ALMOST M-PRECONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS IN BIMINIMAL STRUCTURE SPACES

ANJALU ALBIS BASUMATARY $^{(1)},$ DIGANTA JYOTI SARMA $^{(2)}$ AND BINOD CHANDRA ${\rm TRIPATHY}^{(3)}$

ABSTRACT. In this article, we define almost M-Precontinuous functions in biminimal structure spaces by using the concept of M-preopen sets. We have investigated some properties. We have proved some equivalent relations between some properties. We have studied the relationship of this type of functions with some other various existing functions together with δ -open sets.

1. Introduction

The concept of minimal spaces has been introduced by Maki et al. [4]. Popa and Noiri [6] introduced the notion of M-continuous functions in minimal spaces and studied some of its properties. Min and Kim [5] explored the notion of m-preopen sets and M-Precontinuous functions in minimal spaces and obtained several characterisations. Boonpok [1] introduced the concept of biminimal structure spaces by taking two minimal structures on a non-empty set. Boonpok [2] introduced the idea of M-preopen sets and studied the notion of M-Precontinuous and weakly M-Precontinuous functions in biminimal structure spaces. It is found that Carpintero et al. [3] had introduced and characterized the concept of m-preopen sets and their related notions in

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 54A05; 54A10; 54C08.

Key words and phrases. Biminimal structure spaces; $M_{ij(X)}$ -preopen; $M_{ij(X)}$ -preclosed; $M_{ij(X)}$ -open; $M_{ij(X)}$ -regular open.

Copyright © Deanship of Research and Graduate Studies, Yarmouk University, Irbid, Jordan. Received: June 12, 2020 Accepted: Sept. 24, 2020 .

biminimal structure spaces. Also, Phosri et al. [7] defined weakly M-Precontinuous functions on biminimal structure spaces in a different way. Fuzzy m-structures and m-open multifunctions in fuzzy bitopological space has been studied by Tripathy and Debnath [8].

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this article, $(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)})$ (respectively, $(X, M_{(X)})$) denotes a biminimal structure space (respectively, minimal space) with two minimal structures $M_{1(X)}$ and $M_{2(X)}$ (respectively, $M_{(X)}$) on a non-empty set X.

According to Maki et al. [4], a collection $M_{(X)}$ of a powerset P(X) of a non-empty set X is called a minimal structure (briefly m-structure) on X if $\emptyset \in M_{(X)}$ and $X \in M_{(X)}$ and the space $(X, M_{(X)})$ is said to be a minimal space. Members of $M_{(X)}$ are called $M_{(X)}$ -open sets and the complement of $M_{(X)}$ -open sets are said to be $M_{(X)}$ -closed sets. That is, for a subset S of X, $S \in M_{(X)}$ means S is $M_{(X)}$ -open and $X \setminus S \in M_{(X)}$ means S is $M_{(X)}$ -closed. If $S \subset X$, then the $M_{(X)}$ -closure and the $M_{(X)}$ -interior of S denoted by $M_{(X)}$ -Cl(S) and $M_{(X)}$ -Int(S) respectively are defined as $M_{(X)}$ - $Cl(S) = \cap \{T : S \subset T, T \text{ is } M_{(X)}\text{-closed}\}$ and $M_{(X)}$ - $Int(S) = \cup \{T : T \subset S, T \in M_{(X)}\}$.

The following results are due to Maki et al. [4]

Lemma 2.1. Let $(X, M_{(X)})$ be a minimal space and $S \subset X$, then

- (a) $M_{(X)}$ - $Cl(X \setminus S) = X \setminus M_{(X)}$ -Cl(S) and $M_{(X)}$ - $Int(X \setminus S) = X \setminus M_{(X)}$ -Int(S).
- (b) $M_{(X)}$ -Int(S) $\in M_{(X)}$ and $M_{(X)}$ -Cl(S) is $M_{(X)}$ -closed.
- (c) S is $M_{(X)}$ -closed if and only if $M_{(X)}$ -Cl(S) = S and S \in $M_{(X)}$ if and only if $M_{(X)}$ -Int(S) = S.
- (d) $S \subseteq M_{(X)}$ -Cl(S) and $M_{(X)}$ - $Int(S) \subseteq S$.

(e) $M_{(X)}$ - $Cl(M_{(X)}$ - $Cl(S)) = M_{(X)}$ -Cl(S) and $M_{(X)}$ - $Int(M_{(X)}$ - $Int(S)) = M_{(X)}$ -Int(S).

A point $a \in M_{(X)}$ -Cl(S) if and only if $T \cap S \neq \emptyset$, for every $T \in M_{(X)}$ containing a. A subset S of a minimal space $(X, M_{(X)})$ is M-preopen [5] if $S \subset M_{(X)}$ - $Int(M_{(X)}$ -Cl(S)).

A space $(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)})$ with two minimal structures $M_{1(X)}$ and $M_{2(X)}$ on a nonempty set X is called a biminimal structure space [1].

According to Boonpok [2], a subset S of a biminimal structure space $(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)})$ is said to be

- (a) $M_{ij(X)}$ -preopen if $S \subseteq M_{i(X)}$ - $Int(M_{j(X)}$ -Cl(S)) and $M_{ij(X)}$ -preclosed if $X \setminus S$ is $M_{ij(X)}$ -preopen.
- (b) $M_{ij(X)}$ -regular open if $S = M_{i(X)}$ - $Int(M_{j(X)}$ -Cl(S)).
- (c) $M_{ij(X)}$ -regular closed if $S = M_{i(X)}$ - $Cl(M_{j(X)}$ -Int(S)) where i, j = 1, 2 and $i \neq j$.

We denote the collection of all $M_{ij(X)}$ -preopen, $M_{ij(X)}$ -preclosed, $M_{ij(X)}$ -regular open and $M_{ij(X)}$ -regular closed sets of X by $M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X), $M_{ij(X)}$ -PC(X), $M_{ij(X)}$ -RO(X) and $M_{ij(X)}$ -RC(X) respectively.

A point a in a biminimal structure space $(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)})$ is said to be (please refer to Carpintero et al. [3])

- (a) [3] $M_{ij(X)}$ -preinterior point of a subset S of X if there exists $T \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) such that $a \in T \subset S$.
- (b) [3] $M_{ij(X)}$ -precluster point of a subset S of X if $T \cap S \neq \emptyset$, for every $T \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) containing a.

The set of all $M_{ij(X)}$ -preinterior points of S is called $M_{ij(X)}$ -preinterior of S and is

denoted by $M_{ij(X)}$ - $Int_p(S)$. Also, the set of all $M_{ij(X)}$ -precluster points of S is called $M_{ij(X)}$ -preclosure of S and it is denoted by $M_{ij(X)}$ - $Cl_p(S)$.

The following results are due to Carpintero et al. [3]

Lemma 2.2. Let $(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)})$ be a biminimal structure space and $S \subset X$. Then

- (a) $M_{ij(X)}$ - $Int_p(S) \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X)
- (b) $M_{ij(X)}$ - $Cl_p(S) \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PC(X)
- (c) $M_{ij(X)}$ -Int_p(S) = \cup {T : T \subset S and T \in $M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X)}
- (d) $M_{ij(X)}$ - $Cl_p(S) = \cap \{T : S \subset T \text{ and } T \in M_{ij(X)}$ - $PC(X)\}$
- (e) $M_{ij(X)}$ -Int_p(S) is the largest $M_{ij(X)}$ -preopen set in X contained in S.
- (f) $M_{ij(X)}$ - $Cl_p(S)$ is the smallest $M_{ij(X)}$ -preclosed set in X containing S.
- (g) $S \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) if and only if $S = M_{ij(X)}$ - $Int_p(S)$ and $S \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PC(X) if and only if $S = M_{ij(X)}$ - $Cl_p(S)$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)})$ be a biminimal structure space and $S \subset X$. Then (a) A point $a \in M_{ij(X)}$ - $Cl_p(S)$ if and only if $T \cap S \neq \emptyset$, for every $T \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) containing a.

- (b) $X \setminus M_{ij(X)}$ - $Cl_p(S) = M_{ij(X)}$ - $Int_p(X \setminus S)$.
- (c) $X \setminus M_{ij(X)}$ - $Int_p(S) = M_{ij(X)}$ - $Cl_p(X \setminus S)$.

3. Almost M_{ij} -precontinuous function

Definition 3.1. A function $g:(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)}) \to (Y, M_{1(Y)}, M_{2(Y)})$ is said to be almost M_{ij} -precontinuous at a point $a \in X$ if for every $S \in M_{i(Y)}$ containing g(a), there exists $T \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) containing a such that $g(T) \subseteq M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ -Cl(S)).

If g is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous at every point $a \in X$, then it is called almost M_{ij} -precontinuous.

Theorem 3.1. Let $g:(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)}) \to (Y, M_{1(Y)}, M_{2(Y)})$ be a function. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) g is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous.
- (b) $a \in M_{ij(X)}$ -Int_p($g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}$ -Int($M_{j(Y)}$ -Cl(S)))), for every $S \in M_{i(Y)}$ containing g(a), where $a \in X$.
- (c) $a \in M_{ij(X)}$ -Int_p($g^{-1}(S)$), for every $S \in M_{ij(Y)}$ -RO(Y) containing g(a), where $a \in X$.
- (d) For every $S \in M_{ij(Y)}$ -RO(Y) containing g(a), there exist $T \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) containing a such that $g(T) \subseteq S$.
- Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) Let $S \in M_{i(Y)}$ such that $g(a) \in S$. Since g is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous, so there exists $T \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) containing a such that $g(T) \subseteq M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ -Cl(S)). This implies $a \in T \subseteq g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ -Cl(S)). Since $T \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X), so $a \in T = M_{ij(X)}$ - $Int_p(T) \subseteq M_{ij(X)}$ - $Int_p(g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ -Cl(S))). Hence, $a \in M_{ij(X)}$ - $Int_p(g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ -Cl(S))).
- (b) \Rightarrow (c) Let $S \in M_{ij(Y)}\text{-}RO(Y)$ containing g(a). So $S = M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(S))$. By (b), we have $a \in M_{ij(X)}\text{-}Int_p(g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(S))))$ which implies that $a \in M_{ij(X)}\text{-}Int_p(g^{-1}(S))$.
- (c) \Rightarrow (d) Let $S \in M_{ij(Y)}\text{-}RO(Y)$ containing g(a). By (c), $a \in M_{ij(X)}\text{-}Int_p(g^{-1}(S)) \subseteq g^{-1}(S)$. Since, $M_{ij(X)}\text{-}Int_p(g^{-1}(S)) \in M_{ij(X)}\text{-}PO(X)$ containing a, so if we take $T = M_{ij(X)}\text{-}Int_p(g^{-1}(S))$, then $T \in M_{ij(X)}\text{-}PO(X)$ such that $a \in T \subset g^{-1}(S)$. Hence $g(T) \subset S$.
- (d) \Rightarrow (a) Let $S \in M_{i(Y)}$ such that $g(a) \in S$. Then $g(a) \in S = M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(S) \subset M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ -Cl(S)). Since, $M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ -Cl(S)) $\in M_{ij(Y)}$ -RO(Y), so by (d), there

exists $T \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) containing a such that $g(T) \subset M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ -Cl(S)). Thus g is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous function.

Theorem 3.2. Let $g:(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)}) \to (Y, M_{1(Y)}, M_{2(Y)})$ be a function. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) g is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous.
- (b) $g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}-Int(M_{j(Y)}-Cl(S))) \in M_{ij(X)}-PO(X)$, for every $S \in M_{i(Y)}$.
- (c) $g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}-Cl(M_{j(Y)}-Int(T))) \in M_{ij(X)}-PC(X)$, for every $M_{i(Y)}$ -closed set T of Y.
- (d) $g^{-1}(T) \in M_{ij(X)}\text{-}PC(X)$, for every $T \in M_{ij(Y)}\text{-}RC(Y)$.
- (e) $g^{-1}(S) \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X), for every $S \in M_{ij(Y)}$ -RO(Y).
- Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) Let $S \in M_{i(Y)}$ and let $a \in g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(S)))$. This implies $g(a) \in M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(S))$. By (a), g is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous and since $M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(S)) \in M_{ij(Y)}\text{-}RO(Y)$, so by Theorem 3.1, there exists $T \in M_{ij(X)}\text{-}PO(X)$ containing a such that $g(T) \subset M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(S))$. This implies $a \in T \subset g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(S)))$. Since $T \in M_{ij(X)}\text{-}PO(X)$, so $a \in T = M_{ij(X)}\text{-}Int_p(T) \subset M_{ij(X)}\text{-}Int_p(g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(S)))) \subset g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(S)))$. Hence, $g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(S))) \in M_{ij(X)}\text{-}PO(X)$.
- (b) \Rightarrow (c) Let T be $M_{i(Y)}$ -closed set in Y. Then $Y \setminus T \in M_{i(Y)}$. So by (b), we have $g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(Y \setminus T))) \in M_{ij(X)}\text{-}PO(X)$. Now, $g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Int(T)))) = g^{-1}(Y \setminus M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Int(T))) = g^{-1}(Y) \setminus g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Int(T))) = X \setminus g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Int(T)))$. Therefore, $g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(Y \setminus T))) = X \setminus g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Int(T))) \in M_{ij(X)}\text{-}PO(X)$. Hence, $g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(T))) \in M_{ij(X)}\text{-}PC(X)$.
- (c) \Rightarrow (d) Let $T \in M_{ij(Y)}\text{-}RC(Y)$. Then $T = M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Int(T))$. Also, $M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(T) = M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Int(T))) = M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Int(T)) = T$. So, T is $M_{i(Y)}\text{-}closed$ in Y. By (c), $g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Int(T))) \in M_{ij(X)}\text{-}PC(X)$. This

implies that $g^{-1}(T) \in M_{ij(X)}\text{-}PC(X)$.

- (d) \Rightarrow (e) Let $S \in M_{ij(Y)}\text{-}RO(Y)$. Then $Y \setminus S \in M_{ij(Y)}\text{-}RC(Y)$. By (d), $g^{-1}(Y \setminus S) = X \setminus g^{-1}(S) \in M_{ij(X)}\text{-}PC(X)$. Hence, $g^{-1}(S) \in M_{ij(X)}\text{-}PO(X)$.
- (e) \Rightarrow (a) Let $a \in X$ and $S \in M_{ij(Y)}\text{-}RO(Y)$ containing g(a). Then $a \in g^{-1}(S)$. By (e), we have $g^{-1}(S) \in M_{ij(X)}\text{-}PO(X)$. Now, $g(g^{-1}(S)) \subset S$. Thus by Theorem 3.1, g is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous function.

Theorem 3.3. Let $g:(X,M_{1(X)},M_{2(X)}) \to (Y,M_{1(Y)},M_{2(Y)})$ be a function. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) g is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous.
- (b) $M_{ij(X)}$ - $Cl_p(g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}-Cl(M_{j(Y)}-Int(M_{i(Y)}-Cl(S))))) \subseteq g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}-Cl(S))$, for every $S \subset Y$.
- $(c) M_{ij(X)} Cl_p(g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)} Cl(M_{j(Y)} Int(T)))) \subseteq g^{-1}(T), \text{ for every } T \in M_{ij(Y)} RC(Y).$
- (d) $M_{ij(X)}$ - $Cl_p(g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}-Cl(F))) \subseteq g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}-Cl(F))$, for every $F \in M_{j(Y)}$.
- (e) $g^{-1}(F) \subseteq M_{ij(X)}$ -Int $p(g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}$ -Int $(M_{j(Y)}$ -Cl(F)))), for every $F \in M_{i(Y)}$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) Let $a \in X$ and $S \subset Y$. Suppose that, $a \in X \setminus g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(S))$. Therefore $g(a) \in Y \setminus M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(S)$ and so by Lemma 2.1, there exists $F \in M_{i(Y)}$ containing g(a) such that $F \cap S = \emptyset$, which implies $F \cap M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(S))) = \emptyset$. Thus, $M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(F)) \cap M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(S))) = \emptyset$. Since g is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous, so there exists $W \in M_{ij(X)}\text{-}PO(X)$ containing a such that $g(W) \subset M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(F))$. This implies $g(W) \cap M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(S)))) = \emptyset$. Consequently, by Lemma 2.3, we have $a \in X \setminus M_{ij(X)}\text{-}Cl_p(g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(S)))))$. Hence, $M_{ij(X)}\text{-}Cl_p(g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(S))))) \subseteq g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(S))$.

- (b) \Rightarrow (c) Let $T \in M_{ij(Y)}\text{-}RC(Y)$. So $T = M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Int(T))$. Now, $M_{ij(X)}\text{-}Cl_p(g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Int(T)))) = M_{ij(X)}\text{-}Cl_p(g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Int(T)))) \subseteq g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Int(T))) = g^{-1}(T)$, by (b). Hence, $M_{ij(X)}\text{-}Cl_p(g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Int(T)))) \subseteq g^{-1}(T)$.
- (c) \Rightarrow (d) Let $F \in M_{j(Y)}$. Then $M_{i(Y)}$ - $Cl(F) \in M_{ij(Y)}$ -RC(Y). Therefore, by (c), we have $M_{ij(X)}$ - $Cl_p(g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}$ - $Cl(F))) \subseteq M_{ij(X)}$ - $Cl_p(g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}$ - $Cl(M_{j(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{i(Y)}$ - $Cl(F)))) \subseteq g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}$ -Cl(F)). Hence, $M_{ij(X)}$ - $Cl_p(g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}$ - $Cl(F))) \subseteq g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}$ -Cl(F)).
- (d) \Rightarrow (e) Let $F \in M_{i(Y)}$. So $Y \setminus M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(F) \in M_{j(Y)}$. Now by (d), we have $M_{ij(X)}\text{-}Cl_p(g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(Y \setminus M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(F)))) \subseteq g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Cl(Y \setminus M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(F))) \Rightarrow M_{ij(X)}\text{-}Cl_p(g^{-1}(Y \setminus M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(F)))) \subseteq g^{-1}(Y \setminus M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(F))) \Rightarrow M_{ij(X)}\text{-}Cl_p(X \setminus g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(F)))) \subseteq X \setminus g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(F))) \Rightarrow X \setminus M_{ij(X)}\text{-}Int_p(g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(F)))) \subseteq X \setminus g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(F))) \subseteq X \setminus g^{-1}(F)$. Hence $g^{-1}(F) \subseteq M_{ij(X)}\text{-}Int_p(g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(F))))$.
- (e) \Rightarrow (a) Let $a \in X$ and $F \in M_{i(Y)}$ containing g(a). Then $a \in g^{-1}(F) \subseteq M_{ij(X)}\text{-}Int_p(g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(F))))$. Putting $W = M_{ij(X)}\text{-}Int_p(g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(F))))$, then $W \in M_{ij(X)}\text{-}PO(X)$ containing a and $W \subseteq g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(F)))$. Thus $g(W) \subseteq M_{i(Y)}\text{-}Int(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(F))$ and hence g is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous function.

Theorem 3.4. Let $g:(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)}) \to (Y, M_{1(Y)}, M_{2(Y)})$ be almost M_{ij} -precontinuous function and let $S \in M_{i(Y)} \cap M_{j(Y)}$. If for every $a \in X$, $a \in M_{ij(X)}$ - $Cl_p(g^{-1}(S)) \setminus g^{-1}(S)$, then $g(a) \in M_{ij(Y)}$ - $Cl_p(S)$.

Proof. Let $a \in X$ and $a \in M_{ij(X)}$ - $Cl_p(g^{-1}(S)) \setminus g^{-1}(S)$. Assume that, $g(a) \notin M_{ij(Y)}$ - $Cl_p(S)$. Then by Lemma 2.3, there exists $T \in M_{ij(Y)}$ -PO(Y) containing g(a) such that $T \cap S = \emptyset$. So, $M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ - $Cl(T)) \cap S = \emptyset$. Also, $M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ - $Cl(T)) \in M_{ij(Y)}$ -RO(Y) and since g is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous, so by Theorem 3.1,

there exists $W \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) containing a such that $g(W) \subset M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ -Cl(T)) and so $g(W) \cap S = \emptyset$. Since $a \in M_{ij(X)}$ - $Cl_p(g^{-1}(S))$ we have by Lemma 2.3, $W \cap g^{-1}(S) \neq \emptyset$, that is $g(W) \cap S \neq \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $g(a) \in M_{ij(Y)}$ - $Cl_p(S)$.

Definition 3.2. A point a in a biminimal structure space $(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)})$ is said to be $M_{ij(X)}$ - δ -cluster point of $P \subset X$ if $P \cap Q \neq \emptyset$, for every $Q \in M_{ij(X)}$ -RO(X) containing a. The set of all $M_{ij(X)}$ - δ -cluster points of P is called $M_{ij(X)}$ - δ -closure of P and may be denoted by $M_{ij(X)}$ - $Cl_{\delta}(P)$. The subset P of X is called $M_{ij(X)}$ - δ -closed if the set of all $M_{ij(X)}$ - δ -cluster points of P is a subset of P. Also, P is $M_{ij(X)}$ - δ -open if it $X \setminus P$ is $M_{ij(X)}$ - δ -closed. So, any subset of $(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)})$ is $M_{ij(X)}$ - δ -open if it can be expressed as the union of $M_{ij(X)}$ -regular open sets of X.

We denote the set of all $M_{ij(X)}$ - δ -closed and $M_{ij(X)}$ - δ -open sets of $(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)})$ by $M_{ij(X)}$ - $\delta C(X)$ and $M_{ij(X)}$ - $\delta O(X)$ respectively.

Theorem 3.5. Let $g:(X,M_{1(X)},M_{2(X)}) \to (Y,M_{1(Y)},M_{2(Y)})$ be a function. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) g is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous.
- (b) $g(M_{ij(X)}-Cl_p(S)) \subseteq M_{ij(Y)}-Cl_{\delta}(g(S))$, for every $S \subset X$.
- (c) $M_{ij(X)}$ - $Cl_p(g^{-1}(T)) \subseteq g^{-1}(M_{ij(Y)}$ - $Cl_{\delta}(T))$, for every $T \subset Y$.
- (d) $g^{-1}(S) \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PC(X), for every $S \in M_{ij(Y)}$ - $\delta C(Y)$.
- (e) $g^{-1}(T) \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X), for every $T \in M_{ij(Y)}$ - $\delta O(Y)$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) Let $a \in S$ and $S \subset X$. Also, let $F \in M_{i(Y)}$ containing g(a). By (a), g is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous, so there exists $W \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) containing a such that $g(W) \subseteq M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ -Cl(F)). Let $a \in M_{ij(X)}$ - $Cl_p(S)$, then by Lemma 2.3, $W \cap S \neq \emptyset$ and so $\emptyset \neq g(W) \cap g(S) \subseteq M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ - $Cl(F)) \cap g(S)$. Since we have $F \in M_{i(Y)}$, so $F \subseteq M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ -Cl(F)) and $M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ - $Cl(F)) \in M_{ij(Y)}$ -RO(Y). Hence $g(a) \in M_{ij(Y)}$ - $Cl_{\delta}(g(S))$. Consequently, $a \in g^{-1}(M_{ij(Y)}$ - $Cl_{\delta}(g(S))$).

Thus $M_{ij(X)}$ - $Cl_p(S) \subseteq g^{-1}(M_{ij(Y)}-Cl_\delta(g(S)))$. That is, $g(M_{ij(X)}-Cl_p(S)) \subseteq M_{ij(Y)}-Cl_\delta(g(S))$.

- (b) \Rightarrow (c) Let $T \subset Y$. Then $g^{-1}(T) \subset X$. By (b), we have $g(M_{ij(X)} Cl_p(g^{-1}(T))) \subseteq M_{ij(Y)} Cl_{\delta}(g(g^{-1}(T))) \subseteq M_{ij(Y)} Cl_{\delta}(T) \Rightarrow M_{ij(X)} Cl_p(g^{-1}(T)) \subseteq g^{-1}(M_{ij(Y)} Cl_{\delta}(T))$. (c) \Rightarrow (d) Let $S \in M_{ij(Y)} - \delta C(Y)$. So by (c), $M_{ij(X)} - Cl_p(g^{-1}(S)) \subseteq g^{-1}(M_{ij(Y)} - Cl_{\delta}(T))$
- (c) \Rightarrow (d) Let $S \in M_{ij(Y)}$ - $\delta C(Y)$. So by (c), $M_{ij(X)}$ - $Cl_p(g^{-1}(S)) \subseteq g^{-1}(M_{ij(Y)}$ - $Cl_{\delta}(S)) = g^{-1}(S)$. Also, $g^{-1}(S) \subseteq M_{ij(X)}$ - $Cl_p(g^{-1}(S))$. Thus $g^{-1}(S) = M_{ij(X)}$ - $Cl_p(g^{-1}(S))$ and hence $g^{-1}(S) \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PC(X).
- (d) \Rightarrow (e) Let $T \in M_{ij(Y)}$ - $\delta O(Y)$. Then $Y \setminus T \in M_{ij(Y)}$ - $\delta C(Y)$. By (d), we have $g^{-1}(Y \setminus T) = X \setminus g^{-1}(T) \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PC(X). Hence, $g^{-1}(T) \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X).
- (e) \Rightarrow (a) Let $a \in X$ and $S \in M_{i(Y)}$ such that $g(a) \in S$. Then $M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ - $Cl(S)) \in M_{ij(Y)}$ -RO(Y) containing g(a). Since, $M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ - $Cl(S)) \in M_{ij(Y)}$ - $\delta O(Y)$, then by (e), we have $g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ - $Cl(S))) \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X). Since, $S \subseteq M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ -Cl(S)), so $g^{-1}(S) \subseteq g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ - $Cl(S))) = M_{ij(X)}$ - $Int_p(g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ -Cl(S)))). Thus $g^{-1}(S) \subseteq M_{ij(X)}$ - $Int_p(g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ -Cl(S)))). Hence by Theorem 3.3, we have g is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous. \square

Theorem 3.6. Let $g:(X,M_{1(X)},M_{2(X)}) \to (Y,M_{1(Y)},M_{2(Y)})$ be a function. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) g is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous.
- (b) For every $a \in X$ and every $S \in M_{ij(Y)}$ - $\delta O(Y)$ containing g(a), there exists $T \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) containing a such that $g(T) \subset S$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) Let $a \in X$ and $S \in M_{ij(Y)}$ - $\delta O(Y)$ be such that $g(a) \in S$. Then there exists $W \in M_{i(Y)}$ containing g(a) such that $W = M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(W) \subset M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ - $Cl(W)) \subset S$. Since, $M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ - $Cl(W)) \in M_{ij(Y)}$ -RO(Y) containing g(a), then by Theorem 3.1, there exists $T \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) containing a such that $g(T) \subset M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ - $Cl(W)) \subset S$.

(b) \Rightarrow (a) Let $a \in X$ and every $S \in M_{i(Y)}$ containing g(a). Then $M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ - $Cl(S)) \in M_{ij(Y)}$ - $\delta O(Y)$ containing g(a). By (b), there exists $T \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) containing a such that $g(T) \subset M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ -Cl(S)). Hence g is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous.

Definition 3.3. A function $g:(X,M_{1(X)},M_{2(X)})\to (Y,M_{1(Y)},M_{2(Y)})$ is M_{ij} -precontinuous if $g^{-1}(S)\in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) for every $S\in M_{i(Y)}$ where i,j=1,2 and $i\neq j$.

Remark 1. M_{ij} -precontinuity \Rightarrow almost M_{ij} -precontinuity. However, the converse may not be true in general as shown in the following example.

Example 3.1. Let $X = \{p, q, r\} = Y$, $M_{1(X)} = \{\emptyset, \{p\}, \{q, r\}, X\}$, $M_{2(X)} = \{\emptyset, \{q\}, \{p, r\}, X\}$, $M_{1(Y)} = \{\emptyset, \{p\}, \{q\}, \{p, q\}, \{q, r\}, Y\}$, $M_{2(Y)} = \{\emptyset, \{p\}, Y\}$. Let $g: (X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)}) \to (Y, M_{1(Y)}, M_{2(Y)})$ be the identity function. Then g is almost M_{12} -precontinuous function but it is not M_{12} -precontinuous since $\{q\} \in M_{1(Y)}$ but $g^{-1}(\{q\}) \notin M_{12(X)}$ -PO(X).

Theorem 3.7. Let $g:(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)}) \to (Y, M_{1(Y)}, M_{2(Y)})$ be almost M_{ij} -precontinuous function satisfying $M_{ij(X)}$ -Int $_p(g^{-1}(M_{j(Y)}\text{-}Cl(F))) \subset g^{-1}(F)$ for every $F \in M_{i(Y)}$, then g is M_{ij} -precontinuous.

Proof. Let $F \in M_{i(Y)}$ and g be almost M_{ij} -precontinuous. Then by Theorem 3.3, we have $g^{-1}(F) \subset M_{ij(X)}$ - $Int_p(g^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}-Int(M_{j(Y)}-Cl(F)))) \subset M_{ij(X)}$ - $Int_p(g^{-1}(M_{j(Y)}-Cl(F)))$. Further by the given condition, $M_{ij(X)}$ - $Int_p(g^{-1}(M_{j(Y)}-Cl(F))) \subset g^{-1}(F)$. So, $g^{-1}(F) = M_{ij(X)}$ - $Int_p(g^{-1}(M_{j(Y)}-Cl(F)))$ and consequently by Lemma 2.2, $g^{-1}(F) \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X). Hence g is M_{ij} -precontinuous.

Definition 3.4. A function $g:(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)}) \to (Y, M_{1(Y)}, M_{2(Y)})$ is said to be weakly M_{ij} -precontinuous at $a \in X$ if for every $S \in M_{i(Y)}$ containing g(a), there

exists $T \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) containing a such that $g(T) \subset M_{j(Y)}$ -Cl(S). If g is weakly M_{ij} -precontinuous at every point $a \in X$, then it is called weakly M_{ij} -precontinuous.

Remark 2. Almost M_{ij} -precontinuity \Rightarrow weakly M_{ij} -precontinuity. But the converse need not be true in general follows from the example given below.

Example 3.2. Let $X = \{p, q, r\} = Y$, $M_{1(X)} = \{\emptyset, \{p\}, \{q, r\}, X\}$, $M_{2(X)} = \{\emptyset, \{p, r\}, \{q, r\}, X\}$, $M_{1(Y)} = \{\emptyset, \{p\}, \{q\}, \{p, q\}, Y\}$, $M_{2(Y)} = \{\emptyset, \{p\}, Y\}$. Let $g: (X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)}) \rightarrow (Y, M_{1(Y)}, M_{2(Y)})$ be the identity function. Then g is weakly M_{12} -precontinuous function but it is not almost M_{12} -precontinuous, since for $\{q\} \in M_{1(Y)}$ containing g(q) there does not exist $T \in M_{12(X)}$ -PO(X) containing q such that $g(T) \subseteq M_{1(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{2(Y)}$ - $Cl(\{q\}))$.

Theorem 3.8. If $g:(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)}) \to (Y, M_{1(Y)}, M_{2(Y)})$ is weakly M_{ij} -precontinuous function which satisfies $g(T) \subset M_{i(Y)}$ -Int $(M_{j(Y)}$ -Cl(g(T))) for every $T \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X), then g is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous.

Proof. Let $a \in X$ and $S \in M_{i(Y)}$ containing g(a). Since g is weakly M_{ij} -precontinuous, so there exists $T \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) containing a such that $g(T) \subset M_{j(Y)}$ -Cl(S). Also, $g(T) \subset M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ - $Cl(g(T))) \subset M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ - $Cl(M_{j(Y)}$ - $Cl(S))) \subset M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ -Cl(S)). Hence, g is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous.

Theorem 3.9. Let $g:(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)}) \to (Y, M_{1(Y)}, M_{2(Y)})$ be a function and $h: X \to X \times Y$ be a function defined by h(a) = (a, g(a)) for every $a \in X$. Then g is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous if h is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous.

Proof. Let h be almost M_{ij} -precontinuous and let $S \in M_{ij(Y)}$ -RO(Y) containing g(a), where $a \in X$. Then $h(a) = (a, g(a)) \in X \times S$ and $X \times S \in M_{ij(X \times Y)}$ - $RO(X \times Y)$. Since h is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous, so there exists $T \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) containing a such that $h(T) \subseteq X \times Y$. Then we get $g(T) \subseteq S$. Now, by Theorem 3.1, we have g is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous.

Theorem 3.10. Let $h:(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)}) \to (Y, M_{1(Y)}, M_{2(Y)})$ be almost M_{ij} -precontinuous and $R \in M_{ij(X \times Y)}$ - $\delta C(X \times Y)$. If $P_{X \times Y \to X}$ is the projection of $X \times Y$ onto X and G_h is the graph of h, then $P_{X \times Y \to X}(R \cap G_h) \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PC(X).

Proof. Let $a \in M_{ij(X)}$ - $Cl_p(P_{X \times Y \to X}(R \cap G_h))$ and $R \in M_{ij(X \times Y)}$ - $\delta C(X \times Y)$. Also let $S \in M_{i(X)}$ such that $a \in S$ and $T \in M_{i(Y)}$ such that $h(a) \in T$. Since, h is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous, so by Theorem 3.3, we have $a \in h^{-1}(T) \subseteq M_{ij(X)}$ - $Int_p(h^{-1}(M_{i(Y)}) \cap Int(M_{j(Y)} \cap Cl(T)))$ and $S \cap M_{ij(X)}$ - $Int_p(h^{-1}(M_{i(Y)} \cap Int(M_{j(Y)} \cap Cl(T)))) \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) which contains a. Also, since $a \in M_{ij(X)} \cap Cl_p(P_{X \times Y \to X}(R \cap G_h))$, so $S \cap M_{ij(X)} \cap Int_p(h^{-1}(M_{i(Y)} \cap Int(M_{j(Y)} \cap Cl(T)))) \cap P_{X \times Y \to X}(R \cap G_h)$ contains one point, say, $b \in X$ which implies $(b, h(b)) \in R$ and $h(b) \in M_{i(Y)} \cap Int(M_{j(Y)} \cap Cl(T))$. Therefore, $\emptyset \neq (S \times (M_{i(Y)} \cap Int(M_{j(Y)} \cap Cl(T)))) \cap R \subseteq M_{i(Y)} \cap Int(M_{j(Y)} \cap Cl(S \times T)) \cap R$. Thus $(a, h(a)) \in M_{ij(X \times Y)} \cap Cl_{\delta}(R)$. Since $R \in M_{ij(X \times Y)} \cap \delta C(X \times Y)$, so $(a, h(a)) \in R \cap G_h$ and $a \in P_{X \times Y \to X}(R \cap G_h)$. Therefore, $M_{ij(X)} \cap Cl_p(P_{X \times Y \to X}(R \cap G_h)) \subseteq P_{X \times Y \to X}(R \cap G_h)$. Consequently, $P_{X \times Y \to X}(R \cap G_h) \in M_{ij(X)} \cap PC(X)$.

Definition 3.5. A biminimal structure space $(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)})$ is said to be $M_{ij(X)}$ semi regular if for every $a \in X$ and for every $S \in M_{i(X)}$, there exists $T \in M_{i(X)}$ containing a such that $a \in T \subseteq M_{i(X)}$ - $Int(M_{j(X)}$ - $Cl(T)) \subseteq S$.

Theorem 3.11. If $g:(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)}) \to (Y, M_{1(Y)}, M_{2(Y)})$ is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous and $(Y, M_{1(Y)}, M_{2(Y)})$ is M_{ij} -precontinuous.

Proof. Let $S \in M_{i(Y)}$ such that $g(a) \in S$, where $a \in X$. Then $a \in g^{-1}(S)$. Since $(Y, M_{1(Y)}, M_{2(Y)})$ is $M_{ij(Y)}$ -semi regular, so there exists $T \in M_{i(Y)}$ such that $g(a) \in T \subseteq M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ - $Cl(T)) \subseteq S$. Also, g is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous, so there exists $R \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) containing a such that $g(R) \subseteq M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ - $Cl(T)) \subseteq S$

S. So, $a \in R = M_{ij(X)}\text{-}Int_p(R) \subseteq M_{ij(X)}\text{-}Int_p(g^{-1}(S))$. Thus $g^{-1}(S) \subseteq M_{ij(X)}\text{-}Int_p(g^{-1}(S))$. Hence, $g^{-1}(S) = M_{ij(X)}\text{-}Int_p(g^{-1}(S))$ and so $g^{-1}(S) \in M_{ij(X)}\text{-}PO(X)$. Consequently, g is M_{ij} -precontinuous.

Definition 3.6. [3] Let $f:(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)}) \to (Y, M_{1(Y)}, M_{2(Y)})$ be a function. Then the graph G_f of this function f is said to be $M_{ij(X\times Y)}$ -preclosed in $X\times Y$ if for every $(a,b)\in (X\times Y)\setminus G_f$, there exists $S\in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) containing a and $T\in M_{i(Y)}$ containing b such that $(S\times T)\cap G_f=\emptyset$.

Lemma 3.1. [3] The graph G_f of the function $f:(X,M_{1(X)},M_{2(X)}) \to (Y,M_{1(Y)},M_{2(Y)})$ is $M_{ij(X\times Y)}$ -preclosed in $X\times Y$ if and only if for every $(a,b)\in (X\times Y)\backslash G_f$, there exists $S\in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) containing a and $T\in M_{i(Y)}$ containing b such that $f(S)\cap T=\emptyset$.

Definition 3.7. [3] A biminimal structure space $(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)})$ is said to be $M_{ij(X)}$ -pre T_2 space if for every $a, b \in X$ such that $a \neq b$, there exists $S, T \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) containing a and b respectively such that $S \cap T = \emptyset$.

Theorem 3.12. If a function $f:(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)}) \to (Y, M_{1(Y)}, M_{2(Y)})$ is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous, where the graph G_f is $M_{ij(X\times Y)}$ -preclosed in $X\times Y$ and $(Y, M_{1(Y)}, M_{2(Y)})$ is $M_{ij(Y)}$ -semi regular, then $(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)})$ is said to be $M_{ij(X)}$ -pre T_2 space.

Proof. Let $a, b \in X$ such that $a \neq b$. Then $f(a) \neq f(b)$. Then $(a, f(b)) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G_f$. Since G_f is $M_{ij(X \times Y)}$ -preclosed in $X \times Y$, so by Lemma 3.1, there exists $S \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) containing a and $T \in M_{i(Y)}$ containing f(b) such that $f(S) \cap T = \emptyset$. Since f is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous and Y is $M_{ij(Y)}$ -semi regular, so by Theorem 3.11, f is M_{ij} -precontinuous. Therefore $f^{-1}(T) \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) containing b such that $S \cap f^{-1}(T) = \emptyset$. Hence, $(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)})$ is $M_{ij(X)}$ -pre T_2 space. **Definition 3.8.** A biminimal structure space $(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)})$ is said to be $M_{ij(X)}$ almost regular if for every $a \in X$ and for every $S \in M_{i(X)}$, there exists $T \in M_{i(X)}$ containing a such that $a \in T \subseteq M_{j(X)}$ - $Cl(T) \subseteq M_{i(X)}$ - $Int(M_{j(X)}$ -Cl(S))

Theorem 3.13. If $f:(X, M_{1(X)}, M_{2(X)}) \to (Y, M_{1(Y)}, M_{2(Y)})$ be a function such that $(Y, M_{1(Y)}, M_{2(Y)})$ is M_{ij} -almost regular, then g is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous if and only if g is weakly M_{ij} -precontinuous.

Proof. Let g be weakly M_{ij} -precontinuous and $S \in M_{i(Y)}$ such that $a \in g^{-1}(S)$, where $a \in X$. Then $g(a) \in S$. Since $S \in M_{i(Y)}$ so $S \in M_{ij(Y)}$ -RO(Y) containing g(a). Also, since $(Y, M_{1(Y)}, M_{2(Y)})$ is $M_{ij(Y)}$ -almost regular so there exists $T \in M_{i(Y)}$ containing g(a) such that $g(a) \in T \subseteq M_{j(Y)}$ - $Cl(T) \subseteq M_{i(Y)}$ - $Int(M_{j(Y)}$ -Cl(S)) which implies that $a \in T \subseteq M_{j(Y)}$ - $Cl(T) \subseteq S$. Now, g is weakly M_{ij} -precontinuous, so there exists $R \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X) containing a such that $g(R) \subseteq M_{j(Y)}$ - $Cl(T) \subseteq S$. Thus $R \subseteq g^{-1}(S)$ and $a \in R = M_{ij(X)}$ - $Int_p(R) \subseteq M_{ij(X)}$ - $Int_p(g^{-1}(S))$. Therefore, $g^{-1}(S) \subseteq M_{ij(X)}$ - $Int_p(g^{-1}(S))$. Thus $g^{-1}(S) = M_{ij(X)}$ - $Int_p(g^{-1}(S))$ and so $g^{-1}(S) \in M_{ij(X)}$ -PO(X). Now, by Theorem 3.2, we have g is almost M_{ij} -precontinuous. Conversely, it is obvious that every almost M_{ij} -precontinuous function is weakly M_{ij} -precontinuous.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank the editor and the referees for their valuable suggestions and comments those helped in improving the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Boonpok, Biminimal structure spaces, Int. Math. Forum, 5 (2010), no. 15, 703–707.
- [2] C. Boonpok, M-continuous functions on biminimal structure spaces, Far East J. Math. Sci., 43 (2010), 41-58.

- [3] C. Carpintero, N. Rajesh, and E. Rosas, *m-preopen sets in biminimal spaces*, Demonstratio Mathematica, **45** (2012), no. 4, 953-961.
- [4] H. Maki, K. C. Rao, and A. Nagor Gani, On generalizing semi-open and preopen sets, Pure Appl. Math. Sci. 49 (1999), 17-29.
- [5] W. K. Min and Y. K. Kim, m-preopen sets and M-precontinuity on spaces with minimal structures, Adv. Fuzzy Sets Systems, 4 (2009), 237-245.
- [6] V. Popa and T. Noiri, On M-continuous functions, Anal. Univ. "Dunarea de Jos" Galati Ser. Mat. Fis. Mec. Teor., Fasc. II, 18 (2000), no. 23, 31-41.
- [7] W. Phosri, C. Boonpok and C. Viriyapong, Weakly M-precontinous functions on biminimal structure spaces, Int. Jour. Math. Analysis, 5 (2011), no. 24, 1185-1194.
- [8] B.C. Tripathy and S. Debnath, Fuzzy m-structures, m-open multifunctions and bitopological spaces, Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matematica, 37 (2019), no. 4, 119-128.
- (1) Department of Mathematics, Central Institute of Technology, Rangalikhata, Balagaon, Kokrajhar, Assam-783370, India.

AND

Department of Mathematics, Tripura University, Suryamaninagar, Agartala 799022, India.

Email address: aa.basumatary@cit.ac.in, albisbasu@gmail.com

(2) (Corresponding Author) Department of Mathematics, Central Institute of Technology, Rangalikhata, Balagaon, Kokrajhar, Assam-783370, India.

Email address: dj.sarma@cit.ac.in, djs_math@rediffmail.com

(3) Department of Mathematics, Tripura University, Suryamaninagar, Agartala-799022, India.

 $Email\ address: \ {\tt tripathybc@yahoo.com,tripathybc@rediffmail.com}$