# NONUNIQUE FIXED POINT THEOREMS ON b-METRIC SPACES VIA SIMULATION FUNCTIONS

H. AYDI (1), E. KARAPINAR (2) AND V. RAKOČCEVIĆ (3)

ABSTRACT. Based on the concepts of  $\alpha$ -orbital admissibility given by Popescu in [29] and simulation functions introduced by Khojasteh in [25], we introduce in this paper different types of contractive mappings. We also provide some nonunique fixed point results for such contractive mappings in the class of orbital complete b-metric spaces. Some consequences on known results in literature are also given in support of our obtained results.

#### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

As a generalization of metric spaces, the concept of a *b*-metric was introduced by Bakhtin [12] and Bourbaki [19] (see also [21]).

**Definition 1.1** (Czerwik [21]). Let X be a nonempty set and  $d: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  be a function satisfying the following conditions:

- (b1) d(x,y) = 0 if and only if x = y;
- (b2) d(x,y) = d(y,x) for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (b3)  $d(x,y) \le s[d(x,z) + d(z,y)]$  for all  $x,y,z \in X$ , where  $s \ge 1$ .

The function d is called a b-metric and the space (X, d) is called a b-metric space, in short, bMS.

 $<sup>2000\</sup> Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 46 T99, 47 H10, 54 H25.$ 

Key words and phrases. Simulation function, b-metric space, nonunique fixed point, self-mappings, orbital admissible mappings.

Copyright © Deanship of Research and Graduate Studies, Yarmouk University, Irbid, Jordan.

Note that for s = 1, the b-metric becomes a usual metric.

For (common) fixed point results on b-metric spaces, see [2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 15, 16, 17, 18, 24, 26, 27]. On the other hand, the case of Ćirić type [20], Karapınar type [23], Achari type [1] and Pachpatte type [32] contractions are considered in variant (generalized) metric spaces where the existence of nonunique fixed points has been proved. The aim of this paper is to establish some nonunique fixed point results by generalizing above type contractions using the concepts of  $\alpha$ -orbital admissibility and simulation functions in the class of orbital complete b-metric spaces.

## **Example 1.1.** Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ . Define

for p > 1. Then d is a b-metric on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Clearly, the first two conditions hold. Since

$$|x - y|^p \le 2^{p-1}[|x - z|^p + |z - y|^p],$$

the third condition holds with  $s = 2^{p-1}$ . Thus,  $(\mathbb{R}, d)$  is a b-metric space with a constant  $s = 2^{p-1}$ .

Example 1.2. For  $p \in (0,1)$ , take

$$X = l_p(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ x = \{x_n\} \subset \mathbb{R} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p < \infty \right\}.$$

Define

$$d(x,y) = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n - y_n|^p\right)^{1/p}.$$

Then (X, d) is a b-metric space with  $s = 2^{1/p}$ .

**Example 1.3.** Let E be a Banach space and  $0_E$  be the zero vector of E. Let P be a cone in E with  $int(P) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\leq$  be a partial ordering with respect to P. Let X be a non-empty set. Suppose the mapping  $d: X \times X \to E$  satisfies:

- $(M1) \ 0 \leq d(x,y) \ for \ all \ x,y \in X;$
- (M2) d(x,y) = 0 if and only if x = y;
- (M3)  $d(x,y) \leq d(x,z) + d(z,y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (M4) d(x,y) = d(y,x) for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Then d is called a cone metric on X, and the pair (X, d) is called a cone metric space (CMS).

Let E be a Banach space and P be a normal cone in E with the coefficient of normality denoted by K. Let  $D: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  be defined by D(x, y) = ||d(x, y)||, where  $d: X \times X \to E$  is a cone metric space. Then (X, D) is a b-metric space with a constant  $s:=K \geq 1$ .

The notion of comparison functions is defined by Rus [31] and it has been extensively studied by a number of authors to get more general forms of contractive mappings.

**Definition 1.2.** [14, 31] A function  $\phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  is called a comparison function if it is increasing and  $\phi^n(t) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  for every  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , where  $\phi^n$  is the *n*-th iterate of  $\phi$ .

Properties and examples of comparison functions can be found in [14, 31]. An important property of comparison functions is given by the following Lemma.

**Lemma 1.1.** ([14, 31]) If  $\phi: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  is a comparison function, then

- (1) each iterate  $\phi^k$  of  $\phi$ ,  $k \ge 1$  is also a comparison function;
- (2)  $\phi$  is continuous at 0;
- (3)  $\phi(t) < t \text{ for all } t > 0.$

**Definition 1.3.** [13] Let  $s \ge 1$  be a real number. A function  $\phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  is called a (b)-comparison function if

- (1)  $\phi$  is increasing;
- (2) there exist  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a \in [0, 1)$  and a convergent nonnegative series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k$  such that  $s^{k+1}\phi^{k+1}(t) \leq as^k\phi^k(t) + v_k$ , for  $k \geq k_0$  and any  $t \geq 0$ .

The collection of all (b)-comparison functions will be denoted by  $\Psi$ . In the literature, a (b)-comparison function is called (c)-comparison functions when s=1. It can be shown that a (c)-comparison function is a comparison function, but the converse is not true in general. Berinde [13] also proved the following important property of (b)-comparison functions.

**Lemma 1.2.** [13] Let  $\phi:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$  be a (b)-comparison function. Then

- (1) the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s^k \phi^k(t)$  converges for any  $t \in [0, \infty)$ ;
- (2) the function  $b_s: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  defined as  $b_s = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s^k \phi^k(t)$  is increasing and is continuous at t = 0.

Remark 1. Any (b)-comparison function  $\phi$  satisfies  $\phi(t) < t$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi^n(t) = 0$  for each t > 0.

In order to unify several existing fixed point results in the literature, Khojasteh *et al.* [25] introduced the notion of *simulation functions* and investigate the existence and uniqueness of a fixed point for different types of contractive mappings.

**Definition 1.4.** A simulation function is a mapping  $\zeta : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$(\zeta_1)$$
:  $\zeta(t,s) < s-t \text{ for all } t,s > 0$ ;

(1.2): if 
$$\{t_n\}, \{s_n\}$$
 are sequences in  $(0, \infty)$  such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} t_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} s_n > 0$ , then
$$\lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \zeta(t_n, s_n) < 0.$$

In [25], the condition  $\zeta(0,0) = 0$  was added, but Argoubi et al. [8] dropped it. Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  denote the family of all simulation functions  $\zeta : [0,\infty) \times [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ , that is, verifying  $(\zeta_1)$  and  $(\zeta_2)$ .

Due to the axiom  $(\zeta_1)$ , we have

$$\zeta(t,t) < 0 \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

The following example is derived from [5, 25, 30].

**Example 1.4.** Let  $\phi_i : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  be continuous functions such that  $\phi_i(t) = 0$  if and only if, t = 0. For i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, we define the mappings  $\zeta_i : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ , as follows

- (i):  $\zeta_1(t,s) = \phi_1(s) \phi_2(t)$  for all  $t,s \in [0,\infty)$ , where  $\phi_1,\phi_2: [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$  are two continuous functions such that  $\phi_1(t) = \phi_2(t) = 0$  if and only if t = 0 and  $\phi_1(t) < t \le \phi_2(t)$  for all t > 0.
- (ii):  $\zeta_2(t,s) = s \frac{f(t,s)}{g(t,s)}t$  for all  $t,s \in [0,\infty)$ , where  $f,g:[0,\infty)^2 \to (0,\infty)$  are two continuous functions with respect to each variable such that f(t,s) > g(t,s) for all t,s > 0.
- (iii):  $\zeta_3(t,s) = s \phi_3(s) t \text{ for all } t,s \in [0,\infty).$
- (iv):  $\zeta_4(t,s) = s \varphi(s) t$  for all  $s,t \in [0,\infty)$ , where  $\varphi : [0,\infty) \to [0,1)$  is a function such that  $\limsup \varphi(t) < 1$  for all r > 0.
- function such that  $\limsup_{t\to r^+} \varphi(t) < 1$  for all r > 0. (v):  $\zeta_5(t,s) = \eta(s) - t$  for all  $s,t \in [0,\infty)$ , where  $\eta: [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$  is an upper semi-continuous mapping such that  $\eta(t) < t$  for all t > 0 and  $\eta(0) = 0$ .
- (vi):  $\zeta_6(t,s) = s \int_0^t \phi(u) du$  for all  $s,t \in [0,\infty)$ , where  $\phi:[0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$  is a function such that  $\int_0^\varepsilon \phi(u) du$  exists and  $\int_0^\varepsilon \phi(u) du > \varepsilon$ , for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

It is clear that each function  $\zeta_i$  (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) forms a simulation function.

**Definition 1.5.** [29] Let  $T: X \to X$  be a mapping and  $\alpha: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  be a function. We say that T is  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible if

$$\alpha(x, Tx) \ge 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(Tx, T^2x) \ge 1.$$

**Definition 1.6.** [28, 29] Let (X, d) be a bMS and  $x \in X$ . A self-mapping T on X is said to be orbital continuous if  $\lim_{i \to \infty} T^{n_i}(x) = z$  implies that  $\lim_{i \to \infty} T(T^{n_i}(x)) = Tz$ . A bMS (X, d) is called T-orbitally complete if every Cauchy sequence of the form  $\{T^{n_i}(x)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ , converges in (X, d).

Remark 2. It is evident that orbital continuity of T yields orbital continuity of  $T^m$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In this paper, we establish some nonunique fixed point results for different type of contractions using the concepts of  $\alpha$ -orbital admissibility and simulation functions in the class of orbital complete b-metric spaces.

#### 2. Nonunique fixed points on b-metric spaces

## 2.1. Results on $(\alpha - \psi)$ -Ćirić type simulated mappings.

**Definition 2.1.** Let (X, d) be a bMS. The self-mapping  $T : X \to X$  is called  $(\alpha - \psi)$ Ćirić type simulated if there exist  $\psi \in \Psi$ ,  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  such that

(2.1) 
$$\zeta(m_T(x,y), \psi(d(x,y)) \ge 0$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where

$$m_T(x,y) := \alpha(x,y) \min\{d(Tx,Ty), d(x,Tx), d(y,Ty)\} - \min\{d(x,Ty), d(Tx,y)\}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** Let X be a non-empty set. Suppose that  $\alpha: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  is a function and  $T: X \to X$  is an  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible mapping. If there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$ , and  $x_n = Tx_{n-1}$  for n = 1, 2, ..., then

(2.2) 
$$\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$$
, for each  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ 

*Proof.* On account of the assumptions of the theorem, there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$ . Owing to the fact that T is  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible, we find

$$\alpha(x_0, x_1) = \alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \ge 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(Tx_0, Tx_1) = \alpha(x_1, x_2) \ge 1.$$

By iterating the above inequality, we derive that

$$\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \alpha(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \ge 1$$
, for each  $n = 1, 2, ...$ 

**Theorem 2.1.** Let T be an orbitally continuous self-map on the T-orbitally complete bMS(X, d). Assume that

- (i) T is an  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible mapping;
- (ii) there exists an element  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \ge 1$ ;
- (iii) T is  $(\alpha \psi)$ -Ćirić type simulated.

Then the sequence  $\{T^nx_0\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges to a fixed point of T.

*Proof.* By condition (ii), there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$ . Consider the iterative sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by

(2.3) 
$$x_0 := x \text{ and } x_n = Tx_{n-1} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We suppose that

$$(2.4) x_n \neq x_{n-1} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Indeed, if for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $x_n = Tx_{n-1} = x_{n-1}$ , then the proof is completed. By Lemma 2.1, we have

(2.5) 
$$\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$$
, for each  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ 

Substituting  $x = x_{n-1}$  and  $y = x_n$  in (2.1), we derive that

$$0 < \zeta(m_T(x_{n-1}, x_n), \psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n))) < \psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n) - m_T(x_{n-1}, x_n))$$

where

$$m_T(x_{n-1}, x_n) := \alpha(x_{n-1}, x_n) \min\{d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n), d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), d(x_n, Tx_n)\}$$
$$-\min\{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n), d(Tx_{n-1}, x_n)\}.$$

Using (2.5), we have

$$\min\{d(x_{n}, x_{n-1}), d(x_{n}, x_{n+1})\} 
- \min\{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n}), d(Tx_{n-1}, x_{n})\} 
= \min\{d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n}), d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), d(x_{n}, Tx_{n})\} 
- \min\{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n}), d(Tx_{n-1}, x_{n})\} 
\leq \alpha(x_{n-1}, x_{n}) \min\{d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n}), d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), d(x_{n}, Tx_{n})\} 
- \min\{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n}), d(Tx_{n-1}, x_{n})\} 
\leq \psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_{n})).$$

It implies that

$$(2.7) \quad \min\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_n, x_{n-1})\} < \psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)).$$

Since  $\psi(t) < t$  for all t > 0, the case  $d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \le \psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n))$  is impossible. Thus, we have

(2.8) 
$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)).$$

Applying (2.8) repeatedly, we have

$$(2.9) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \le \psi^2(d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})) \le \dots \le \psi^n(d(x_0, x_1)).$$

Since  $\psi \in \Psi$ , by Remark 1, we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \psi^n(d(x_0,x_1)) = 0$ , so

(2.10) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0.$$

In what follow, we shall prove that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy. By using the triangle inequality (b3), we get

$$d(x_{n}, x_{n+k}) \leq s[d(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+k})]$$

$$\leq sd(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) + s\{s[d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+k})]\}$$

$$= sd(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) + s^{2}d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + s^{2}d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+k})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\leq sd(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) + s^{2}d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots$$

$$+ s^{k-1}d(x_{n+k-2}, x_{n+k-1}) + s^{k-1}d(x_{n+k-1}, x_{n+k})$$

$$\leq sd(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) + s^{2}d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots$$

$$+ s^{k-1}d(x_{n+k-2}, x_{n+k-1}) + s^{k}d(x_{n+k-1}, x_{n+k}),$$

since  $s \ge 1$ . Combining (2.9) and (2.11), we derive that

$$d(x_{n}, x_{n+k}) \leq s\psi^{n}(d(x_{0}, x_{1})) + s^{2}\psi^{n+1}d(x_{0}, x_{1}) + \dots$$

$$+ s^{k-1}\psi^{n+k-2}(d(x_{0}, x_{1})) + s^{k}\psi^{n+k-1}(d(x_{0}, x_{1}))$$

$$= \frac{1}{s^{n-1}}[s^{n}\psi^{n}(d(x_{0}, x_{1})) + s^{n+1}\psi^{n+1}d(x_{0}, x_{1}) + \dots$$

$$+ s^{n+k-2}\psi^{n+k-2}(d(x_{0}, x_{1})) + s^{n+k-1}\psi^{n+k-1}(d(x_{0}, x_{1}))].$$

Consequently, we have

(2.13) 
$$d(x_n, x_{n+k}) \le \frac{1}{s^{n-1}} [P_{n+k-1} - P_{n-1}], \quad n \ge 1, k \ge 1,$$

where  $P_n = \sum_{j=0}^n s^j \psi^j(d(x_0, x_1)), n \ge 1$ . From Lemma 1.2, the series  $\sum_{j=0}^\infty s^j \psi^j(d(x_0, x_1))$  is convergent and since  $s \ge 1$ , upon taking limit  $n \to \infty$  in (2.13), we get

(2.14) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+k}) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{s^{n-1}} [P_{n+k-1} - P_{n-1}] = 0.$$

We conclude that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy in (X, d).

Owing to the construction  $x_n = T^n x_0$  and the fact that (X, d) is T-orbitally complete, there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $x_n \to z$ . Due to the orbital continuity of T, we conclude that  $x_n \to Tz$ . Hence z = Tz.

Now, we give some consequences in b-metric spaces.

Corollary 2.1. Let T be an orbitally continuous self-map on the T-orbitally complete bMS(X, d) and  $\psi \in \Psi$ . Suppose that

$$(2.15) \zeta(n_T(x,y), \psi(d(x,y)) \ge 0$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where

$$n_T(x,y) := \min\{d(Tx,Ty), d(x,Tx), d(y,Ty)\} - \min\{d(x,Ty), d(Tx,y)\}.$$

Then for each  $x_0 \in X$ , the sequence  $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to a fixed point of T.

*Proof.* It is sufficient to take 
$$\alpha(x,y)=1$$
 in Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.2. Let T be an orbitally continuous self-map on the T-orbitally complete bMS(X,d). Suppose there exists  $k \in [0,\frac{1}{s}]$  such that

$$(2.16) \quad \min\{d(Tx, Ty), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\} - \min\{d(x, Ty), d(Tx, y)\} \le kd(x, y),$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then for each  $x_0 \in X$ , the sequence  $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to a fixed point of T.

*Proof.* It is sufficient to take in Corollary 2.1,  $\zeta(s,t) = \nu(s) - t$  with  $\nu(t) = at$  and  $\psi(s) = k's$  where  $a \in [0,1)$  and  $k' \in [0,\frac{1}{s})$ . Note that  $k = sk' \in [0,\frac{1}{s})$ .

If we take s=1 in the previous corollary, we get the famous nonunique fixed point theorem of Ćirić [20].

Corollary 2.3. [nonunique fixed point theorem of Ćirić [20]] Let T be an orbitally continuous self-map on the T-orbitally complete standard metric space (X, d). Suppose there is  $k \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$\min\{d(Tx, Ty), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\} - \min\{d(x, Ty), d(Tx, y)\} < kd(x, y),$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then for each  $x_0 \in X$ , the sequence  $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to a fixed point of T.

Remark 3. Regarding Example 1.3, we deduce that the analog of Ćirić nonunique fixed point theorem in the setting of cone metric spaces with a normal cone is still valid (see [23]) (It corresponds to Corollary 2.3).

# 2.2. Results on $(\alpha - \psi)$ -Karapinar type simulated mappings.

**Definition 2.2.** Let (X, d) be a bMS. The mapping  $T: X \to X$  is said  $(\alpha - \psi)$ -Karapinar type simulated if there exist  $\psi \in \Psi$ ,  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ ,  $\alpha: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  and real numbers  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5$  with

$$(2.17) 0 \le s \frac{a_4 - a_2}{a_1 + a_2} < 1, \quad a_1 + a_2 \ne 0, \quad a_1 + a_2 + a_3 > 0 \text{ and } 0 \le a_3 - a_5$$

such that

$$(2.18) \qquad \qquad \zeta(p(x,y),\psi(l(x,y))) \ge 0,$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where

$$p(x,y) = a_1 d(Tx, Ty) + a_2 [d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)] + a_3 [d(y, Tx) + d(x, Ty)],$$

and

$$l(x,y) = a_4 d(x,y) + a_5 d(x,T^2x).$$

**Theorem 2.2.** Let T be an orbitally continuous self-map on the T-orbitally complete bMS(X,d). Assume that

- (i) T is an  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible mapping;
- (ii) there exists an element  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \ge 1$ ;
- (iii) T is  $(\alpha \psi)$ -Karapinar type simulated.

Then for such  $x_0 \in X$ , the sequence  $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to a fixed point of T.

*Proof.* Starting with the element  $x_0$ , we shall construct an iterative sequence  $\{x_n\}$  as follows:

$$(2.19) x_0 := x \text{ and } x_n = Tx_{n-1} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We suppose that

$$(2.20) x_n \neq x_{n-1} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Indeed, if for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $x_n = Tx_{n-1} = x_{n-1}$ , then the proof is completed. By Lemma 2.1, we have

(2.21) 
$$\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$$
, for each  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ 

By substituting  $x = x_n$  and  $y = x_{n+1}$  in (2.18), we derive that

$$(2.22) 0 \le \zeta(p(x_n, x_{n+1}), \psi(l(x_n, x_{n+1}))) < \psi(l(x_n, x_{n+1})) - p(x_n, x_{n+1}),$$

where

$$p(x_n, x_{n+1}) = a_1 d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) + a_2 [d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1})]$$
$$+ a_3 [d(x_{n+1}, Tx_n) + d(x_n, Tx_{n+1})],$$

and

$$l(x_n, x_{n+1}) = a_4 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + a_5 d(x_n, T^2 x_n).$$

Replacing these identities in (2.22), we get

(2.23)

$$a_1 d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) + a_2 \left[ d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}) \right] + a_3 \left[ d(x_{n+1}, Tx_n) + d(x_n, Tx_{n+1}) \right]$$

$$\leq \psi(a_4 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + a_5 d(x_n, T^2 x_n))$$

$$\leq a_4 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + a_5 d(x_n, T^2 x_n)$$

for all  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5$  satisfying (2.17). One writes,

(2.24)

$$a_1 d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + a_2 \left[ d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \right] + a_3 \left[ d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, x_{n+2}) \right]$$

$$\leq a_4 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + a_5 d(x_n, x_{n+2}).$$

By a simple calculation, one can get

$$(2.25) (a_1 + a_2)d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + (a_3 - a_5)d(x_n, x_{n+2}) \le (a_4 - a_2)d(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

which implies

$$(2.26) d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \le kd(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

where  $k = \frac{a_4 - a_2}{a_1 + a_2}$ . Due to (2.17), we have  $0 \le sk < 1$ . Taking account of (2.26), we get inductively

$$(2.27) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le kd(x_{n-1}, x_n) \le k^2 d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \le \dots \le k^n d(x_0, x_1).$$

We shall prove that  $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence. We have

$$d(x_{n}, x_{n+p}) \leq s \cdot d(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) + s^{2} \cdot d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + s^{p-2} \cdot d(x_{n+p-3}, x_{n+p-2}) +$$

$$+ s^{p-1} \cdot d(x_{n+p-2}, x_{n+p-1}) + s^{p} \cdot d(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p})$$

$$\leq s \cdot k^{n} \cdot d(x_{0}, x_{1}) + s^{2} \cdot k^{n+1} \cdot d(x_{0}, x_{1}) + \dots +$$

$$+ s^{p-2} \cdot k^{n+p-3} \cdot d(x_{0}, x_{1}) + s^{p-1} \cdot k^{n+p-2} \cdot d(x_{0}, x_{1}) +$$

$$+ s^{p} \cdot k^{n+p-1} \cdot d(x_{0}, x_{1})$$

$$= \frac{1}{s^n \cdot k} \cdot \left[ s^{n+1} \cdot k^{n+1} \cdot d(x_0, x_1) + \dots + s^{n+p-1} \cdot k^{n+p-1} \cdot d(x_0, x_1) + \dots + s^{n+p} \cdot k^{n+p} \cdot d(x_0, x_1) \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{s^n \cdot k} \cdot \left[ s^{n+1} \cdot k^{n+1} \cdot d(x_0, x_1) + \dots + s^{n+p} \cdot k^{n+p} \cdot d(x_0, x_1) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{s^n \cdot k} \cdot \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+p} s^i \cdot k^i \cdot d(x_0, x_1)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{s^n k} \cdot \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} s^i \cdot k^i \cdot d(x_0, x_1) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty,$$

since sk < 1. Thus,  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

As in the proof of previous theorem, regarding the construction  $x_n = T^n x_0$  together with the fact that (X, d) is T-orbitally complete, there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $x_n \to z$ . Again by the orbital continuity of T, we deduce that  $x_n \to Tz$ . Hence z = Tz.  $\square$ 

Corollary 2.4. (See [22]) Let T be an orbitally continuous self-map on the Torbitally complete standard metric space (X, d). Assume there exist real numbers  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5$  such that

$$(2.28) 0 \le \frac{a_4 - a_2}{a_1 + a_2} < 1, \quad a_1 + a_2 \ne 0, \quad a_1 + a_2 + a_3 > 0 \quad and \quad 0 \le a_3 - a_5.$$

Suppose that

(2.29)

$$a_1d(Tx,Ty) + a_2 \left[ d(x,Tx) + d(y,Ty) \right] + a_3 \left[ d(y,Tx) + d(x,Ty) \right] \leq a_4d(x,y) + a_5d(x,T^2x)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then T has at least one fixed point.

Remark 4. As we discussed in Remark 3, we obtain the analog of Theorem 2.2 in the context of cone metric spaces. More precisely, again taking Example 1.3 into account, one can derive that Corollary 2.4 is also still fulfilled in the setting of cone metric spaces with a normal cone ([22]).

# 2.3. Results on the $(\alpha - \psi)$ -Achari type mappings.

**Definition 2.3.** Let (X, d) be a bMS. The self-mapping  $T : X \to X$  is said  $(\alpha - \psi)$ -Achari type simulated if there exist  $\psi \in \Psi$ ,  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  such that

(2.30) 
$$\zeta\left(\frac{\alpha(x,y)P(x,y)-Q(x,y)}{R(x,y)},\psi(d(x,y))\right) \ge 0,$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $R(x, y) \neq 0$ , where

$$P(x,y) = \min\{d(Tx,Ty)d(x,y), d(x,Tx)d(y,Ty)\},\$$

$$Q(x,y) = \min\{d(x,Tx)d(x,Ty), d(y,Ty)d(Tx,y)\},\$$

$$R(x,y) = \min\{d(x,Tx), d(y,Ty)\}.$$

**Theorem 2.3.** Let T be an orbitally continuous self-map on the T-orbitally complete bMS(X, d). Assume that

- (i) T is an  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible mapping;
- (ii) there exists an element  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \ge 1$ ;
- (iii) T is  $(\alpha \psi)$ -Achari type simulated.

Then for such  $x_0 \in X$ , the sequence  $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to a fixed point of T.

*Proof.* As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we shall construct an iterative sequence  $\{x_n\}$ : for an arbitrary initial value  $x \in X$ , take

$$(2.31) x_0 := x \text{ and } x_n = Tx_{n-1} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Also, by condition (i) and as (2.5), we have  $\alpha(x_{n-1}, x_n) \ge 1$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . As it is discussed in the proof of Theorem 2.1, from now on assume that

$$(2.32) x_n \neq x_{n-1} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By substituting  $x = x_{n-1}$  and  $y = x_n$  in the inequality (2.30), we derive that

(2.33) 
$$0 \leq \zeta\left(\frac{\alpha(x_{n-1},x_n)P(x_{n-1},x_n)-Q(x_{n-1},x_n)}{R(x_{n-1},x_n)},\psi(d(x_{n-1},x_n))\right) \\ < \psi(d(x_{n-1},x_n)) - \frac{\alpha(x_{n-1},x_n)P(x_{n-1},x_n)-Q(x_{n-1},x_n)}{R(x_{n-1},x_n)},$$

where

$$P(x_{n-1}, x_n) = \min\{d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})d(x_n, Tx_n)\},$$

$$Q(x_{n-1}, x_n) = \min\{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n), d(x_n, Tx_n)d(Tx_{n-1}, x_n)\},$$

$$R(x_{n-1}, x_n) = \min\{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), d(x_n, Tx_n)\}.$$

By (2.33), we have

$$\frac{\alpha(x_{n-1}, x_n) P(x_{n-1}, x_n) - Q(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{R(x_{n-1}, x_n)} \le \psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)),$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ . Using  $\alpha(x_{n-1}, x_n) \geq 1$ , we have

$$(2.34) \frac{P(x_{n-1},x_n)-Q(x_{n-1},x_n)}{R(x_{n-1},x_n)} \le \frac{\alpha(x_{n-1},x_n)P(x_{n-1},x_n)-Q(x_{n-1},x_n)}{R(x_{n-1},x_n)} \le \psi(d(x_{n-1},x_n)),$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ . Note that

$$P(x_{n-1}, x_n) = \min\{d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})d(x_n, Tx_n)\}$$

$$= d(x_n, x_{n+1})d(x_{n-1}, x_n),$$

$$Q(x_{n-1}, x_n) = \min\{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n), d(x_n, Tx_n)d(Tx_{n-1}, x_n)\} = 0,$$

$$R(x_{n-1}, x_n) = \min\{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), d(x_n, Tx_n)\} = \min\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}.$$

Using these above identities in (2.34), we get

(2.35)

$$\frac{P(x_{n-1},x_n)-Q(x_{n-1},x_n)}{R(x_{n-1},x_n)} = \frac{d(x_n,x_{n+1})d(x_{n-1},x_n)}{\min\{d(x_{n-1},x_n),d(x_n,x_{n+1})\}} \le \psi(d(x_{n-1},x_n)) \quad \text{for all } n \ge 1.$$

If for some n,  $R(x_{n-1}, x_n) = d(x_n, x_{n+1})$ , then the inequality (2.35) turns into

$$(2.36) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \le \psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) < d(x_{n-1}, x_n),$$

which is a contradiction. Accordingly, we deduce that

(2.37) 
$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)), \text{ for all } n \ge 1.$$

Using (2.37) repeatedly, we obtain

$$(2.38) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \le \psi^2(d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})) \le \dots \le \psi^n(d(x_0, x_1)).$$

By Lemma 1.2, we deduce that

(2.39) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0.$$

The rest of the proof is a verbatim repetition of the related lines in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.5. Let T be an orbitally continuous self-map on the T-orbitally complete bMS(X, d). Suppose that

(2.40) 
$$\frac{P(x,y) - Q(x,y)}{R(x,y)} \le \eta(\psi(d(x,y))),$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $R(x, y) \neq 0$ , where  $\psi \in \Psi$  and  $\eta : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  is an upper semi-continuous mapping such that  $\eta(t) < t$  for all t > 0 and  $\eta(0) = 0$ .

Then for each  $x_0 \in X$ , the sequence  $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to a fixed point of T.

*Proof.* It is sufficient to take  $\zeta(t,s)=\eta(s)-t$  and  $\alpha(x,y)=1$  for all  $x,y\in X$  in Theorem 2.3.

Corollary 2.6. Let T be an orbitally continuous self-map on the T-orbitally complete bMS(X,d). Suppose that there exists  $k \in [0,\frac{1}{s})$  such that

(2.41) 
$$\frac{P(x,y) - Q(x,y)}{R(x,y)} \le kd(x,y),$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where

$$P(x,y) = \min\{d(Tx,Ty)d(x,y), d(x,Tx)d(y,Ty)\},\$$

$$Q(x,y) = \min\{d(x,Tx)d(x,Ty), d(y,Ty)d(Tx,y)\},\$$

$$R(x,y) = \min\{d(x,Tx), d(y,Ty)\}.$$

with  $R(x,y) \neq 0$ . Then for each  $x_0 \in X$ , the sequence  $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to a fixed point of T.

*Proof.* We choose  $\eta(t) = at$  and  $\psi(t) = k't$  where  $a \in [0, 1)$  and  $k' \in [0, \frac{1}{s})$  in Corollary 2.5. We consider k = ak'.

Corollary 2.7 (Nonunique fixed point of Achari [1]). Let T be an orbitally continuous self-map on the T-orbitally complete standard metric space (X, d). Suppose that there exists  $k \in [0, 1)$  such that

(2.42) 
$$\frac{P(x,y) - Q(x,y)}{R(x,y)} \le kd(x,y),$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $R(x, y) \neq 0$ , where

$$\begin{split} P(x,y) &= \min\{d(Tx,Ty)d(x,y), d(x,Tx)d(y,Ty)\}, \\ Q(x,y) &= \min\{d(x,Tx)d(x,Ty), d(y,Ty)d(Tx,y)\}, \\ R(x,y) &= \min\{d(x,Tx), d(y,Ty)\}. \end{split}$$

Then for each  $x_0 \in X$ , the sequence  $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to a fixed point of T.

## 2.4. Results on $(\alpha - \psi)$ -Pachpatte type mappings.

**Definition 2.4.** Let (X, d) be a complete bMS. The mapping  $T: X \to X$  is said  $(\alpha - \psi)$ -Pachpatte type simulated if there exist  $\psi \in \Psi$ ,  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $\alpha: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  such that

$$(2.43) \qquad \qquad \zeta(\alpha(x,y)m(x,y)-p(x,y),\psi(d(x,Tx)d(y,Ty)))\geq 0,$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where

$$m(x,y) = \min\{[d(Tx,Ty)]^2, d(x,y)d(Tx,Ty), [d(y,Ty)]^2\},\$$
  
$$p(x,y) = \min\{d(x,Tx)d(y,Ty), d(x,Ty)d(y,Tx)\}.$$

**Theorem 2.4.** Let T be an orbitally continuous self-map on the T-orbitally complete bMS(X,d). Assume that

- (i) T is an  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible mapping;
- (ii) there exists an element  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \ge 1$ ;
- (iii) T is  $(\alpha \psi)$ -Pachpatte type simulated;
- (iv)  $\psi(ab) \le a\psi(b)$  for all a, b > 0.

Then for such  $x_0 \in X$ , the sequence  $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to a fixed point of T.

*Proof.* By following the lines in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we shall formulate an recursive sequence  $\{x_n\}$ , for an arbitrary initial value  $x \in X$ :

$$(2.44) x_0 := x \text{ and } x_n = Tx_{n-1} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We assume that

$$(2.45) x_n \neq x_{n-1} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By replacing  $x = x_{n-1}$  and  $y = x_n$  in the inequality (2.43), we observe that (2.46)

$$0 \le \zeta(\alpha(x_{n-1}, x_n) m(x_{n-1}, x_n) - p(x_{n-1}, x_n), \psi(d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) d(x_n, Tx_n)))$$

$$< \psi(d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) d(x_n, Tx_n)) - \alpha(x_{n-1}, x_n) m(x_{n-1}, x_n) - p(x_{n-1}, x_n),$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ , where

$$m(x_{n-1}, x_n) = \min\{[d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)]^2, d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n), [d(x_n, Tx_n)]^2\},$$
  

$$p(x_{n-1}, x_n) = \min\{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})d(x_n, Tx_n), d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n)d(x_n, Tx_{n-1})\} = 0.$$

It yields that

(2.47)

$$m(x_{n-1}, x_n) \le \alpha(x_{n-1}, x_n) m(x_{n-1}, x_n) \le \psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n) d(x_n, x_{n+1})),$$
 for all  $n \ge 1$ .

Since  $Tx_{n-1} = x_n$ , we have

$$m(x_{n-1}, x_n) = \min\{[d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^2, d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_n, x_{n+1}), [d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^2\}$$
$$= \min\{[d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^2, d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}.$$

Using the above identity and the fact that  $\psi(t) < t$  (for all t > 0) in (2.47), we obtain that

(2.48) 
$$\min\{[d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^2, d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} \le \psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_n, x_{n+1})) < d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_n, x_{n+1}).$$

If for some n,  $m(x_{n-1}, x_n) = d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_n, x_{n+1})(>0)$ , we get a contradiction with respect to (2.48). We deduce that

$$(2.49) 0 < [d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^2 \le \psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_n, x_{n+1})).$$

By condition (iv), we get

(2.50) 
$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)), \text{ for all } n \ge 1.$$

Recurrently, we find that

$$(2.51) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \psi^n(d(x_0, x_1)).$$

The rest of the proof is a verbatim repetition of the related lines in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.8. Let T be an orbitally continuous self-map on the T-orbitally complete bMS (X, d). Suppose that there exists  $k \in [0, \frac{1}{s})$  such that

$$(2.52) m(x,y) - p(x,y) \le kd(x,Tx)d(y,Ty),$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where

$$m(x,y) = \min\{[d(Tx,Ty)]^2, d(x,y)d(Tx,Ty), [d(y,Ty)]^2\},$$
  
$$p(x,y) = \min\{d(x,Tx)d(y,Ty), d(x,Ty)d(y,Tx)\}.$$

Then for each  $x_0 \in X$ , the sequence  $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to a fixed point of T.

Proof. It is sufficient to take  $\alpha(x,y) = 1$  for all  $x,y \in X$  in Theorem 2.4. Also, we choose  $\zeta(t,s) = \eta(s) - t$  with  $\eta(t) = at$  and  $\psi(t) = k't$  where  $a \in [0,1)$  and  $k' \in [0,\frac{1}{s})$ . We consider k = ak'.

Corollary 2.8 is still valid in the context of standard metric spaces.

Corollary 2.9 (Nonunique fixed point of Pachpatte [32]). Let T be an orbitally continuous self-map on the T-orbitally complete standard metric space (X, d). Suppose that there exists  $k \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$(2.53) m(x,y) - p(x,y) \le kd(x,Tx)d(y,Ty),$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where

$$m(x,y) = \min\{[d(Tx,Ty)]^2, d(x,y)d(Tx,Ty), [d(y,Ty)]^2\},\$$
  
$$p(x,y) = \min\{d(x,Tx)d(y,Ty), d(x,Ty)d(y,Tx)\}.$$

Then for each  $x_0 \in X$ , the sequence  $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to a fixed point of T.

Remark 5. One can deduce the analog of Corollary 2.8 in the context of cone metric spaces as it mentioned in Remark 3.

## Acknowledgement

We would like to thank the editor and the referees for good suggestions to improve the paper.

#### References

- [1] J. Achari, On Ćirić's nonunique fixed points, Math. Vesnik, 13 (28) (1976), 255–257.
- [2] H. Afshari, S. Kalantari, H. Aydi, Fixed point results for generalized α ψ-Suzuki-contractions in quasi-b-metric-like spaces, Asian-European Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 11, No. 1 (2018), 1850012 (12 pages).
- [3] U. Aksoy, E. Karapmar, I.M. Erhan, Fixed points of generalized α-admissible contractions on bmetric spaces with an application to boundary value problems, Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis, 17 (6) (2016), 1095-1108
- [4] N. Alharbi, H. Aydi, A. Felhi, C. Ozel, S. Sahmim, α-contractive mappings on rectangular b-metric spaces and an application to integral equations, Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 9 (3) (2018), 47-60.

- [5] H.H. Alsulami, E. Karapınar, F. Khojasteh, A.F. Roldán-López-de-Hierro, A proposal to the study of contractions in quasi-metric spaces, Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society, Article ID 269286, (2014), 10 pages.
- [6] A.H. Ansari, M.A. Barakat, H. Aydi, New approach for common fixed point theorems via Cclass functions in G<sub>p</sub>-metric spaces, Journal of Functions Spaces, vol. 2017, Article ID 2624569, 9 pages, 2017.
- [7] H. Afshari, M. Atapour, H. Aydi, Generalized α-ψ-Geraghty multivalued mappings on b-metric spaces endowed with a graph, TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, 7 (2) (2017), 248-260.
- [8] H. Argoubi, B. Samet, C. Vetro, Nonlinear contractions involving simulation functions in a metric space with a partial order, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 8 (2015), 1082-1094.
- [9] H. Aydi, M. Bota. E. Karapınar, S. Mitrović, A fixed point theorem for set-valued quasicontractions in b-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2012), 2012:88.
- [10] H. Aydi, M. Bota. E. Karapınar, S. Moradi, A common fixed point for weak φ-contractions in b-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory, 13 (2) (2012), 337-346.
- [11] H. Aydi, R. Banković, I. Mitrović, M. Nazam, Nemytzki-Edelstein-Meir-Keeler type results in b-metric spaces, Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society, Volume 2018, Article ID 4745764, 7 pages.
- [12] I.A. Bakhtin, The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces, Functional Analysis, 30 (1989), 26–37.
- [13] V. Berinde, Generalized contractions in quasi-metric spaces, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, Babeş-Bolyai University, Research Sem. (1993), 3–9.
- [14] V. Berinde, Contracții Generalizate şi Aplicații, Vol. 2, Editura Cub Press, Baie Mare, Romania, (1997).
- [15] M. Boriceanu, Fixed point theory for multivalued generalized contraction on a set with two b-metrics, Mathematica, 54 (3) (2009), 3–14.
- [16] M.F. Bota, E. Karapınar, A note on "Some results on multi-valued weakly Jungck mappings in b-metric space", Cent. Eur. J. Math. 11 (9) (2013), 1711–1712.
- [17] M.F. Bota. E. Karapınar, O. Mleşniţe, Ulam-Hyers stability for fixed point problems via  $\alpha \phi$ contractive mapping in b-metric spaces, Abstr. Appl. Anal. Article Id 855293, (2013).

- [18] M.F. Bota, C. Chifu, E. Karapınar, Fixed point theorems for generalized  $(\alpha \psi)$ -Ćirić-type contractive multivalued operators in b-metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 9 (2016), 1165-1177
- [19] N. Bourbaki, Topologie Générale, Herman, Paris, France, (1974).
- [20] L.B. Ćirić, On some maps with a nonunique fixed point, Publ. Inst. Math. 17 (1974), 52–58.
- [21] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, Acta Math. et Inf. Uni. Ostraviensis, 1 (1993), 5–11.
- [22] E. Karapınar, A new nonunique fixed point theorem, J. Appl. Funct. Anal. 7 (2012), 92-97.
- [23] E. Karapınar, Some nonunique fixed point theorems of Ciric type on cone metric spaces, Abstr. Appl. Anal. Article ID 123094 (2014), 14 pages.
- [24] E. Karapınar, S. Czerwik, H. Aydi,  $(\alpha, \psi)$ -Meir-Keeler contraction mappings in generalized b-metric spaces, Journal of Function spaces, Volume 2018 (2018), Article ID 3264620, 4 pages.
- [25] F. Khojasteh, S. Shukla, S. Radenović, A new approach to the study of fixed point theorems via simulation functions, Filomat, 29 (6) (2015), 1189-1194
- [26] M.A. Kutbi, E. Karapınar, J. Ahmed, A. Azam, Some fixed point results for multi-valued mappings in b-metric spaces, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2014, 2014:126.
- [27] Z. Mustafa, M.M.M. Jaradat, H. Aydi, A. Alrhayyel, Some common fixed points of six mappings on G<sub>b</sub>- metric spaces using (E.A) property, European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 11, No. 1, 2018, 90-109.
- [28] A. Petrusel, G. Petrusel, J.Ch. Yao, Fixed point and coincidence point theorems in b-metric spaces with applications, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. 11 (2017), 199-215.
- [29] O. Popescu, Some new fixed point theorems for α-Geraghty contraction type maps in metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2014, 2014;190.
- [30] A.F. Roldán-López-de-Hierro, E. Karapınar, C. Roldán-López-de-Hierro, J. Martínez-Moreno, Coincidence point theorems on metric spaces via simulation functions, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 275 (2015), 345–355.
- [31] I.A. Rus, Generalized contractions and applications, Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca, (2001).
- [32] B.G. Pachpatte, On Ćirić type maps with a nonunique fixed point, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 10 (8) (1979), 1039–1043.
- [33] A. Wilansky, Functional Analysis, Blaisdell Publishing Company, New York, Toronto, London, 1964

- [34] A. Wilansky, Summability through Functional Analysis, North-Holland Mathematical Studies 85, Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, 1984
- [35] K. Zeller, Abschnittskonvergenz in FK-Räumen, Math. Z. 55 (1951), 55-70
- [36] K. Zeller, W. Beekmann, Theorie der Limitierungsverfahren, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg– Berlin-New York, 1968
- [37] L. W. Cohen, N. Dunford, Transformations on sequence spaces, Duke Math. J. 3(1937), 689–701
- (1) Université de Sousse, Institut Supérieur d'Informatique et des Techniques de Communication, H. Sousse 4000, Tunisia

E-mail address: hmaydi@iau.edu.sa hassen.aydi@isima.rnu.tn

(2) Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, 40402, Taichung, Taiwan

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: erdalkarapinar@yahoo.com} karapinar@mail.cmuh.org.tw$ 

(3) University of Niš, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, Višegradska 33, 18000 Niš, Serbia.

E-mail address: vrakoc@sbb.rs