

SUM 2-IRREDUCIBLE SUBMODULES OF A MODULE

F. FARSHADIFAR ⁽¹⁾ AND H. ANSARI-TOROGHY ⁽²⁾

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative ring with identity and M be an R -module. M is said to be *sum-irreducible* precisely when it is non-zero and cannot be expressed as the sum of two proper submodules of itself. In this paper, we will introduce the concept of sum 2-irreducible submodules of M as a generalization of sum irreducible submodules of M and investigate some basic properties of this class of modules.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, R will denote a commutative ring with identity and \mathbb{Z} will denote the ring of integers.

An ideal I of R is said to be *irreducible* if $I = J_1 \cap J_2$ for ideals J_1 and J_2 of R implies that either $I = J_1$ or $I = J_2$. A proper ideal I of R is said to be *strongly irreducible* if for ideals J_1, J_2 of R , $J_1 \cap J_2 \subseteq I$ implies that $J_1 \subseteq I$ or $J_2 \subseteq I$.

Strongly irreducible ideals were first studied by Fuchs, [13], under the name primitive ideals. Apparently the name “strongly irreducible” was first used by Blair in [8]. In [9, p. 177, Exercise 34] the strongly irreducible ideals are called quasi prime. More information about these classes of ideals can be found in [7], [15], and [17].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13C13, 13C99.

Key words and phrases. Sum irreducible submodule, 2-irreducible ideal, sum 2-irreducible submodule.

Copyright © Deanship of Research and Graduate Studies, Yarmouk University, Irbid, Jordan.

Received: April 15, 2020

Accepted: July 5, 2020 .

An ideal I of R is said to be *2-irreducible* if whenever $I = J_1 \cap J_2 \cap J_3$ for ideals J_1, J_2 and J_3 of R , then either $I = J_1 \cap J_2$ or $I = J_1 \cap J_3$ or $I = J_2 \cap J_3$. Clearly, any irreducible ideal is a 2-irreducible ideal [11].

An R -module M is said to be *sum-irreducible* precisely when it is non-zero and cannot be expressed as the sum of two proper submodules of itself [16].

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce the concept of sum 2-irreducible submodules of an R -module M as a generalization of sum-irreducible submodules of M and obtain some related results.

We say that a non-zero submodule N of an R -module M is a *sum 2-irreducible submodule* if whenever $N = H_1 + H_2 + H_3$ for submodules H_1, H_2 and H_3 of M , then either $N = H_1 + H_2$ or $N = H_2 + H_3$ or $N = H_1 + H_3$ (Definition 2.1).

For an R -module M , among other results, we prove that if N is a sum 2-irreducible submodule of an Artinian R -module M , then either N is sum-irreducible or N is a sum of exactly two sum-irreducible submodules of M (Theorem 2.6). Example 2.5 shows that the concepts of sum-irreducible submodules and of sum 2-irreducible submodules are different in general. Also, it is shown that if M is a strong comultiplication R -module, then every non-zero proper ideal of R is a 2-irreducible ideal if and only if every non-zero proper submodule of M is a sum 2-irreducible submodule of M (Theorem 2.11). Finally, let $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_n$ ($2 \leq n < \infty$) be a decomposition of the ring R and $M = M_1 \times M_2 \times \cdots \times M_n$ be an R -module, where for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, M_i is an R_i -module, respectively. We proved that if N is a sum 2-irreducible submodule of M , then either $N = \times_{i=1}^n N_i$ such that for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, N_k is a sum 2-irreducible submodule of M_k , and $N_i = 0$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{k\}$ or $N = \times_{i=1}^n N_i$ such that for some $k, m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, N_k is a sum-irreducible submodule of M_k , N_m is a sum-irreducible submodule of M_m , and $N_i = 0$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{k, m\}$ (Theorem 2.13).

2. MAIN RESULTS

Definition 2.1. We say that a non-zero submodule N of an R -module M is a *sum 2-irreducible submodule* if whenever $N = H_1 + H_2 + H_3$ for submodules H_1, H_2 and H_3 of M , then either $N = H_1 + H_2$ or $N = H_2 + H_3$ or $N = H_1 + H_3$. Also, we say that M is a *sum 2-irreducible module* if M is a sum 2-irreducible submodule of itself.

Proposition 2.2. Let N be a sum 2-irreducible submodule of an R -module M . Then N is also a sum 2-irreducible submodule of T and N/K is a sum 2-irreducible submodule of M/K for any submodules T and K of M with $K \subseteq N \subseteq T$.

Proof. The first assertion is clear. Now let $N/K = H_1/K + H_2/K + H_3/K$ for submodules H_1, H_2 and H_3 of M . Then $N = H_1 + H_2 + H_3$ and so as N is a sum 2-irreducible submodule of M , either $N = H_1 + H_2$ or $N = H_2 + H_3$ or $N = H_1 + H_3$. Hence either $N/K = H_1/K + H_2/K$ or $N/K = H_2/K + H_3/K$ or $N/K = H_1/K + H_3/K$, as needed. \square

Remark 2.3. It is easy to see that any strongly irreducible ideal is an irreducible ideal but the converse need not be true in general (see [17, 1.2]).

Let M be an R -module. M is said to be a *comultiplication module* if for every submodule N of M there exists an ideal I of R such that $N = (0 :_M I) = \{m \in M : Im = 0\}$, equivalently, for each submodule N of M , we have $N = (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N))$, where $\text{Ann}_R(N) = \{r \in R : rN = 0\}$ [2]. M satisfies the *double annihilator condition* (DAC for short) if for each ideal I of R we have $I = \text{Ann}_R(0 :_M I)$ [12]. M is said to be a *strong comultiplication module* if M is a comultiplication R -module and satisfies the DAC condition [6].

Theorem 2.4. Let M be an R -module. Then we have the following.

- (a) If M is a strong comultiplication R -module and N is a sum 2-irreducible submodule of M , then $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is a 2-irreducible ideal of R .

- (b) *If M is a comultiplication R -module and N is a non-zero submodule of M such that $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is a 2-irreducible ideal of R , then N is a sum 2-irreducible submodule of M .*

Proof. (a) Let M be a strong comultiplication R -module, N be a sum 2-irreducible submodule of M , and $\text{Ann}_R(N) = J_1 \cap J_2 \cap J_3$ for some ideals J_1, J_2 , and J_3 of R . Then $(0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)) = (0 :_M J_1 \cap J_2 \cap J_3)$. Now as M is a strong comultiplication R -module, $N = (0 :_M J_1) + (0 :_M J_2) + (0 :_M J_3)$. Thus by assumption, either $N = (0 :_M J_1) + (0 :_M J_2)$ or $N = (0 :_M J_1) + (0 :_M J_3)$ or $N = (0 :_M J_2) + (0 :_M J_3)$. Therefore, either $\text{Ann}_R(N) = J_1 \cap J_2$ or $\text{Ann}_R(N) = J_1 \cap J_3$ or $\text{Ann}_R(N) = J_2 \cap J_3$ since M is a strong comultiplication R -module.

(b) Let M be a comultiplication R -module and N be a non-zero submodule of M such that $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ be a 2-irreducible ideal of R . Let $N = H_1 + H_2 + H_3$ for submodules H_1, H_2 and H_3 of M . Then $\text{Ann}_R(N) = \text{Ann}_R(H_1) \cap \text{Ann}_R(H_2) \cap \text{Ann}_R(H_3)$. Thus either $\text{Ann}_R(N) = \text{Ann}_R(H_1) \cap \text{Ann}_R(H_2)$ or $\text{Ann}_R(N) = \text{Ann}_R(H_1) \cap \text{Ann}_R(H_3)$ or $\text{Ann}_R(N) = \text{Ann}_R(H_2) \cap \text{Ann}_R(H_3)$ since $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is a 2-irreducible ideal of R . Therefore, since M is a comultiplication R -module, either $(0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)) = (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(H_1)) + (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(H_2))$ or $(0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)) = (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(H_1)) + (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(H_3))$ or $(0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)) = (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(H_2)) + (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(H_3))$ by [4, 3.3]. Thus again since M is a comultiplication R -module, $N = H_1 + H_2$ or $N = H_1 + H_3$ or $N = H_2 + H_3$, as needed. \square

The following example shows that the concepts of sum-irreducible submodules and sum 2-irreducible submodules are different in general.

Example 2.5. Consider the \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_6 . Then $\mathbb{Z}_6 = \bar{3}\mathbb{Z}_6 + \bar{2}\mathbb{Z}_6$ implies that \mathbb{Z}_6 is not a sum-irreducible \mathbb{Z} -module. But $\text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}_6) = 6\mathbb{Z}$ is a 2-irreducible ideal of \mathbb{Z} by [11, Example 1]. Since by [3, 3.8], the \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_6 is a comultiplication \mathbb{Z} -module, \mathbb{Z}_6 is a sum 2-irreducible \mathbb{Z} -module by Theorem 2.4 (b).

Theorem 2.6. *Let M be an Artinian R -module. If N is a sum 2-irreducible submodule of M , then either N is sum-irreducible or N is a sum of exactly two sum-irreducible submodules of M .*

Proof. Let N be a sum 2-irreducible submodule of M . By [16, 5.3], N has a representation of a finite sum of sum-irreducible submodules $N = N_1 + N_2 + \cdots + N_k$. We show that either $k = 1$ or $k = 2$. If $k > 3$, then since N is sum 2-irreducible, $N = N_i + N_j$ for some $1 \leq i, j \leq k$, say $i = 1$ and $j = 2$. Therefore $N_3 \subseteq N_1 + N_2$, which is a contradiction. \square

A non-zero submodule S of an R -module M is said to be *second* if for each $a \in R$, the endomorphism of M given by multiplication by a is either surjective or zero [18].

Let M be an R -module. For a submodule N of M the *second radical* (or *second socle*) of N is defined as the sum of all second submodules of M contained in N and it is denoted by $\text{sec}(N)$ (or $\text{soc}(N)$). In case N does not contain any second submodule, the second radical of N is defined to be (0) . $N \neq 0$ is said to be a *second radical submodule* of M if $\text{sec}(N) = N$ (see [10] and [5]).

A non-zero submodule N of an R -module M is said to be a *strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule* of M if whenever I, J are ideals of R , K is a submodule of M and $IJN \subseteq K$, then $I(\text{sec}(N)) \subseteq K$ or $J(\text{sec}(N)) \subseteq K$ or $IJ \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$ [1].

Corollary 2.7. *Let M be an Artinian comultiplication R -module. If N is a sum 2-irreducible submodule of M , then N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M .*

Proof. Let N be a sum 2-irreducible submodule of M . By the fact that every sum-irreducible submodule of an Artinian R -module is secondary and regarding Theorem 2.6, we have either N is a secondary submodule or is a sum of two secondary submodules. It is clear that every secondary submodule is strongly 2-absorbing secondary,

also the sum of two secondary submodules is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule, by [1, 2.17 (c)]. \square

Proposition 2.8. Let M be a comultiplication R -module and let N_1 , N_2 , and N_3 be second submodules of M such that $N_1 \cap N_2 = N_1 \cap N_3 = N_2 \cap N_3 = 0$. Then $N_1 + N_2 + N_3$ is not a sum 2-irreducible submodule of M .

Proof. This is clear. \square

Corollary 2.9. Let M be a comultiplication R -module such that every non-zero submodule of M is sum 2-irreducible. Then M has at most two minimal submodules.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2.8. \square

Theorem 2.10. Let $f : M \rightarrow \acute{M}$ be a monomorphism of R -modules. Then we have the following.

- (a) If N is a sum 2-irreducible submodule of M , then $f(N)$ is a sum 2-irreducible submodule of $f(M)$.
- (b) If \acute{N} is a sum 2-irreducible submodule of $f(M)$, then $f^{-1}(\acute{N})$ is a sum 2-irreducible submodule of M .

Proof. (a) Let N be a sum 2-irreducible submodule of M . Since $N \neq 0$ and f is a monomorphism, we have $f(N) \neq 0$. Suppose that $f(N) = \acute{H}_1 + \acute{H}_2 + \acute{H}_3$ for submodules \acute{H}_1 , \acute{H}_2 and \acute{H}_3 of $f(M)$. Then

$$N = f^{-1}(f(N)) = f^{-1}(\acute{H}_1) + f^{-1}(\acute{H}_2) + f^{-1}(\acute{H}_3)$$

since f is monomorphism. Thus by assumption, either $N = f^{-1}(\acute{H}_1) + f^{-1}(\acute{H}_2)$ or $N = f^{-1}(\acute{H}_1) + f^{-1}(\acute{H}_3)$ or $N = f^{-1}(\acute{H}_2) + f^{-1}(\acute{H}_3)$. Now as \acute{H}_1 , \acute{H}_2 and \acute{H}_3 are submodules of $f(\acute{M})$, we have either $f(N) = \acute{H}_1 + \acute{H}_2$ or $f(N) = \acute{H}_1 + \acute{H}_3$ or $f(N) = \acute{H}_2 + \acute{H}_3$ as needed.

(b) Let \dot{N} be a sum 2-irreducible submodule of $f(M)$. If $f^{-1}(\dot{N}) = 0$, then $f(M) \cap \dot{N} = f f^{-1}(\dot{N}) = f(0) = 0$. Thus $\dot{N} = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore, $f^{-1}(\dot{N}) \neq 0$. Now let $f^{-1}(\dot{N}) = H_1 + H_2 + H_3$ for submodules H_1, H_2 and H_3 of M . Then $\dot{N} = f(f^{-1}(\dot{N})) = f(H_1) + f(H_2) + f(H_3)$. Thus by assumption, either $\dot{N} = f(H_1) + f(H_2)$ or $\dot{N} = f(H_1) + f(H_3)$ or $\dot{N} = f(H_2) + f(H_3)$. Now as f is monomorphism, either $f^{-1}(\dot{N}) = H_1 + H_2$ or $f^{-1}(\dot{N}) = H_1 + H_3$ or $f^{-1}(\dot{N}) = H_2 + H_3$, as desired. \square

Theorem 2.11. *Let M be a strong comultiplication R -module. Then every non-zero proper ideal of R is a 2-irreducible ideal if and only if every non-zero proper submodule of M is a sum 2-irreducible submodule of M .*

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ” Let $N = H_1 + H_2 + H_3$ for submodules H_1, H_2 and H_3 of M . Then $\text{Ann}_R(N) = \text{Ann}_R(H_1) \cap \text{Ann}_R(H_2) \cap \text{Ann}_R(H_3)$. This implies that either $\text{Ann}_R(N) = \text{Ann}_R(H_1) \cap \text{Ann}_R(H_2)$ or $\text{Ann}_R(N) = \text{Ann}_R(H_1) \cap \text{Ann}_R(H_3)$ or $\text{Ann}_R(N) = \text{Ann}_R(H_2) \cap \text{Ann}_R(H_3)$ since by assumption, $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is a 2-irreducible ideal. Therefore, either $N = H_1 + H_2$ or $N = H_1 + H_3$ or $N = H_2 + H_3$ because M is a comultiplication R -module.

“ \Leftarrow ” Let I be a non-zero proper ideal of R and let $I = I_1 \cap I_2 \cap I_3$ for ideals I_1, I_2 and I_3 of R . Then by using [14, 2.6],

$$(0 :_M I) = (0 :_M I_1) + (0 :_M I_2) + (0 :_M I_3).$$

Thus by assumption, either $(0 :_M I) = (0 :_M I_1) + (0 :_M I_2)$ or $(0 :_M I) = (0 :_M I_1) + (0 :_M I_3)$ or $(0 :_M I) = (0 :_M I_2) + (0 :_M I_3)$. This implies that either $(0 :_M I) = (0 :_M I_1 \cap I_2)$ or $(0 :_M I) = (0 :_M I_1 \cap I_3)$ or $(0 :_M I) = (0 :_M I_2 \cap I_3)$. Thus either $I = I_1 \cap I_2$ or $I = I_1 \cap I_3$ or $I = I_2 \cap I_3$ since M is a strong comultiplication R -module. \square

Let R_i be a commutative ring with identity and M_i be an R_i -module, for $i = 1, 2$. Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$. Then $M = M_1 \times M_2$ is an R -module and each submodule of M is in the form of $N = N_1 \times N_2$ for some submodules N_1 of M_1 and N_2 of M_2 .

Theorem 2.12. *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$ be a decomposition of the ring R and $M = M_1 \times M_2$ be an R -module, where M_1 is an R_1 -module and M_2 is an R_2 -module. Suppose that $N = N_1 \times N_2$ is a non-zero submodule of M . If N is a sum 2-irreducible submodule of M , then either $N_1 = 0$ and N_2 sum 2-irreducible submodule of M_2 or $N_2 = 0$ and N_1 is a sum 2-irreducible submodule of M_1 or N_1, N_2 are sum-irreducible submodules of M_1, M_2 , respectively.*

Proof. Let $N = N_1 \times N_2$ be a sum 2-irreducible submodule of M such that $N_2 = 0$. From our hypothesis, N is non-zero, so $N_1 \neq 0$. Set $\acute{M} = M_1 \times 0$. One can see that $\acute{N} = N_1 \times 0$ is a sum 2-irreducible submodule of \acute{M} . Also, observe that $\acute{M} \cong M_1$ and $\acute{N} \cong N_1$. Thus N_1 is a sum 2-irreducible submodule of M_1 . Suppose that $N_1 \neq 0$ and $N_2 \neq 0$. We show that N_1 is a sum-irreducible submodule of M_1 . Suppose that $N_1 = H_1 + K_1$ for some submodules H_1, K_1 of M_1 . Then

$$N_1 \times N_2 = (H_1 + K_1) \times N_2 = (H_1 \times 0) + (K_1 \times 0) + (0 \times N_2).$$

Thus by assumption, either $N_1 \times N_2 = (H_1 \times 0) + (K_1 \times 0)$ or $N_1 \times N_2 = (H_1 \times 0) + (0 \times N_2)$ or $N_1 \times N_2 = (K_1 \times 0) + (0 \times N_2)$. Therefore, $N_1 = H_1$ or $N_1 = K_1$ since $N_2 \neq 0$. Hence, N_1 is a sum-irreducible submodule of M_1 . Similarly, one can see that N_2 is sum-irreducible submodule of M_2 . \square

Theorem 2.13. *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_n$ ($2 \leq n < \infty$) be a decomposition of the ring R and $M = M_1 \times M_2 \times \cdots \times M_n$ be an R -module, where for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, M_i is an R_i -module, respectively. If N is a sum 2-irreducible submodule of M , then either $N = \times_{i=1}^n N_i$ such that for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, N_k is a sum 2-irreducible submodule of M_k , and $N_i = 0$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{k\}$ or $N = \times_{i=1}^n N_i$ such*

that for some $k, m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, N_k is a sum-irreducible submodule of M_k , N_m is a sum-irreducible submodule of M_m , and $N_i = 0$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{k, m\}$.

Proof. We use induction on n . For $n = 2$ the result holds by Theorem 2.12. Now let $3 \leq n < \infty$ and suppose that the result is valid when $K = M_1 \times \dots \times M_{n-1}$. We show that the result holds when $M = K \times M_n$. By Theorem 2.12, N is a sum 2-irreducible submodule of M if and only if either $N = L \times 0$ for some sum 2-irreducible submodule L of K or $N = 0 \times L_n$ for some sum 2-irreducible submodule L_n of M_n or $N = L \times L_n$ for some sum-irreducible submodule L of K and some sum-irreducible submodule L_n of M_n . Note that a non-zero submodule L of K is a sum-irreducible submodule of K if and only if $L = \times_{i=1}^{n-1} N_i$ such that for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$, N_k is a sum-irreducible submodule of M_k , and $N_i = 0$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\} \setminus \{k\}$. Consequently we reach the claim. \square

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank the referees for their helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *2-absorbing and strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodules of modules*, Le Matematiche, **72** (11) (2017), 123-135.
- [2] H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *The dual notion of multiplication modules*, Taiwanese J. Math., **11** (4) (2007), 1189–1201.
- [3] H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *On comultiplication modules*, Korean Ann. Math., **25** (2) (2008), 57–66.
- [4] H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *Comultiplication modules and related results*, Honam Math. J., **30** (1) (2008), 91–99.
- [5] H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *On the dual notion of prime submodules*, Algebra Colloq., **19** (Spec 1)(2012), 1109-1116.
- [6] H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *Strong comultiplication modules*, CMU. J. Nat. Sci., **8** (1) (2009), 105–113.

- [7] A. Azizi, *Strongly irreducible ideals*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., **84** (2008), 145-154.
- [8] R. L. Blair, *Ideal lattices and the structure of rings*, Trans AMS, **75** (1953), 136-153.
- [9] N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre commutative*, Chapitres 3 et 4. Paris: Hermann, (1966).
- [10] S. Ceken, M. Alkan, and P.F. Smith, *The dual notion of the prime radical of a module*, J. Algebra, **392** (2013), 265-275.
- [11] A.Y. Darani and H. Mostafanasab, *2-irreducible and strongly 2-irreducible ideals of commutative rings*. Miskolc Math. Notes, **17** (1) (2016), 441-455.
- [12] C. Faith, *Rings whose modules have maximal submodules*, Publ. Mat., **39** (1995), 201-214.
- [13] L. Fuchs, *Über die Ideale arithmetischer ringe*, Comment. Math. Helv., **23** (1949), 334-341.
- [14] I.M.A. Hadi and G.A. Humod, *Strongly (Completely) Hollow Sub-modules II*, Ibn AL- Haitham Journal For Pure and Applied Science, **26** (1) (2013), 292-302.
- [15] W.J. Heinzer, L.J. Ratliff, and D.E. Rush, *Strongly irreducible ideals of a commutative ring*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **166** (3) (2002), 267-275.
- [16] I.G. Macdonald, *Secondary representation of modules over a commutative ring*, Symp. Math., XI (1973), 23-43.
- [17] N. Schwartz, *Strongly Irreducible Ideals and Truncated Valuations*, Commun Algebra, **44** (3), (2016), 1055-1087.
- [18] S. Yassemi, *The dual notion of prime submodules*, Arch. Math. (Brno), **37** (2001), 273-278.

(1) (CORRESPONDING AUTHOR) ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FARHANGIAN UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN, IRAN.

Email address: f.farshadifar@cfu.ac.ir

(2) DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF GUILAN, P. O. BOX 41335-19141, RASHT, IRAN.

Email address: ansari@guilan.ac.ir