

2-ABSORBING PRIMARY IDEALS OF SO-RINGS

N. RAVI BABU ⁽¹⁾, DR. T.V. PRADEEP KUMAR ⁽²⁾ AND DR. P.V. SRINIVASA RAO ⁽³⁾

ABSTRACT. A partial semiring is a structure possessing an infinitary partial addition and a binary multiplication, subject to a set of axioms. The partial functions under disjoint-domain sums and functional composition is a partial semiring. In this paper we obtain equivalent conditions and some characteristics of 2-absorbing primary ideals in so-rings.

1. INTRODUCTION

Partially defined infinitary operations occur in the contexts ranging from integration theory to programming language semantics. The general cardinal algebras studied by Tarski in 1949, Housdorff topological commutative groups studied by Bourbaki in 1966, Σ -structures studied by Higgs in 1980, sum ordered partial monoids and sum ordered partial semirings (so-rings) studied by Arbib, Manes and Benson[2], [4], and Streenstrup[13] are some of the algebraic structures of the above type.

In [7], we studied some characteristics of 2-absorbing ideals in so-rings. In this paper, we consider the 2-absorbing primary ideals of so-rings and obtain various equivalent conditions of it. Also we obtain some characterizations of \sqrt{I} in the 2-absorbing primary ideals of so-rings.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16Y60.

Key words and phrases. Ideal, primary ideal, 2-absorbing primary ideal, commutative so-ring.

Copyright © Deanship of Research and Graduate Studies, Yarmouk University, Irbid, Jordan.

Received: Oct. 18, 2017

Accepted: March 8, 2018 .

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we collect some important definitions, results and examples for our use in this paper.

Let M be a nonempty set, and let I be a set. An I – indexed family in M is a function $x : I \rightarrow M$. Such a family is denoted by $(x_i : i \in I)$, where $x_i = ix$ for each i in I . The *empty family* is the unique such family indexed by \emptyset .

Now let us consider an infinitary operation Σ which takes families in M to elements of M , but which may not be defined for all families in M . By “infinitary”, we mean that Σ may be applied to a family $(x_i : i \in I)$ in M , for which the cardinality of the index set I is infinite. Since $\Sigma(x_i : i \in I)$ need not be defined for an arbitrary family $(x_i : i \in I)$ in M , Σ is said to be *partially-defined*. A family $(x_i : i \in I)$ in M is said to be *summable* if $\Sigma(x_i : i \in I)$ is defined and is in M .

Definition 2.1. [4] *A positive partial monoid or partial monoid, for short, is a pair (M, Σ) where M is a nonempty set and Σ is a partial addition defined on some, but not necessarily all, families $(x_i : i \in I)$ in M subject to the following axioms:*

- (1) *Unary Sum Axiom. If $(x_i : i \in I)$ is a one element family in M and $I = \{j\}$, then $\Sigma(x_i : i \in I)$ is defined and equals x_j .*
- (2) *Partition-Associativity Axiom. If $(x_i : i \in I)$ is a family in M and $(I_j : j \in J)$ is a partition of I , then $(x_i : i \in I)$ is summable if and only if $(x_i : i \in I_j)$ is summable for every j in J and $(\Sigma(x_i : i \in I_j) : j \in J)$ is summable. We write*

$$\Sigma(x_i : i \in I) = \Sigma(\Sigma(x_i : i \in I_j) : j \in J).$$

Definition 2.2. [4] *A partial semiring is a quadruple $(R, \Sigma, \cdot, 1)$, where (R, Σ) is a partial monoid, $(R, \cdot, 1)$ is a monoid with multiplicative operation ‘ \cdot ’ and unit 1, and the additive and multiplicative structures obey the following distributive laws: If $\Sigma(x_i : i \in I)$ is defined in R , then for all y in R , $\Sigma(y \cdot x_i : i \in I)$ and $\Sigma(x_i \cdot y : i \in I)$*

are defined and

$$y \cdot \Sigma(x_i : i \in I) = \Sigma(y \cdot x_i : i \in I), \Sigma(x_i : i \in I) \cdot y = \Sigma(x_i \cdot y : i \in I).$$

Definition 2.3. [4] A partial semiring $(R, \Sigma, \cdot, 1)$ is said to be commutative, if $xy = yx \forall x, y \in R$.

Definition 2.4. [4] The sum ordering on a partial monoid (M, Σ) is the binary relation \leq such that $x \leq y$ if and only if there exists a h in M such that $y = x + h$, for $x, y \in M$.

The binary relation sum ordering on a partial monoid (M, Σ) is always reflexive and transitive.

Definition 2.5. [4] A sum ordered partial semiring or so-ring, for short, is a partial semiring in which the sum ordering is a partial order.

Let X and Y be two sets. A partial function f from X to Y is a function f from X' to Y , for some subset X' of X .

Example 2.6. [4] Let D be a set and let the set of all partial functions from D to D be denoted by $Pfn(D, D)$. A family $(x_i : i \in I)$ is summable if and only if for i, j in I , and $i \neq j$, $dom(x_i) \cap dom(x_j) = \emptyset$. If $(x_i : i \in I)$ is summable then for any d in D

$$d(\Sigma_i x_i) = \begin{cases} dx_i, & \text{if } d \in dom(x_i) \text{ for some (necessarily unique) } i \in I; \\ \text{undefined,} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and ‘.’ is defined as the usual functional composition. That is, for any $x, y \in Pfn(D, D)$ and d in D ,

$$d(x \cdot y) = \begin{cases} (dx)y, & \text{if } d \in dom(x) \text{ and } dx \in dom(y); \\ \text{undefined,} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and the ordering as the extension of functions. Then $(Pfn(D, D), \Sigma, \cdot, 1)$, where 1 is the identity function on D , is a so-ring.

Example 2.7. [4] Let D be a set. A multi-function $x : D \rightarrow D$ maps each element in D to an arbitrary subset of D . Such multi-functions correspond bijectively to relations $r \subseteq D \times D$, where $(d, e) \in r$ if and only if $e \in dx$. The set of all multi-functions from D to D , denoted by $Mfn(D, D)$, together with Σ defined such that d in D , $d(\Sigma_i x_i) = \bigcup_i (dx_i)$, and \cdot defined as the usual relational composition. That is, for each d in D and for x, y in $Mfn(D, D)$, $d(x \cdot y) = \bigcup (ey : e \in dx)$, and $d1 = \{d\}$. Then $(Mfn(D, D), \Sigma, \cdot, 1)$ is a so-ring.

Definition 2.8. [1] Let R be a so-ring. A subset N of R is said to be an ideal of R if the following are satisfied

- (I1). If $(x_i : i \in I)$ is a summable family in R and $x_i \in N \forall i \in I$ then $\Sigma(x_i : i \in I) \in N$,
- (I2). If $x \leq y$ and $y \in N$ then $x \in N$,
- (I3). If $x \in N$ and $r \in R$ then $rx, xr \in N$.

A So-ring R is said to be *complete* if every family in R is summable.

Definition 2.9. [8] Let R be a complete so-ring and $a \in R$. Then the smallest ideal generated by ' a ' is $\langle a \rangle = \{x \in R \mid x \leq \Sigma_n a + \Sigma_i r_i a s_i, \text{ where } r_i, s_i \in R \text{ and } n \text{ is a positive integer}\}$. We call $\langle a \rangle$ as the principal ideal generated by a .

Definition 2.10. [8] Let I and J be ideals of a so-ring R . Then $IJ = \{x \in R \mid x \leq \Sigma_i a_i b_i \text{ for some } a_i \in I, b_i \in J\}$.

Definition 2.11. [8] Let A and B be any subsets of a so-ring R . Then we define $(A : B) = \{r \in R \mid rB \subseteq A\}$ where $rB = \{x \in R \mid x \leq rb \text{ for some } b \in B\}$.

Definition 2.12. [8] Let $(R, \Sigma, \cdot, 1)$ and $(R', \Sigma', *, 1)$ be two so-rings. Then a mapping $f : R \rightarrow R'$ is said to be homomorphism if it satisfies the following:

(i). Whenever $(x_i : i \in I)$ is summable in R , then $(f(x_i) : i \in I)$ is summable in R' and $f(\Sigma x_i) = \Sigma' f(x_i)$. (ii). $f(x \cdot y) = f(x) * f(y)$ for every x, y in R .

Definition 2.13. [13] A proper ideal P of a so-ring R is said to be prime if and only if for any ideals A, B of R , $AB \subset P$ implies $A \subset P$ or $B \subset P$.

Definition 2.14. [12] A proper ideal I of a so-ring R is called 2-absorbing if for any $a, b, c \in R$, $abc \in I$ implies $ab \in I$ or $bc \in I$ or $ac \in I$.

The set of all prime ideals of a so-ring R is denoted by $\text{spec}(R)$. Let I be a proper ideal of R . Then denote the set $\{H \in \text{spec}(R) \mid I \subset H\}$ by $V(I)$ and $\bigcap V(I)$ by \sqrt{I} .

Theorem 2.15. [8] Let I be an ideal of a commutative so-ring R . Then $\sqrt{I} = \{x \in R \mid x^n \in I \text{ for some positive integer } n\}$.

Definition 2.16. [8] A proper ideal I of a so-ring R is said to be primary if $ab \in I$, $a, b \in R$ implies $a \in I$ or $b^n \in I$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Throughout this paper, R denotes a commutative so-ring.

3. 2-ABSORBING PRIMARY IDEALS

Following the notion of 2-absorbing primary ideals in [6], we define 2-absorbing primary ideals in so-rings as follows.

Definition 3.1. Let I be a proper ideal of a so-ring R . Then I is said to be a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ and $abc \in I$, then $ab \in I$ or $bc \in \sqrt{I}$ or $ac \in \sqrt{I}$.

Proposition 3.2. Every 2-absorbing ideal of a so-ring R is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R .

Proof. Let $abc \in I$ for some $a, b, c \in R$. Since I is a 2-absorbing ideal of R , then $ab \in I$ or $bc \in I$ or $ac \in I$. Then $ab \in I$ or $bc \in \sqrt{I}$ or $ac \in \sqrt{I}$ (Since $I \subseteq \sqrt{I}$). Hence I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R . \square

The following is an example of a so-ring R in which the converse need not be true.

Example 3.3. Consider $R = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be a so-ring and $I = \langle 8\mathbb{N} \rangle$ be an ideal of R . As in [6], it is known that not every 2-absorbing primary ideals of semi-rings is a 2-absorbing ideal. Clearly I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R . Since $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \in \langle 8 \rangle$, but $2 \cdot 2 \notin \langle 8 \rangle$. Hence I is not a 2-absorbing ideal of R .

Proposition 3.4. Every primary ideal of a so-ring R is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R .

Proof. Let I be a primary ideal of a so-ring R . Suppose $abc \in I$ for some $a, b, c \in R$. Since I is a primary ideal of R , either $a \in I$ or $(bc)^n \in I$ for some positive integer n . Then $ab \in I$ or $bc \in \sqrt{I}$. Hence I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R . \square

The following is an example of a so-ring R in which the converse need not be true.

Example 3.5. Define $R = \{0, u, v, x, y, 1\}$ with Σ defined on R by

$$\Sigma(x_i : i \in I) = \begin{cases} x_j, & \text{if } x_i = 0 \ \forall \ i \neq j, \text{ for some } j, \\ \text{undefined,} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and \cdot defined by the following table:

.	0	u	v	x	y	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
u	0	u	0	0	0	u
v	0	0	v	0	0	v
x	0	0	0	0	0	x
y	0	0	0	0	0	y
1	0	u	v	x	y	1

As in [12], clearly R is a so-ring. Let $I = \{0, x\}$ be an ideal of R . One can show that $\{0, x\}$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R . Now $uv = 0 \in I$, but $u \notin I$ and $v^n = v \notin I$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Hence I is not a primary ideal of R .

Theorem 3.6. *If I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of a so-ring R , then \sqrt{I} is a 2-absorbing ideal of R .*

Proof. Suppose I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R . Let $abc \in \sqrt{I}$ for some $a, b, c \in R$. Then $(abc)^n \in I$ for some positive integer n . We have $a^n b^n c^n \in I$ for some positive integer n . Since I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R , then $a^n b^n \in I$ or $b^n c^n \in \sqrt{I}$ or $a^n c^n \in \sqrt{I}$. We have $(ab)^n \in I$ or $(bc)^n \in \sqrt{I}$ or $(ac)^n \in \sqrt{I}$. Then $ab \in \sqrt{I}$ or $bc \in \sqrt{\sqrt{I}}$ or $ac \in \sqrt{\sqrt{I}}$. We have $ab \in \sqrt{I}$ or $bc \in \sqrt{I}$ or $ac \in \sqrt{I}$ (as in [11], $\sqrt{\sqrt{I}} = \sqrt{I}$). Hence \sqrt{I} is a 2-absorbing ideal of R . \square

Theorem 3.7. *Let $f : R \rightarrow R'$ be a homomorphism of so-rings, and suppose that I' is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R' . Then $f^{-1}(I')$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R .*

Proof. Suppose I' is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R' . Let $abc \in f^{-1}(I')$ for some $a, b, c \in R$. Then $f(abc) \in I'$. i.e., $f(a)f(b)f(c) \in I'$. Since I' is a 2-absorbing primary

ideal of R' , then $f(a)f(b) \in I'$ or $f(b)f(c) \in \sqrt{I'}$ or $f(a)f(c) \in \sqrt{I'}$. Then $f(ab) \in I'$ or $f(bc) \in (\sqrt{I'})$ or $f(ac) \in (\sqrt{I'})$. We have $ab \in f^{-1}(I')$ or $bc \in f^{-1}(\sqrt{I'})$ or $ac \in f^{-1}(\sqrt{I'})$. Since $f^{-1}(\sqrt{I'}) \subseteq \sqrt{f^{-1}(I')}$ (as in [6]), $ab \in f^{-1}(I')$ or $bc \in \sqrt{f^{-1}(I')}$ or $ac \in \sqrt{f^{-1}(I')}$. Hence $f^{-1}(I')$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R . \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let $f : R \rightarrow R'$ be a homomorphism of so-rings. If f is an epimorphism and I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R then $f(I)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R' .*

Proof. Let $a', b', c' \in R'$ such that $a'b'c' \in f(I)$. Since $f : R \rightarrow R'$ is an epimorphism, then there exist $a, b, c \in R$ such that $f(a) = a'$, $f(b) = b'$, and $f(c) = c'$. Now $f(abc) = f(a)f(b)f(c) = a'b'c' \in f(I)$. Then $abc \in I$. Since I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R , $ab \in I$ or $bc \in \sqrt{I}$ or $ac \in \sqrt{I}$. We have $f(ab) \in f(I)$ or $f(bc) \in f(\sqrt{I})$ or $f(ac) \in f(\sqrt{I})$. Then $f(a)f(b) \in f(I)$ or $f(b)f(c) \in f(\sqrt{I}) \subseteq \sqrt{f(I)}$ or $f(a)f(c) \in f(\sqrt{I}) \subseteq \sqrt{f(I)}$ (as in [6]). We have $a'b' \in f(I)$ or $b'c' \in \sqrt{f(I)}$ or $a'c' \in \sqrt{f(I)}$. Hence $f(I)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R' . \square

Theorem 3.9. *If \sqrt{I} is a 2-absorbing ideal of R and if $abc \in I$ with $bc \notin \sqrt{I}$ and $ac \notin \sqrt{I}$. Then I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R .*

Proof. Suppose \sqrt{I} is a 2-absorbing ideal of R and if $abc \in I$ with $bc \notin \sqrt{I}$ and $ac \notin \sqrt{I}$ then $ab \in I$. Let $a, b, c \in R$ such that $abc \in I \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Then $abc \in \sqrt{I}$. Since \sqrt{I} is a 2-absorbing ideal of R , $ab \in \sqrt{I}$ or $bc \in \sqrt{I}$ or $ac \in \sqrt{I}$. Suppose $bc \notin \sqrt{I}$ and $ac \notin \sqrt{I}$, then $ab \in I$. Hence I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R . \square

Theorem 3.10. *If I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of a so-ring R , then the following statements hold:*

- (i) $(\sqrt{I} : x)$ is a 2-absorbing ideal of R for all $x \in R \setminus \sqrt{I}$
- (ii) $(\sqrt{I} : x) = (\sqrt{I} : x^2)$ for all $x \in R \setminus \sqrt{I}$.

Proof. As in [11], clearly \sqrt{I} and $(\sqrt{I} : x)$ are ideals of R . Let $abc \in (\sqrt{I} : x)$ for some $a, b, c \in R$ and $x \in R \setminus \sqrt{I}$, then $(abc)x \in \sqrt{I}$. Since I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal, \sqrt{I} is a 2-absorbing ideal of R (since by theorem 2.6.). Then $(ab)c \in \sqrt{I}$ or $(ab)x \in \sqrt{I}$ or $cx \in \sqrt{I}$. If $(ab)c \in \sqrt{I}$ then $ab \in \sqrt{I}$ or $bc \in \sqrt{I}$ or $ac \in \sqrt{I}$. Then $(ab)x \in \sqrt{I}$ or $(bc)x \in \sqrt{I}$ or $(ac)x \in \sqrt{I}$. We have $ab \in (\sqrt{I} : x)$ or $bc \in (\sqrt{I} : x)$ or $ac \in (\sqrt{I} : x)$. If $(ab)x \in \sqrt{I}$ then $ab \in (\sqrt{I} : x)$. If $cx \in \sqrt{I}$ then $a(cx) \in \sqrt{I}$. Then $ac \in (\sqrt{I} : x)$. Therefore $(\sqrt{I} : x)$ is a 2-absorbing ideal of R .

(ii) Let $x \in R \setminus \sqrt{I}$. It is clear that $(\sqrt{I} : x) \subseteq (\sqrt{I} : x^2)$. Now let $y \in (\sqrt{I} : x^2)$, $yx^2 \in \sqrt{I}$. Since \sqrt{I} is a 2-absorbing ideal of R , either $x^2 \in \sqrt{I}$ or $yx \in \sqrt{I}$. If $x^2 \in \sqrt{I}$ then $x \in \sqrt{I}$, a contradiction. So let $yx \in \sqrt{I}$, $y \in (\sqrt{I} : x)$. Therefore $(\sqrt{I} : x^2) \subseteq (\sqrt{I} : x)$. Hence $(\sqrt{I} : x) = (\sqrt{I} : x^2)$. \square

Theorem 3.11. *Let I be a proper ideal of a so-ring R . Then I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R if and only if for any $a, b \in R$ and any ideal J of R with $abJ \subseteq I$ and $ab \notin I$ then either $aJ \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ or $bJ \subseteq \sqrt{I}$.*

Proof. Suppose I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R . Let J be an ideal of R and $a, b \in R$ such that $abJ \subseteq I$ and $ab \notin I$. Suppose $aJ \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$ and $bJ \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Then there exist $x, y \in J$ such that $ax \notin \sqrt{I}$ and $by \notin \sqrt{I}$. Since $abJ \subseteq I$, $abx \in I$ and $aby \in I$. Then $abx + aby \in I$. We have $ab(x + y) \in I$. Since $ab(x + y) \in I$, $ab \notin I$ and I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R , $a(x + y) \in \sqrt{I}$ or $b(x + y) \in \sqrt{I}$. Since $ax \leq a(x + y) \in \sqrt{I}$ and $by \leq b(x + y) \in \sqrt{I}$, we have $ax \in \sqrt{I}$ or $by \in \sqrt{I}$. Hence either $aJ \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ or $bJ \subseteq \sqrt{I}$.

Conversly, we assume that for any $a, b \in R$ and for any ideal J of R , $abJ \subseteq I$ and $ab \notin I$ then either $aJ \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ or $bJ \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Let $x, y, z \in R$ such that $xyz \in I$ and $xy \notin I$. Take $K = \langle z \rangle$, the principal ideal of R generated by z . Let $p \in xyK = xy \langle z \rangle$. Then $p \leq xy[\Sigma_n z + \Sigma_i z r_i]$ for some $r_i \in R$. We have $p \leq \Sigma_n xyz + \Sigma_i (xyz) r_i$ for some $r_i \in R$. Since $xyz \in I$, $\Sigma_n xyz$ and $\Sigma_i (xyz) r_i \in I$. Then $p \in I$. Therefore $xyK \subseteq I$.

and $xy \notin I$. By assumption, $xK \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ or $yK \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Since $z \in \langle z \rangle = K$, $xz \in \sqrt{I}$ or $yz \in \sqrt{I}$. Hence I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R . \square

Theorem 3.12. *Let I be a proper ideal of a so-ring R . Then I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R if and only if for any $a \in R$, for any ideals J, K of R , $aJK \subseteq I$ and $JK \not\subseteq I$ then $aJ \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ or $aK \subseteq \sqrt{I}$.*

Proof. Suppose I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R . Let $a \in R$ and J, K be any ideals of R such that $aJK \subseteq I$ and $JK \not\subseteq I$. Since $JK \not\subseteq I$, there exist $p \in JK$ such that $p \notin I$. Since $p \in JK$, $p \leq \sum_i x_i y_i$ for some $x_i \in J$, $y_i \in K$. Since $p \notin I$, $\sum_i x_i y_i \notin I$. Then $x_k y_k \notin I$ for some $x_k \in J$, $y_k \in K$. Now we prove that either $aJ \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ or $aK \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Suppose $aJ \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$ and $aK \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Then $\exists x \in J$ and $y \in K$ such that $ax \notin \sqrt{I}$ and $ay \notin \sqrt{I}$. Since $x, x_k \in J$ and $y, y_k \in K$, we have $x + x_k \in J$ and $y + y_k \in K$. Since $aJK \subseteq I$, $a(x + x_k)(y + y_k) \in I$. Since I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R , $a(x + x_k)(y + y_k) \in I$, $(x + x_k)(y + y_k) \in I$ or $a(x + x_k) \in \sqrt{I}$ or $a(y + y_k) \in \sqrt{I}$. Then $xy + xy_k + x_k y + x_k y_k \in I$ or $ax + ax_k \in \sqrt{I}$ or $ay + ay_k \in \sqrt{I}$. We have $x_k y_k \in I$ or $ax \in \sqrt{I}$ or $ay \in \sqrt{I}$, a contradiction. Hence either $aJ \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ or $aK \subseteq \sqrt{I}$.

Conversely, we assume that for any $a \in R$, for any ideals J, K of R , $aJK \subseteq I$ and $JK \not\subseteq I$ we have $aJ \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ or $aK \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Let $x, y, z \in R$ such that $xyz \in I$ and $yz \notin I$. Take $J = \langle y \rangle$, $K = \langle z \rangle$, the principal ideal of R generated by y, z respectively. First we prove that $xJK \subseteq I$. Let $p \in xJK$. Then $p \in x \langle y \rangle \langle z \rangle$. We have $p \leq x(\sum_n y + \sum_i y r_i)(\sum_m z + \sum_j z s_j)$ for some $r_i, s_j \in R$, positive integers n, m . Then $p \leq \sum_n \sum_m xyz + \sum_n \sum_j (xyz) s_j + \sum_i \sum_m (xyz) r_i + \sum_i \sum_j (xyz) (r_i s_j)$. Since $xyz \in I$, all terms on right side are in I . Then $p \in I$. Therefore $xJK \subseteq I$. Since $y \in \langle y \rangle = J$, $z \in \langle z \rangle = K$ and $yz \notin I$, $JK \not\subseteq I$. By assumption either $xJ \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ or $xK \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. We have $xy \in \sqrt{I}$ or $xz \in \sqrt{I}$. Hence I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R . \square

Now we generalise the definition of 2-absorbing primary ideals of so-rings in terms of ideals in the following theorem:

Theorem 3.13. *Let P be a proper ideal of a so-ring R . Then P is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R if and only if whenever $I_1 I_2 I_3 \subseteq P$ for some ideals I_1, I_2, I_3 of R then $I_1 I_2 \subseteq P$ or $I_2 I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{P}$ or $I_1 I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{P}$.*

Proof. Suppose P is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R . Let I_1, I_2, I_3 be ideals of R such that $I_1 I_2 I_3 \subseteq P$. Suppose $I_1 I_2 \not\subseteq P$, $I_2 I_3 \not\subseteq \sqrt{P}$ and $I_1 I_3 \not\subseteq \sqrt{P}$. Then $\exists p \in I_1 I_2$, $q \in I_2 I_3$, $r \in I_1 I_3$ such that $p \notin P$, $q, r \notin \sqrt{P}$. Now $p \in I_1 I_2$. Then $p \leq \sum_i x_i y_i$ for some $x_i \in I_1$, $y_i \in I_2$, $i \in I$. Since $p \notin P$, $x_k y_k \notin P$ for some $x_k \in I_1$, $y_k \in I_2$. Now $q \in I_2 I_3$. Then $q \leq \sum_j y_j z_j$ for some $y_j \in I_2$, $z_j \in I_3$, $j \in I$. Since $q \notin \sqrt{P}$, $q \notin P$ (since $P \subseteq \sqrt{P}$). i.e., $y_l z_l \notin P$ for some $y_l \in I_2$, $z_l \in I_3$. Now $r \in I_1 I_3$. Then $r \leq \sum_s x_s z_s$ for some $x_s \in I_1$, $z_s \in I_3$, $s \in I$. Since $r \notin \sqrt{P}$, $r \notin P$. i.e., $x_m z_m \notin P$ for some $x_m \in I_1$, $z_m \in I_3$. Now $x_k + x_m \in I_1$, $y_k + y_l \in I_2$, $z_l + z_m \in I_3$. Then $(x_k + x_m)(y_k + y_l)(z_l + z_m) \in I_1 I_2 I_3 \subseteq P$. Since P is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R , $(x_k + x_m)(y_k + y_l) \in P$ or $(y_k + y_l)(z_l + z_m) \in \sqrt{P}$ or $(x_k + x_m)(z_l + z_m) \in \sqrt{P}$. Since P is an ideal of R , $x_k y_k \in P$ or $y_l z_l \in \sqrt{P}$ or $x_m z_m \in \sqrt{P}$, a contradiction. Hence $I_1 I_2 \subseteq P$ or $I_2 I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{P}$ or $I_1 I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{P}$.

Conversly, we assume that for any ideals I_1, I_2, I_3 of R , $I_1 I_2 I_3 \subseteq P$ then either $I_1 I_2 \subseteq P$ or $I_2 I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{P}$ or $I_1 I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{P}$. Let $x, y, z \in R$ such that $xyz \in I$. Take $I_1 = \langle x \rangle$, $I_2 = \langle y \rangle$, $I_3 = \langle z \rangle$, the principal ideals of R generated by x, y, z respectively. First we prove that $I_1 I_2 I_3 \subseteq P$. Let $p \in I_1 I_2 I_3 = \langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle \langle z \rangle$. Then $p \leq (\sum_n x + \sum_i x r_i)(\sum_m y + \sum_j y s_j)(\sum_l z + \sum_k z s_k^1)$ for some $r_i, s_j, s_k^1 \in R$ and some positive integers n, m, l . Then $p \leq \sum_n \sum_m \sum_l (xyz) + \sum_n \sum_j \sum_l (xyz) s_j + \sum_n \sum_m \sum_k (xyz) s_k^1 + \sum_i \sum_m \sum_l (xyz) r_i + \sum_i \sum_j \sum_l (xyz) (r_i s_j) + \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k (xyz) (r_i s_j s_k^1) + \sum_n \sum_j \sum_k (xyz) (s_j s_k^1) + \sum_i \sum_m \sum_k (xyz) (r_i s_k^1)$ (since R is commutative). Since $xyz \in P$, all terms on right side are in P . We have $p \in P$. Therefore $I_1 I_2 I_3 \subseteq P$. By assumption, $I_1 I_2 \subseteq P$ or

$I_2 I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{P}$ or $I_1 I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{P}$. Since $x \in \langle x \rangle = I_1$, $y \in \langle y \rangle = I_2$, $z \in \langle z \rangle = I_3$, $xy \in P$ or $yz \in \sqrt{P}$ or $xz \in \sqrt{P}$. Hence P is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R . \square

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank the editor and the referees

REFERENCES

- [1] Acharyulu, G.V.S.: *A Study of Sum-Ordered Partial Semirings*, Doctoral thesis, Andhra University, 1992.
- [2] Arbib, M.A., Manes, E.G.: *Partially Additive Categories and Flow-diagram Semantics*, Journal of Algebra, Vol. 62, pp. 203-227, 1980.
- [3] Chaudhari J.N.: *2-absorbing Ideals in Semirings*, International Journal of Algebra, Vol. 6(6), 265-270, 2012.
- [4] Manes, E.G., and Benson, D.B.: *The Inverse Semigroup of a Sum-Ordered Partial Semirings*, Semigroup Forum, Vol. 31, pp. 129-152, 1985.
- [5] Prathibha Kumar, Manish Kant Dubey and Poonam Sarohe.: *Some results on 2-absorbing ideals in Commutative Semirings*, Journal of Mathematics and Applications, Vol. 38, pp. 77-84, 2015.
- [6] Prathibha Kumar, Manish Kant Dubey and Poonam Sarohe.: *On 2-absorbing Primary Ideals in Commutative Semirings*, European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 9, No. 2, pp. 186-195, 2015.
- [7] Ravi Babu, N., Pradeep Kumar, T.V., Srinivasa Rao, P.V.: *2-absorbing ideals in so-rings*, Accepted by International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics.
- [8] Srinivasa Rao, P.V.: *Ideals Of Sum-ordered Semirings*, International Journal of Computational Cognition(IJCC), Vol. 7(2), pp. 59-64. June 2009.
- [9] Srinivasa Rao, P.V.: *Euclidean Partial Semirings*, Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics(JJMS), Vol. 4(3), pp. 157-170. 2011.
- [10] Srinivasa Rao, P.V.: *A Class of Primary Subsemimodules*, Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics(JJMS), Vol. 6(4), pp. 251-264. 2013.
- [11] Srinivasa Rao, P.V.: *Ideal Theory of Sum-ordered Partial Semirings*, Doctoral thesis, Acharya Nagarjuna University, 2011.

- [12] Srinivasa Reddy, M., Amarendra Babu, V., Srinivasa Rao, P.V.: *2-absorbing Subsemimodules of Partial Semimodules*, Gen.Math.Notes, Vol. 23(2), pp. 43-50, 2014.
- [13] Streenstrup, M.E.: *Sum-ordered Partial Semirings*, Doctoral thesis, Graduate school of the University of Massachusetts, Feb 1985 (Department of computer and Information Science).

(1) DEPARTMENT OF BASIC ENGINEERING, DVR & DR.HS MIC COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY,
KANCHIKACHERLA - 521180, ANDHRA PRADESH, INDIA

E-mail address: `ravibabu.narahari@gmail.com`

(2) DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE AND HUMANITIES, ANU COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, ACHARYA
NAGARJUNA UNIVERSITY, NAGARJUNA NAGAR, GUNTUR - 522510, ANDHRA PRADESH, INDIA

E-mail address: `pradeeptv5@gmail.com`

(3) DEPARTMENT OF BASIC ENGINEERING, DVR & DR.HS MIC COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY,
KANCHIKACHERLA - 521180, ANDHRA PRADESH, INDIA

E-mail address: `srinu_fu2004@yahoo.co.in`