ON STRONGLY g(x)-INVO CLEAN RINGS

N. ABED ALHALEEM $^{(1)}$, A. HANDAM $^{(2)}$ AND A. G. AHMAD $^{(3)}$

ABSTRACT. In this paper we characterize strongly g(x)-invo clean rings and specify the relation between strongly g(x)-invo clean rings and strongly clean rings. Further, some general properties and relevant examples of strongly g(x)-invo clean rings are presented.

1. Introduction

In this paper the ring R is assumed to be associative with identity element 1. Let U(R) denote the set of units and Inv(R) denote the subset of U(R) consisting of all involutions Inv(R) (square roots of 1), Id(R) the set of all idempotents and J(R) denote the Jacobson radical. We denote the rings of all $n \times n$ matrices by $M_n(R)$. The center of a ring R is denoted by C(R) and g(x) is a polynomial in C(R)[x], the notation of clean rings was introduced in 1977 by Nicholson [7], a ring R is called clean if for every element $r \in R$ there exits a unit $u \in U(R)$ and an idempotent $e \in Id(R)$ such that r = u + e. If ue = eu, then R is called strongly clean. In 2002 [2], Camillo and Simón defined g(x)-clean rings. A ring R is called g(x)-clean if for every $r \in R$, r = u + s where $u \in U(R)$ and g(s) = 0 for some g(x) in C(R)[x]. Wang and Chen in [8] proved that R is $g_1(x)$ -clean if and only if R is clean and b - a is invertible. Moreover, for $g_2(x) \in (x - a)(x - b)C[x]$, where $a - b \in C$ and b - a is a unit in R, if R is clean, then it is $g_2(x)$ -clean, and if R is $g_2(x)$ -clean for any $g_2(x) \in (x - a)(x - b)C[x]$, then it is clean. Strongly clean rings were studied

Received: Nov. 26, 2020

Accepted: Jul. 12, 2021.

 $^{2000\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 16 U60,\ 16 D60,\ 16 S34,\ 13 A99.$

Key words and phrases. clean ring, invo-clean ring, strongly invo-clean ring, g(x)-invo clean ring, strongly g(x)-invo clean ring.

 $[\]hbox{Copyright $ \textcircled{C} $ Deanship of Research and Graduate Studies, Yarmouk University, Irbid, Jordan. } \\$

Yang in [9], he dealt with the question of when a matrix ring is strongly clean. Among many results, he defined the conditions for the matrix ring $M_n(R)$ over a commutative ring R to be strongly clean, and that the 2×2 matrix ring $M_2(\mathbb{Z}_{(2)})$ is not strongly clean where \mathbb{Z}_2 is the localization of \mathbb{Z} at the prime ideal (2). Strongly g(x)-clean rings were studied in [6], R is called strongly g(x)-clean if every element $r \in R$ can be written as r = s + u with g(s) = 0, u a unit of R, and su = us, strongly clean rings are strongly $(x^2 - x)$ -clean rings; though, there are strongly g(x)-clean rings which are not strongly clean and vice versa.

Similarly, in 2020 g(x)-invo clean rings were defined by Abed Alhaleem and Handam in [1], an element $r \in R$ is called g(x)-invo clean if r = v + s where $v \in Inv(R)$ and g(s) = 0, R is g(x)-invo clean ring if every element is g(x)-invo clean. Clearly, every $(x^2 - x)$ -invo clean ring is invo clean. Moreover, some examples of g(x)-invo clean are these: ring $M_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is $(x^3 - x)$ -invo clean ring and \mathbb{Z}_7 is $(x^6 - 1)$ -invo clean ring which is not invo-clean ring. In [3], Danchev introduced and studied invo-clean unital rings and strongly invo-clean unital rings, a ring R is said to be invo-clean if for every $r \in R$ can be written as r = v + e where $v \in Inv(R)$ and $e \in Id(R)$. An invo-clean rings with ve = ev is called strongly invo-clean. Also, simple examples of invo-clean rings are these: $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6, \mathbb{Z}_8$. Oppositely, both $\mathbb{Z}_5, \mathbb{Z}_7$ are not invo-clean rings. In this paper, we introduce the notion of strongly g(x)-invo clean ring and determine the relation between strongly g(x)-invo clean rings are discussed and several examples will be given.

2. Strongly g(x)-invo clean ring

In this section, we define the strongly g(x)-invo clean rings and present some related examples.

Definition 2.1. Let R be a ring and $Inv(R) = \{x \in R | x^2 = 1\}$, C(R) be the center of R and $g(x) \in C(R)[x]$. An element $r \in R$ is called strongly g(x)-invo clean if there exits $v \in Inv(R)$ and s a root of g(x) such that r = v + s and vs = vs. A ring R is strongly g(x)-invo clean ring if every element in R is strongly g(x)-invo clean.

Strongly invo-clean rings are strongly $(x^2 - x)$ -invo clean rings. However, there are strongly g(x)-invo clean rings which are not strongly invo-clean and vice versa.

Example 2.1. Let R be a Boolean ring with more than two elements and let $c \in R$ with $0 \neq c \neq 1$. Define g(x) = (x+1)(x+c). Then R is strongly invo-clean but R is not strongly g(x)-invo clean.

Since e = (2e-1) + (1-e) with $(2e-1)^2 = 1$ and $(1-e)^2 = 1-e$, then every idempotent is an invo-clean element. Thus, R is strongly invo-clean. But, if c = v + s where $v \in Inv(R)$, g(s) = 0 and vs = sv, then it must be that v = 1 and s = c - v. But, $g(c-1) \neq 0$. Hence, R is not strongly g(x)-invo clean.

Example 2.2. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} = \{\frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q} : gcd(p,n) = 1 \text{ and } p \text{ prime} \}$ be the localization of \mathbb{Z} at the prime ideal $p\mathbb{Z}$ and $g(x) = (x - a)(x^2 + 1) \in C(R)[x]$. Then R is strongly invo-clean but its not strongly g(x)-invo clean. Suppose R is g(x)-invo clean, then there exist an involution v and a root s of g(x) such that a = v + s. Since $g(s) = (s - a)(s^2 + 1) = 0$ and $s - a \in Inv(R)$, so we get $(s^2 + 1) = 0$, which cannot be true in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. Hence, R is not strongly g(x)-invo clean.

Theorem 2.1. Let R be a ring and $g(x) \in (x-a)(x-b)C(R)[x]$ with $a, b \in C(R)$. Then the following holds:

- (1) R is strongly (x a)(x b)-invo clean if and only if R is strongly invo-clean and $b a \in Inv(R)$.
- (2) If R is strongly invo-clean and $b-a \in Inv(R)$, then R is strongly g(x)-invo clean.

Proof. (1). \Rightarrow : Assume that R is strongly (x-a)(x-b)-invo clean. Let $r \in R$ such that r(b-a)+a=v+e where $(e-a)(e-b)=0, v \in Inv(R)$ and ve=ev. Thus, $r=\frac{e-a}{b-a}+\frac{v}{b-a}$ where $\frac{v}{b-a}\in Inv(R), (\frac{e-a}{b-a})^2=\frac{e-a}{b-a}$ and $\frac{e-a}{b-a}\cdot\frac{v}{b-a}=\frac{v}{b-a}\cdot\frac{b-a}{e-a}$. Hence, R is strongly invo-clean.

Since a is strongly (x - a)(x - b)-invo clean, there exits $v \in Inv(R)$ and $t \in R$ such that a = t + v with (t - a)(t - b) = 0 and tu = ut. Thus, t = b. Therefore, $(b - a) \in Inv(R)$.

 \Leftarrow : Let $r \in R$. Since R is strongly invo-clean and $b - a \in Inv(R)$, $\frac{(r-a)}{(b-a)} = v + e$ where $v \in Inv(R)$, $e^2 = e$ and ve = ev. Thus, r = [v(b-a) + a] + e(b-a) where

 $v(b-a)\in Inv(R),\ [e(b-a)+a-a][e(b-a)+a-b]=0\ \text{and}\ [e(b-a)+a]v(b-a)=$ $v(b-a)[e(b-a)+a].\ \text{Then,}\ R\ \text{is strongly}\ (x-a)(x-b)\text{- invo clean}.$

(2). Follows from (1).
$$\Box$$

Corollary 2.1. Let R be a ring. Then R is strongly invo-clean if and only if R is strongly $(x^2 + x)$ -invo clean.

Proof. In the previous Theorem 2.1 when a = 0 and b = -1

Remark 1. The equivalence of strongly $(x^2 + x)$ -invo clean and invo-clean is a ring property. That is, it holds for a ring R but it may fail for a single element. For example, $1 + 1 = 2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ is invo-clean but it is not $(x^2 + x)$ -invo clean in \mathbb{Z} since \mathbb{Z} has only two involutions 1 and -1.

Corollary 2.2. A ring R is strongly invo-clean and $2 \in Inv(R)$ if and only if every element of R is the sum of an involution and a square root of 1.

Proof. Let $g(x) = (x+1)(x-1) = x^2 - 1$. Note that the condition that every element of R is the sum of an involution and a square root of 1 is equivalent to R being strongly g(x)-invo clean. Therefore, the proof is immediate by Theorem 2.1.

Remark 2. Let $g(x) = (x - a)k(x) \in C[x]$. If the equation k(x) = 0 has no solution in R, then R cannot be g(x)-invo clean (not strongly g(x)-invo clean). In fact, suppose R is g(x)-invo clean, then there exist an involution v and a root s of g(x) such that a = v + s. Since g(s) = (s - a)k(s) = 0 and $s - a \in Inv(R)$, we get k(s) = 0, which a contradiction.

3. General properties of strongly q(x)-clean rings

In this section, we study some properties of strongly g(x)-invo clean rings and we consider the strongly $(x^n - x)$ -invo clean rings.

Let R and S be rings and $\Phi: C(R) \to C(S)$ be a ring homomorphism with $\Phi(1_R) = 1_S$. For $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in C(R)[x]$ and let $g^*(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \Phi(a_i) x^i \in C(S)[x]$. In particular, If $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, then $g^*(x) = g(x)$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\Phi: R \to S$ be a ring epimorphism. If R is strongly g(x)-invoclean, then S is strongly $g^*(x)$ -invoclean.

Proof. Let $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i \in C(R)[x]$ then $g^*(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \Phi(a_i) x^i \in C(S)[x]$. As Φ is a ring epimorphism so for any $a \in S$, there exist $r \in R$ such that $\Phi(r) = a$. Since R is strongly g(x)-invo clean, there exists $s \in R$ and $v \in Inv(R)$ such that r = v + s and g(s) = 0 and v = sv. Then $a = \Phi(r) = \Phi(v + s) = \Phi(v) + \Phi(s)$ with $\Phi(v) \in Inv(S)$, and $g^*(\Phi(s)) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \Phi(a_i)(\Phi(s))^i = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \Phi(a_i)\Phi(s^i) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \Phi(a_is^i) = \Phi(\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_is^i) = \Phi(g(s)) = \Phi(0) = 0$. Therefore, S is strongly $g^*(x)$ -invo clean. \square

Proposition 3.2. If R is a strongly g(x)-invo clean ring and I is an ideal of R, then R/I is strongly $g^*(x)$ -invo clean where $g^*(x) \in C(R/I)[x]$.

Proof. Let R be a strongly g(x)-invo clean ring and $\Phi: R \to R/I$ defined by $\Phi(r) = r = r + I$. Then, Φ is an epimorphism. Therefore, by Proposition 3.1 R/I is strongly $g^*(x)$ -invo clean.

Corollary 3.1. Let R be a ring and $g(x) \in C(R)[x]$. If the formal series ring R[[t]] is strongly g(x)-invo clean, then R is strongly g(x)-invo clean.

Proof. This is because $\Phi: R[[t]] \to R$ with $\Phi(f) = a_0$ is a ring epimorphism where $f = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i t^i \in R[[t]]$.

Proposition 3.3. Let $\{R_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a family rings and $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Then $R = \prod_{i=1}^k R_i$ is strongly g(x)-invo clean if and only if R_i is strongly g(x)-invo clean for all $i \in I$.

 $Proof. \Rightarrow :$ For each $i \in I$, R_i is a homomorphic image of $\prod_{i=1}^k R_i$ under the projection homomorphism. Hence, R_i is strongly g(x)-invo clean by Proposition 3.1.

 \Leftarrow : Let $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k) \in \prod_{i=1}^k R_i$. For each i, write $x_i = v_i + s_i$ and $v_i s_i = s_i v_i$ where $v_i \in Inv(R_i), \ g(s_i) = 0$. Let $v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k)$ and $s = (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k)$. Then, it is clear that $v \in Inv(R)$ and g(s) = 0 and $v_i = s_i v_i$ Therefore, $\prod_{i=1}^k R_i$ is strongly g(x)-invo clean.

Lemma 3.1. [5] Let R be a ring and $e \in Id(R)$. Then $Inv(eRe) = (eRe) \cap (\bar{e} + Inv(R))$, where $\bar{e} = 1 - e$.

Proof. (\subseteq) If $v \in Inv(eRe)$, then $v^2 = e$. Since the product of v with \bar{e} is zero, $(v - \bar{e})^2 = e + \bar{e} = 1$, and so $(v - \bar{e}) \in Inv(R)$. Then $v \in \bar{e} + Inv(R)$.

(\supseteq) If $a = \bar{e} + v \in eRe$ with $v \in Inv(R)$, then $a - \bar{e} = v$, and then $(a - \bar{e})^2 = 1$. Thus, $(ea - e\bar{e})^2 = e$, and so $ea^2 = e$. Therefore $a^2 = e$, and then $a \in Inv(eRe)$. \square

In [4] Theorem 2.2, for invo-clean rings, if R is an invo-clean ring and $e^2 = e$, then the corner ring eRe is an invo-clean ring.

Theorem 3.1. Let R be a strongly (x-a)(x-b)-invo clean ring with $a,b \in C(R)$. Then for any $e^2 = e \in R$, eRe is (x-ea)(x-eb)-invo clean. In particular, if $g(x) \in (x-ea)(x-eb) \in C(R)[x]$ and R is (x-a)(x-b)-invo clean with $a,b \in C(R)$, then eRe is strongly g(x)-invo clean.

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, R is (x-a)(x-b)-invo clean if and only if R is invo-clean and $(b-a) \in Inv(R)$. If R is invo-clean, then eRe is invo-clean. By Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 3.1, eRe is strongly (x-ea)(x-eb)-invo clean.

Proposition 3.4. Let R be a ring with $2 \in Inv(R)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) R is strongly invo clean.
- (2) R is strongly $(x^2 2x)$ -invo clean.
- (3) R is strongly $(x^2 + 2x)$ -invo clean.
- (4) R is strongly $(x^2 2^k x)$ -invo clean.
- (5) R is strongly $(x^2 1)$ -invo clean.
- (6) for any $r \in R$ can be expressed as r = v + s with some $v, s \in Inv(R)$ and vs = sv.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Since R is strongly invo-clean and $r \in R$, $\frac{r}{2} = v + s$ with $v \in Inv(R)$, $s^2 = s$ and vs = sv, then r = 2v + 2s with $2v \in Inv(R)$, g(2s) = 0 and $2v \cdot 2s = 2s \cdot 2v$. Hence, R is strongly $(x^2 - 2x)$ -invo clean.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Since R is strongly $(x^2 - 2x)$ -invo clean, 2r = v + s with $v \in Inv(R)$, s is a root of $(x^2 - 2x)$ and vs = sv, then $r = \frac{v}{2} + \frac{s}{2}$, where $\frac{v}{2}$ is involution in R, $(\frac{s}{2})^2 = \frac{2s}{2^2} = \frac{s}{2}$ and $\frac{v}{2} \cdot \frac{s}{2} = \frac{s}{2} \cdot \frac{v}{2}$. Therefore, R is strongly invo clean.

- (2) \Rightarrow (3) Since R is strongly $(x^2 2x)$ -invo clean and let $r \in R$, -r = v + s such that $v \in Inv(R)$, $s^2 2s = 0$ and vs = sv, then r = (-v) + (-s) with $-v \in Inv(R)$, $(-s)^2 + 2(-s) = s^2 2s = 0$ and (-v)(-s) = (-s)(-v). Thus, R is strongly $(x^2 + 2x)$ -invo clean.
- $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$ In Theorem 2.1 when a = 0 and b = -2.
- (3) \Rightarrow (1) Since R is strongly (x^2+2x) -invo clean, -2r=v+s with $v\in Inv(R)$, $s^2+2s=0$ and vs=sv, then $r=\frac{-v}{2}+\frac{-s}{2}$, where $\frac{-v}{2}$ is involution in R, $(\frac{-s}{2})^2=\frac{-2s}{2^2}=\frac{-s}{2}$ and $\frac{-v}{2}\cdot\frac{-s}{2}=\frac{-s}{2}\cdot\frac{-v}{2}$. Therefore, R is strongly invo clean.
- $(1) \Rightarrow (4)$ By Theorem 2.1 let a=0 and $b=2^k$, Then, R is strongly (x^2-2^kx) -invo clean.
- $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$ As $2 \in Inv(R)$, let a = 0 and $b = 2^{2k}$. Then by (1) of Theorem 2.1, R is strongly invo-clean.
- (1) \Rightarrow (6) Let $r \in R$, 1 r = v + s where $s^2 = 2s$ (putting k = 1 in (4)), $v \in Inv(R)$ and vs = sv. Then, r = v + (1 s) with $-v \in Inv(R)$, $(1 s)^2 = 1$ and (-v)(1 s) = (1 s)(-v).
- (6) \Rightarrow (1) Let $r \in R$, 1 r = v + s where $v, s \in Inv(R)$ and vs = sv. Then, r = (-v) + (1-s) with $-v \in Inv(R)$, $(1-s)^2 = 2(1-s)$ and (-v)(1-s) = (1-s)(-v). Thus, R is strongly invo clean.
- (5) \Rightarrow (6) Since R is strongly $(x^2 1)$ -invo clean, then $r = v + s \in R$ such that $v \in Inv(R)$, $s^2 = 1$ and vs = sv.
- (6) \Rightarrow (5) Let r = v + s with some $v, s \in Inv(R)$ and vs = sv. So, s is a root of $x^2 1$. Therefore, R is strongly $(x^2 1)$ -invo clean.

Theorem 3.2. Let R be a ring, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b \in C(R)$. Then R is strongly $(ax^{2n} - bx)$ -invo clean ring if and only if R is strongly $(ax^{2n} + bx)$ -invo clean.

Proof. ⇒: Suppose R is strongly $(ax^{2n} - bx)$ -invo clean, for every $r \in R$, -r = v + s and vs = sv where $(as^{2n} - bs) = 0$ and $v \in Inv(R)$. Then, r = (-v) + (-s) where $(-v) \in Inv(R)$ and $a(-s)^{2n} + b(-s) = as^{2n} - bs = 0$ and (-v)(-s) = (-s)(-v). Hence, R is strongly $(ax^{2n} + bx)$ -invo clean.

 \Leftarrow : Suppose R is strongly $(ax^{2n} + bx)$ -invo clean, Then there exists v and s such that -r = v + s, $(as^{2n} + bs) = 0$, $v \in Inv(R)$ and vs = sv. So, r = (-v) + (-s) satisfies $(-v) \in Inv(R)$, $a(-s)^{2n} - b(-s) = as^{2n} + bs = 0$ and (-v)(-s) = (-s)(-v). Therefore, R strongly $(ax^{2n} - bx)$ -invo clean.

Proposition 3.5. Let $2 \le n \in \mathbb{N}$. A ring R is strongly $(x^n - x)$ -invo clean ring if for every $r \in R$, r = v + s where $v \in Inv(R)$ and $s^{n-1} = 1$ and vs = sv.

Proof. Let r = v + s where $v \in Inv(R)$, $s^{n-1} = 1$ and vs = sv. Then $g(s) = s^n - s = s(1-1) = 0$. Thus, s is a root of $(x^n - x)$. Therefore, R is strongly $(x^n - x)$ -invo clean.

CHALLENGING PROBLEMS

We close the article with the following two problems:

Problem 1. Classify weakly g(x)-invo clean rings. Let R be a ring and g(x) be a fixed polynomial in C(R)[x]. An element $r \in R$ is called weakly g(x)-invo clean if there exits $v \in Inv(R)$ and s is a root of g(x) such that either r = v + s or r = v - s. Describe the structure of these rings. Are they clean? Is the weakly (x^2-x) -invo clean rings are precisely the weakly invo clean rings? Does g(x)-invo clean ring considered to be a weakly g(x)-invo clean ring?

Problem 2. What is the behaviour of the matrix rings over (strongly) g(x)-invoclean rings? How to identify new families of (strongly) g(x)-clean rings through matrix rings and triangular matrix rings?

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank the editor and the referees

References

- N. Abed Alhaleem, A. Handam, Invo-clean rings associated with central polynomials, *Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math.* 42 (2020), 517
- [2] V. Camillo, J. Simón, The Nicholson-Varadarajan theorem on clean linear transformations, Glas. Math. J. 44 (2002), 365–369
- [3] P. Danchev, Invo-clean unital rings, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 32 (2017), 19–27
- [4] P. Danchev, Corners of invo-clean unital rings, Pure Math. Sci. 7 (2018), 27–31
- [5] M. El Maalmi, H. Mouanis, On g(x)-invo clean rings, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 35 (2020), 455–468
- [6] L. Fan, X. Yang, On strongly g(x)-clean rings, arXiv preprint arXiv:0803.3353(2008)
- [7] W. Nicholson, Lifting idempotents and exchange rings, Trans. Am. Math. 229 (1977), 269–278
- [8] Z. Wang, J. Chen, A note on clean rings, Algebra Colloq. 14 (2007), World Scientific, 537–540
- [9] X. Yang, Strongly clean rings and g(x)-clean rings, PhD thesis, Memorial University of Newfoundland, 2007
- (1,3) Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi 43600, Selangor, Malaysia

Email address: (1) noorb@ymail.com

Email address: (3) ghafur@ukm.edu.my

(2) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AL AL-BAYT UNIVERSITY, AL MAFRAQ, JORDAN *Email address*: alihandam@yahoo.com