rho(f)-1+\epsilon}\}.$$

Lemma 3.8. Let f be an entire function of order $\rho(f)$ and $F(z) = f^n(z)(f(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{s_j}$ where $n (\geq 1)$ and $m (\geq 1)$ are integers. Then,

$$T(r, F) = (n + m + \sigma)T(r, f) + O\{r^{\rho(f)-1+\epsilon}\} + S(r, f),$$

for all r outside of a set of finite linear measure where $\sigma = s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_d = \sum_{j=1}^d s_j$.

Proof. Since f is an entire function of finite order, from Lemma 3.7 and standard Valiron-Mohon'ko theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n + m + \sigma)T(r, f(z)) &= T(r, f^{n+\sigma}(z)(f(z) - 1)^m) + S(r, f) \\ &= m\left(r, f^{n+\sigma}(z)(f(z) - 1)^m\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq m\left(r, \frac{f^{n+\sigma}(z)(f(z) - 1)^m}{F(z)}\right) + m(r, F(z)) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq m\left(r, \frac{f^\sigma(z)}{\prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{s_j}}\right) + m(r, F(z)) + S(r, f) \\ (3.1) \quad &\leq T(r, F(z)) + O\{r^{\rho(f)-1+\epsilon}\} + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(r, F(z)) &\leq m(r, f^n(z)) + m(r, (f(z) - 1)^m) + m\left(r, f^\sigma(z) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{f(z + c_j)^{s_j}}{f(z)^{s_j}}\right) + S(r, f) \\
 &\leq (n + m) m(r, f(z)) + \sigma m(r, f(z)) + \sum_{j=1}^d s_j \cdot m\left(r, \frac{f(z + c_j)}{f(z)}\right) + S(r, f) \\
 &\leq (n + m + \sigma) m(r, f(z)) + O\{r^{\rho(f)-1+\varepsilon}\} + S(r, f) \\
 (3.2) \quad &\leq (n + m + \sigma) T(r, f(z)) + O\{r^{\rho(f)-1+\varepsilon}\} + S(r, f).
 \end{aligned}$$

From 3.1 and 3.2, we can prove this lemma easily.

4. PROOF OF THE THEOREMS

Proof of Theorem 2.1.

$$\text{Let } F(z) = \frac{[f(z)^n(f(z)-1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d f(z+c_j)^{s_j}]}{\alpha(z)}, \quad G(z) = \frac{[g(z)^n(g(z)-1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d g(z+c_j)^{s_j}]}{\alpha(z)}.$$

Then $F(z)$ and $G(z)$ share “(1, 2)” except the zeros or poles of $\alpha(z)$. By Lemma 3.6, we have

$$(4.1) \quad T(r, F(z)) = T(r, f(z)^n(f(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{s_j}) + S(r, f)$$

$$(4.2) \quad T(r, G(z)) = T(r, g(z)^n(g(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d g(z + c_j)^{s_j}) + S(r, g)$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_2(r, \frac{1}{F}) &= N_2(r, \frac{1}{f^n(f-1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d f(z+c_j)^{s_j}}) + S(r, f) \\
 (4.3) \quad &= N_2(r, \frac{1}{f^n}) + N(r, \frac{1}{(f-1)^m}) + N(r, \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^d f(z+c_j)^{s_j}}) + S(r, f) \\
 &\leq (2 + m + \sigma) T(r, f) + S(r, f)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad N_2(r, \frac{1}{G}) \leq (2 + m + \sigma) T(r, g) + S(r, g)$$

Suppose $H \neq 0$, then by Lemmas 3.1, 3.5 and Lemma 3.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq 2N_2(r, \frac{1}{F}) + 2N_2(r, \frac{1}{G}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
&\leq 4\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{f}) + 2N(r, \frac{1}{(f-1)^m}) + 2N(r, \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^d f(z+c_j)^{s_j}}) \\
&\quad + 4\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{g}) + 2N(r, \frac{1}{(g-1)^m}) + 2N(r, \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^d g(z+c_j)^{s_j}}) \\
&\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
(n+m+\sigma)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] &\leq (4+2m+2\sigma)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] + O(r^{\rho(f)-1+\epsilon}) \\
&\quad + O(r^{\rho(g)-1+\epsilon}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
(4.5) \\
(n-\sigma-m-4)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] &\leq O(r^{\rho(f)-1+\epsilon}) + O(r^{\rho(g)-1+\epsilon}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)
\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts with $n \geq \sigma + m + 5$. Thus we have $H \equiv 0$. Note that

$$\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F}) + \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G}) \leq (1+m+\sigma)T(r, f) + (1+m+\sigma)T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \leq T(r).$$

where $T(r) = \max\{T(r, F), T(r, G)\}$. By Lemma 3.3, we deduce that either $F \equiv G$ or $FG \equiv 1$. Next we will consider the following two cases, respectively.

Let $FG = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&[f^n(z)(f(z)-1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d f(z+c_j)^{s_j}][g^n(z)(g(z)-1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d g(z+c_j)^{s_j}] = \alpha^2 \\
&[f^n(z)(f(z)-1)(f^{m-1}(z)+f^{m-2}(z)+\dots+1) \prod_{j=1}^d f(z+c_j)^{s_j}][g^n(z)(g(z)-1)^m(g^{m-1}(z)+ \\
&g^{m-2}(z)+\dots+1) \prod_{j=1}^d g(z+c_j)^{s_j}] = \alpha^2.
\end{aligned}$$

It can be easily viewed from above that

$$N(r, \frac{1}{f}) = S(r, f) \text{ and } N(r, \frac{1}{f-1}) = S(r, f)$$

Thus,

$\delta(0, f) + \delta(1, f) + \delta(\infty, f) = 3$, which is not possible. Therefore we must have $F \equiv G$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let

$$F(z) = \frac{[f^n(z)(f(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{s_j}]}{\alpha(z)}, \quad G(z) = \frac{[g^n(z)(g(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d g(z + c_j)^{s_j}]}{\alpha(z)}.$$

Then $F(z)$ and $G(z)$ share $(1, 2)^*$ except the zeros or poles of $\alpha(z)$. Obviously

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq 2N_2(r, \frac{1}{F}) + 2N_2(r, \frac{1}{G}) + \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F}) + \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G}) + S(r, F) + S(r, G) \\ (n + m + \sigma)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] &\leq (5 + 3m + 3\sigma)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + O(r^{\rho(f)-1+\epsilon}) \\ &\quad + O(r^{\rho(g)-1+\epsilon}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

$$(n - 2m - 2\sigma - 5)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] \leq O(r^{\rho(f)-1+\epsilon}) + O(r^{\rho(g)-1+\epsilon}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

According to (4.6) and Lemma 3.2, we can prove Theorem 2.2 in a similar way as in proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Let

$$F(z) = \frac{f^n(z)(f(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{s_j}}{\alpha(z)}, \quad G(z) = \frac{g^n(z)(g(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d g(z + c_j)^{s_j}}{\alpha(z)}.$$

Then $\overline{E}_2 \left(1, f^n(z)(f - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{s_j} \right) = \overline{E}_2 \left(1, g^n(z)(g - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d g(z + c_j)^{s_j} \right)$ except the zeros or poles of $\alpha(z)$.

Obviously

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq 2N_2(r, \frac{1}{F}) + 2N_2(r, \frac{1}{G}) + 3\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F}) + 3\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G}) \\ &\quad + S(r, F) + S(r, G) \\ (n + m + \sigma)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] &\leq (7 + 5m + 5\sigma)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] + O(r^{\rho(f)-1+\epsilon}) \\ &\quad + O(r^{\rho(g)-1+\epsilon}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

$$(n - 4m - 4\sigma - 7)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] \leq O(r^{\rho(f)-1+\epsilon}) + O(r^{\rho(g)-1+\epsilon})S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

Using to (4.7) and Lemma 3.4, we can prove Theorem 2.3 in a similar way as in proof of Theorem 2.1.

5. OPEN QUESTIONS

Question 5.1 Can the Theorem 2.1 - 2.3 be extend to meromorphic functions?

Question 5.2 Can the difference polynomials in Theorem 2.1 - 2.3 be replaced by difference polynomials of the form $f^n(z)(f(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{s_j} \prod_{j=1}^k f^{(i)}(z)$?

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank editor and referees.

REFERENCES

- [1] Banerjee, Abhijit; Mukherjee, Sonali. *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions concerning differential monomials sharing the same value*. Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie (N.S.) 50(98) (2007), no. 3, 191–206.
- [2] Chiang, Yik-Man; Feng, Shao-Ji. *On the Nevanlinna characteristic of $f(z + \eta)$ and difference equations in the complex plane*. Ramanujan J. 16 (2008), no. 1, 105–129.
- [3] Fang, Ming-Liang; Hong, Wei. *A unicity theorem for entire functions concerning differential polynomials*. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 32 (2001), no. 9, 1343–1348.
- [4] Hayman, W. K. *Meromorphic functions*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs Clarendon Press, Oxford 1964.
- [5] Halburd, R. G.; Korhonen, R. J. *Nevanlinna theory for the difference operator*. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 31 (2006), no. 2, 463–478.
- [6] Lin, Weichuan; Yi, Hongxun. *Uniqueness theorems for meromorphic functions concerning fixed-points*. Complex Var. Theory Appl. 49 (2004), no. 11, 793–806.
- [7] Lin, Shanhua; Lin, Weichuan. *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions concerning weakly weighted-sharing*. Kodai Math. J. 29 (2006), no. 2, 269–280.
- [8] Lin, Xiuqing; Lin, Weichuan. *Uniqueness of entire functions sharing one value*. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. 31 (2011), no. 3, 1062–1076.
- [9] Meng, Chao. *Uniqueness of entire functions concerning difference polynomials*. Math. Bohem. 139 (2014), no. 1, 89–97.
- [10] Wang, Gang; Han, Deng-li; Wen, Zhi-Tao. *Uniqueness theorems on difference monomials of entire functions*. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2012, Art. ID 407351, 8 pp.
- [11] Yang, Lo. *Value distribution theory*. Translated and revised from the 1982 Chinese original. Springer-Verlag, Berlin; Science Press Beijing, Beijing, 1993. ISBN: 3-540-54379-1.
- [12] Yang, Chung-Chun; Hua, Xinhou. *Uniqueness and value-sharing of meromorphic functions*. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 22 (1997), no. 2, 395–406.

- [13] Yang, Chung-Chun; Yi, Hong-Xun. *Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions. Mathematics and its Applications*, 557. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 2003. viii+569 pp. ISBN: 1-4020-1448-1.
- [14] Yi, Hong-Xun. *Meromorphic functions that share one or two values*. Complex Variables Theory Appl. 28 (1995), no. 1, 1–11.
- [15] Zhang, Jilong. *Value distribution and shared sets of differences of meromorphic functions*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 367 (2010), no. 2, 401–408.

(1) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JNANABHARATHI CAMPUS, BANGALORE UNIVERSITY, BANGALORE-560 056, INDIA.

Email address: harinapw@gmail.com, harinapw@bub.ernet.in

(2) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JNANABHARATHI CAMPUS, BANGALORE UNIVERSITY, BANGALORE-560 056, INDIA.

Email address: husnav43@gmail.com

(3) SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, SHENYANG AEROSPACE UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 110136, SHENYANG, CHINA.

Email address: <mengchaosau@163.com>